

NORTHAMPTON BOROUGH COUNCIL

NORTHAMPTON LOCAL PLAN PART 2 PROPOSED SUBMISSION ROUND 2 CONSULTATION REGULATION 19

SUMMARY OF REPRESENTATIONS December 2020

Representation reference: 35/1/1 Name: Historic England	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Thank you for providing the Heritage Impact Assessment and the amendments to the policies, it is considered that our comments can be addressed by Statement of Common Ground.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 62/1/11 Name: Northamptonshire County Council / North Northants JPU	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: General. We note that there are a number of other policies that can significantly contribute to health and wellbeing and we support their inclusion and effective implementation, recognising the contribution they can make to health and wellbeing, notably policies on; Placemaking Design Neighbourhood centres Sports facilities and playing pitches Community facilities Green infrastructure Open spaces	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 97/1/3 Name: Clayson Country Homes	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: At the outset, our client commends the efforts of the Borough Council up to this point in progressing their Part 2 Local Plan, particularly in light of the acute housing land supply issues which are faced within the Borough and the significant threat to housing delivery which the ongoing COVID 19 pandemic continues to have.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 97/1/4 Name:	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: It is refreshing to learn that the Borough Council are continuing to expedite the production of the Part 2 Local Plan and the following comments are framed against the current macro-	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Clayson Country			economic climate, the challenges faced by the aforementioned		
Homes		Plan is sound.	pandemic and the changes which the organisation faces as it		
			moves towards unitary status along with Daventry and South		
			Northants Districts.		
			The following are set out in order of appearance within the		
			consultation document and do not seek to provide and		
			exhaustive appraisal of the emerging DPD but rather responds		
			to those pertinent points relevant to the respondent's		
			landholding;		
			It is agreed that an important challenge for Northampton,		
			which the emerging LPP2 should seek to meet, is that of		
			housing delivery for all tenures. The document's		
			acknowledgement of the challenges posed by the dense built		
			form within the legislative boundary of Northampton is		
			welcomed and only serves to highlight the significant		
			opportunity which greenfield sites, such as the client's, offer to meeting the existing and future development needs of the		
			Borough.		
			Furthermore, whilst there has been a historic under delivery of		
			housing within the plan area since 2011, this has been further		
			compounded in 2020 whereby almost two quarters of		
			anticipated delivery has been lost due to the aforementioned		
			ongoing global health crisis.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 97/1/23	The Plan	and soundness:	It is considered that the document has been positively	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	prepared with the objective to contribute towards the		
Name:		compliant.	achievement of sustainable development. Whilst the above		
Clayson Country			commentary has highlighted where elements of the document		
Homes		Plan is sound.	are ambiguous and unnecessarily duplicate provisions which		
			are made elsewhere within the development plan or		
			Framework, it remains the respondent's opinion that the		
			document (subject to the above) is 'sound'.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 97/1/26	The Plan	and soundness:		None.	Noted.

Name: Clayson Country Homes		Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Notwithstanding the points raised above, the client is in agreement that the LPP2 presents the most suitable strategy, when assessed against the reasonable alternatives, which is both deliverable and consistent with national policy. Therefore, against the backdrop of our client's land and property interests, the LPP2 is considered both legally compliant and sound in its content.		
Representation reference: 144/1/3 Name: Daventry District Council	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: The progress being made on the preparation of the Northampton Part 2 Local Plan is welcomed by Daventry District Council The two issues identified at the first submission stage by Daventry District Council, relating to policy 24 (Open Space) and Gypsies and travellers, have been satisfactorily addressed and this is welcomed. In Daventry District Council's view, there are no duty to co- operate or legal compliance issues.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 148/1/4 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Our client commends the efforts of the Borough Council up to this point in progressing their Part 2 Local Plan, particularly in light of the acute housing land supply issues which are faced within the Borough and the significant threat to strategic development of all types which the ongoing COVID 19 pandemic continues to have.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 148/1/5 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: It is refreshing to learn that the Borough Council are continuing to expedite the production of the Part 2 Local Plan and the following comments are framed against the current macro-economic climate, the challenges faced by the aforementioned pandemic and the changes which the organisation faces as it moves towards unitary status along with Daventry and South Northants Districts	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Representation reference: 148/1/21 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: It is considered that the document has been positively prepared with the objective to contribute towards the achievement of sustainable development. Whilst the above commentary has highlighted where elements of the document are ambiguous and unnecessarily duplicate provisions which are made elsewhere within the development plan or Framework, it remains the respondent's opinion that the document (subject to the above) is 'sound'.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 195/1/3 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: At the outset, our client commends the efforts of the Borough Council up to this point in progressing their Part 2 Local Plan, particularly in light of the acute housing land supply issues which are faced within the Borough and the significant threat to housing delivery which the ongoing COVID 19 pandemic continues to cause.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 195/1/4 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: It is refreshing to learn that the Borough Council are continuing to expedite the production of the Part 2 Local Plan and the following comments are framed against the current macro- economic climate, the challenges faced by the aforementioned pandemic and the changes which the organisation faces as it moves towards unitary status along with Daventry and South Northants District. The following are set out in order of appearance within the plan and do not seek to provide wholesale commentary in respect of the document but rather responds to those points relevant to the respondent's landholding; It is agreed that an important challenge for Northampton, which the emerging LPP2 should seek to meet, is that of housing delivery for all tenures. The documents acknowledgement of the challenges posed by the dense built	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

			form within the legislative boundary of Northampton is welcomed and only serves to highlight the significant opportunity which greenfield sites, such as the client's, offer to meeting the future development needs of the Borough. Furthermore, whilst there has been a historic under delivery of housing within the plan area since 2011, this has been further compounded in 2020 whereby almost two quarters of anticipated delivery has been stalled due to the ongoing global health crisis.		
Representation reference: 195/1/21 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: It is considered that the document has been positively prepared with the objective to contribute towards the achievement of sustainable development. Whilst the above commentary has highlighted where elements of the document are ambiguous and unnecessarily duplicate provisions which are made elsewhere within the development plan or Framework, it remains the respondent's opinion that the document (subject to the above) is 'sound'.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 195/1/25 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Notwithstanding the points raised above, the client is in agreement that the LP2 presents the most suitable strategy, when assessed against the reasonable alternatives, which is both deliverable and consistent with national policy. Therefore, against the backdrop of our client's land and property interests, the LP2 is considered both legally compliant and sound in its content.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 197/1/3 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified	Comments: Northamptonshire County Council's Development Infrastructure and Funding and Growth team welcomes the opportunity to comment on the Draft Northampton Local Plan Part 2 (the Draft Plan) through the current Regulation 19 (Round 2) consultation, supporting the development of	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

		Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	planning policy at the local level. The comments contained within this response are supplementary to an earlier response, submitted in relation to the Round 1 consultation during June 2019, and should therefore be read in conjunction with our previous response.		
Representation reference: 197/1/24 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 21. The County Council welcomes the approach adopted by Northampton Borough Council in preparing the Draft, which builds on the adopted West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy and positively supports the need for collaboration between local authorities and other partner organisations, to ensure that the 'duty to co-operate' obligation is met – particularly in relation to those areas of the borough allocated as Sustainable Urban Extensions (SUEs) and at other strategic housing sites including those in the Northampton Related Development Area.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 201/1/17 Name: Persimmon Homes	Refers to: The Plan and policies map	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Along with David Wilson Homes, Persimmon Homes controls the Dallington Grange Sustainable Urban Extension (SUE), which is allocated for development in the adopted West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy, 2014 (JCS). An outline planning application for the SUE has achieved resolution to grant planning permission and it is anticipated that the Section 106 agreement will be finalised shortly.	Suggested changes: We would reiterate our earlier comments that it would be helpful for both decision- makers and stakeholders if the proposals map associated with the Part 2 Plan illustrated the committed SUEs around Northampton rather than these simply being shown as "white land."	Officer comments: The Local Plan Part 2 relates to Northampton's borough boundary. The SUEs are shown in the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy (Local Plan Part 1).

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 217/1/1	The Plan	and soundness:	No comments.	None.	Noted.
		Plan is not legally			
Name:		compliant:			
National Grid		- reason not			
		specified			
		Plan is unsound:			
		- reason not			
		specified			
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 218/1/1	The Plan	and soundness: Plan is legally	Considers the plan to be legally compliant and sound.	None	Noted.
Name:		compliant.			
Danelaw Real		compliant.			
Estate		Plan is sound.			
Little					
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 225/1/1	The Plan	and soundness:	With the proposed increase in housing from 300 to 1100	None.	The Council has
		Plan is not legally	houses Great Houghton would have a serious traffic problem.		undertaken a robust
Name:		compliant:	There is already a dangerous traffic problem with parked cars		land availability
Anthony Smith		- not in accordance	in the High Street for drivers to navigate. The junction with		assessment, as well
		with SCI	High Street and the Bedford Road is also very dangerous with		as commissioned a
		- not consistent	no roundabout. The dramatic increase in traffic would be		detailed Heritage
		with regulatory	unsustainable through the village and would also create rabbit		Impact Assessment
		requirements	runs such as along Willow Lane. There are no facilities such as		and traffic
		- not compliant	shop, school, bus route in the village which would mean driving		modelling exercises.
		with duty to	to these facilities essential. There is only the village hall and		These assessments
		cooperate	playing field amenities which would be under increased		conclude that the
			pressure with such an increase in population. The very		development can be
			complicated means for village inhabitants to object to this		mitigated against
			plan(ie our email was rejected) has probably contributed to		and Policy 41 has
			many not being able to object who would have wanted to.		been formulated to
					guide developers
		1			accordingly.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
Representation reference: 225/1/2 Name: Anthony Smith	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified	Comments: The impact of this massive increase in housing to our quiet village will be very damaging. The conjestion, noise and pollution of the increased traffic must be investigated. The safety of pedestrians, cyclists and traffic on already dangerous roads and junctions must be investigated. The lack of facilities ie school, shop, bus route, leisure centre must be investigated.	Suggested changes: None.	The Council has undertaken a robust land availability assessment, as well as commissioned a detailed Heritage Impact Assessment and traffic modelling exercises. These assessments
Representation reference: 228/1/1 Name: David Russell	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not	Comments: The respondent indicated that the Plan was not legally compliant but gave no reason.	Suggested changes: None.	conclude that the development can be mitigated against and Policy 41 has been formulated to guide developers accordingly. Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 238/1/3 Name: West Hunsbury Parish Council	Refers to: The Plan and policies map	specified Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: This objection concerns the failure of Local Plan Part 2 to allocate land as Amenity Green Space at the south of the wider Ladybridge Park/Wootton Brook Park open space (Map 1). This southernmost area comprises a multi-functional use area that is used for football pitches and various forms of informal recreation – such as walking, dog walking, picnics, informal sports and games.	Suggested changes: Allocate Welland Valley FC as amenity green space.	Officer comments: It is agreed that the evidence provided is sufficient to conclude that this site should be designated as an amenity green space. It is also noted that in the

			 The unallocated playing pitches are contiguous and used as one amenity area with the wider Ladybridge Park land to the north and east of the site The more informal open space areas at Ladybridge/Wootton Brook Park, to the north and east of the objection site, are allocated as Amenity Green Space and benefit from the protection afforded to such land by Policy 28 of Local Plan Part 2. There is clear and unambiguous evidence that the playing pitches have had continuous use as local amenity space and playing fields. The land was allocated as such in the 1997 Local Plan under Policy E6, Map 2 Part of the playing pitch site had originally been laid out as a cricket pitch before becoming ad hoc football pitches. This use was then formalised when the land was leased by Northampton Borough Council to Welland Valley Football Club (WVFC) who have improved the quality of the pitches. WVFC have been at this site since 2002. By failing to protect the playing pitch/informal recreation area under Policy 28 is contrary to paragraph 97 of national planning policy Sports pitches shown in figures 10, 11 and 12 of Part 3 of the Playing Pitch Strategy 		1997 Local Plan, the site had been allocated as greenspace. Modify the Policies Map and designate this area as amenity green space.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 244/1/5	The Plan	and soundness: Plan is legally	The comments on the Plan and proposed changes requested as set out in these representations are limited in their nature,	None.	Noted.
Name:		compliant.	scale and extent but would ensure the Plan remains 'effective',		
Bastion Group			'justified' and 'consistent with national policy'. The changes are		
		Plan is sound.	required to reinforce the overall general soundness of the Plan,		
			which is vital to ensure that NBC can meet its objectively		
			assessed housing need requirement, support economic growth		
			and maintain its wider advantageous position in the Oxford to		
			Cambridge Arc.		

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 248/1/2	The Plan	and soundness:	There are a number of improvements to the effectiveness in	See comments.	Noted.
			attached document. (which detail paragraph numbers).		
Name:		Plan is unsound:			
Welland Valley Rail		- not effective			
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	The Plan	and soundness:	Whilst it is considered that whilst the document would benefit	None.	Welcomed.
250/1/24		Plan is legally compliant.	from revisions as set out above, the document does present a plan led approach to meeting those issues which are faced		
Name:			within the Borough. Given the acute housing needs issues		
St Clair Land and		Plan is sound.	faced in the area in recent years, it is considered that the		
Developments LLP			emerging development plan document provides a positive		
Old Bedford Road			vision and outlines a bold approach and framework to meeting		
			both housing needs and other economic, social and		
			environmental priorities.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	The Plan	and soundness:	It is considered that the document has been positively	None.	Noted.
250/1/25		Plan is legally	prepared with the objective to contribute towards the		
		compliant.	achievement of sustainable development. Whilst the above		
Name:			commentary has highlighted where elements of the document		
St Clair Land and		Plan is sound.	are ambiguous and unnecessarily duplicate provisions which		
Developments LLP			are made elsewhere within the development plan or		
Old Bedford Road			Framework, it remains the respondent's opinion that the		
			document (subject to the above) is 'sound'.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	The Plan	and soundness:	Notwithstanding the points raised above, the client is in	None.	Noted.
250/1/30		Plan is legally	agreement that the LPP2 presents the most suitable strategy,		
		compliant.	when assessed against the reasonable alternatives, which is		
Name:			both deliverable and consistent with national policy. Therefore,		
St Clair Land and		Plan is sound.	against the backdrop of our client's land and property		
Developments LLP			interests, the LPP2 is considered both legally compliant and		
Old Bedford Road			sound in its content.		

Representation reference: 251/1/2 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Please see enclosed representations for justification of objection to the soundness of the Plan.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 229/1/2 Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes	Refers to: para. 1.1	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Paragraph 1.10 contains an error in so far so there is actually no legal duty to co-operate under the Local Act 2011 to consult with the Local Enterprise Partnership. The Town and County Planning (Local Planning England 2012) as amended, does not place a duty to co-operate with the LEPs and Local Nature Partnerships. Only regard must be had to their activities when preparing local plans, so long as their activities are relevant to plan-making. Paragraph 1.13 states that the Statement of Common Ground (SOC) will be made prepared alongside the version of the Local Plan to be submitted to the Secretary of State. The NPPF states in paragraph 27, "in order to demonstrate effective and on- going joint working, strategic policy making authorities should prepare and maintain one or more statement of common ground, documentation the cross boundary matters being addressed and progress in co-operating to address these. These should be produced using the approach set out in National Planning Guidance and be made publicly available through the plan-making process to provide transparency." The National Planning Practice Guidance states that "Authorities should have made a statement of common ground available on their website by the time they publish their draft plan, in order to provide communities and other Stakeholders with a transparent picture of how they have collaborated".	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The Localism Act 2011 introduced the Duty to Cooperate. The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) 2012 outlines the bodies prescribed for the purposes of meeting the legal duty to cooperate. Regulation 4 (2) sets out that Local Enterprise Partnerships should be involved in the DTC. This is outlined in Paragraph 1.11 of the LPP2. Paragraph 27 of the NPPF refers to strategic policies. The Northampton LPP2 does not

prepared The draft plan, by virtue of being inconsistent with the review amended - not justified of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore Some pol - not effective not consistent mot consistent with national policy. Northam - not consistent with national policy Northam Some pol - not consistent with national policy. Northam Some pol - Not consistent with national policy. Northam Strategic (WNSP) p Where pol Where pol	rategic trategic r ton are
Representation reference: 229/1/3Refers to: para. 1.1Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliantComments: Paragraph 1.1 does not refer to the review of the policies of the West Joint Core strategy Local Plan Part 1 (WNJCS) as required by Regulation 10A Town and Country (Local Planning) 	al Plan - tonshire
reference: 229/1/3para. 1.1and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliantParagraph 1.1 does not refer to the review of the policies of 	
Name: Barratt David Wilson HomesPlan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperatethe West Joint Core strategy Local Plan Part 1 (WNJCS) as required by Regulation 10A Town and Country (Local Planning) in December 2019. As a result of the review, policies; cooperatepara 1.1: reviewed December set out in SA,S3,S7,S11,C2,H2, H4,H6 and T5 required action, in order to conform with the NPPF 2019. In further representations, I raise concerns that the findings of the review have not been - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy.Para 1.1: required by Regulation 10A Town and Country (Local Planning) to A,S3,S7,S11,C2,H2, H4,H6 and T5 required action, in order to conform with the NPPF 2019. In further representations, I raise concerns that the findings of the review have not been - not positively prepared - not justified - not consistent with national policy.Para 1.1: required by Regulation 10A Town and Country (Local Planning) reviewed to concerns that the findings of the review have not been - not consistent with national policy.Para 1.1: reviewed December consistent with national policy.Northamy strategic (WINSP) Will be review a part of f Northamy Strategic (WINSP) Where policyPara 1.1: the presence the West Northamy Strategic (WINSP) Where policy	
Name: Barratt David Wilson Homescompliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperaterequired by Regulation 10A Town and Country (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended), that was carried out in December 2019. As a result of the review, policies; SA,S3,S7,S11,C2,H2, H4,H6 and T5 required action, in order to conform with the NPPF 2019. In further representations, I raise concerns that the findings of the review have not been - not positively prepared - not positively or to effective - not consistent with national policy.reviewed (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended), that was carried out in December 2019. As a result of the review, policies; set out in Country (conform with the NPPF 2019. In further representations, I raise considered in this draft submission Local Plan Part 2. Regulatio amended of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore not consistent with national policy.Regulation amended apart of the West Northam Joint Core Will be red a part of the Will be red a part of the Northam Strategic (WNSP) p Where policy.	0
Barratt David Wilson Homes- not compliant with duty to cooperate(England) Regulations 2012 (as amended), that was carried out in December 2019. As a result of the review, policies; SA,S3,57,S11,C2,H2, H4,H6 and T5 required action, in order to conform with the NPPF 2019. In further representations, I raise concerns that the findings of the review have not been - not positively prepared - not justified - not consistent with national policyDecember set out in Docember SA,S3,57,S11,C2,H2, H4,H6 and T5 required action, in order to conform with the NPPF 2019. In further representations, I raise concerns that the findings of the review have not been considered in this draft submission Local Plan Part 2. The draft plan, by virtue of being inconsistent with the review of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore not consistent with national policy.December set out in Docember Planing) The draft plan, by virtue of being inconsistent with the review of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore a part of the West Northamp Strategic (WNSP) p Where polyWill be revien a part of the Northamp Strategic (WNSP) p Where poly	
Wilson Homeswith duty to cooperatein December 2019. As a result of the review, policies; SA,S3,S7,S11,C2,H2, H4,H6 and T5 required action, in order to conform with the NPPF 2019. In further representations, I raise Country (Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not consistent with national policyset out in 10A Town Country (Planing) considered in this draft submission Local Plan Part 2. The draft plan, by virtue of being inconsistent with the review of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore not consistent with national policy.set out in 10A Town Country (Planning) Regulation amended of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore a part of the West Northamg Strategic (WNSP) p Where policies	
CooperateSA,S3,S7,S11,C2,H2, H4,H6 and T5 required action, in order to conform with the NPPF 2019. In further representations, I raise Country (Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared10A Town Country (Planning) considered in this draft submission Local Plan Part 2.10A Town Country (Planning) Regulation amended of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore not consistent with national policy.10A Town Country (Planning) Regulation amended of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore not consistent with national policy.10A Town Country (Planning) Regulation amended of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore a part of the West Northam Strategic (WNSP) p Where policy	
Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not consistent with national policyconform with the NPPF 2019. In further representations, I raise concerns that the findings of the review have not been considered in this draft submission Local Plan Part 2. The draft plan, by virtue of being inconsistent with the review of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore not consistent with national policy.Country (Planning) Regulatio amended of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore not consistent with national policy.Country (Planning) Regulatio amended Some pol the West Northam Joint Core Will be review a part of the Northam Strategic (WNSP) p Where policy	-
Plan is unsound: - not positively preparedconcerns that the findings of the review have not been considered in this draft submission Local Plan Part 2.Planning) Regulation amended- not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policyof the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore not consistent with national policy.Some pol the West- not consistent with national policynot consistent with national policy.Northamp Joint Core will be review a part of the Northamp Joint Core- not consistent with national policyNorthamp Strategic (WNSP) p Where policyNorthamp Strategic (WNSP) p	
- not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policyconsidered in this draft submission Local Plan Part 2. The draft plan, by virtue of being inconsistent with the review of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore not consistent with national policy.Regulation amended Some pol the West Northam Joint Core will be review a part of the Northam Strategic (WNSP) p Where policy	
prepared The draft plan, by virtue of being inconsistent with the review amended - not justified of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy findings, is therefore Some pol - not effective - not consistent with national policy. Northam yill be revise - not consistent Northam Some pol yill be revise - not consistent Northam Some pol yill be revise - not consistent Northam Strategic yill be revise <t< td=""><td>ns 2012 (as</td></t<>	ns 2012 (as
- not effective - not consistent with national policy - not consistent with national policy - not consistent - not	
- not consistent with national policy - not consistent with national policy - not consistent with national policy - not consistent - not consi	cies within
with national policy Will be revealed to the second of th	
will be rev a part of t Northam Strategic (WNSP) p Where po	tonshire
a part of t Northam Strategic (WNSP) p Where po	Strategy
Northam Strategic (WNSP) p Where po	iewed as
Strategic (WNSP) p Where pc	
(WNSP) p Where po	tonshire
Where po	lan
	eparation.
	e they are
supersed	-
policies w	
Northam	ton LPP2.

	* The WNJC	S has its
	own SA polic	
	is in conform	
	the NPPF,	,
	* Policy S3 sl	hould
	continue to	
	for housing	
	purposes and	d the
	LPP2 does th	
	* Policy S7 R	
	to employme	
	evidence.	
	Employment	t
	evidence for	
	WNSP will be	e
	reviewed. Th	ne LPP2
	has its own u	up to
	date employ	
	evidence	
	* Policy S11	relates
	to low carbo	
	renewable e	energy
	and will be u	updated
	for the WNS	P. The
	LPP2 contair	ns
	policies in lir	ne with
	the latest	
	Government	
	guidance on	
	reducing car	bon
	emissions	
	specifically in	
	5 and throug	gout the
	LPP2	
	* Policy C2 R	
	to connectio	ons in

r		
		relation to new
		developments. This
		will be updated for
		WNSP. The LPP2
		contains detailed
		policy on
		sustainable
		transport and travel
		in Policy 32
		* Policy H2 AH will
		be reviewed but
		remain valid for
		LPP2s
		* Policy H4
		(Sustainable
		housing) will be
		updated in WNSP as
		now out of date.
		The LPP2 contains
		up to date
		requirements for
		housing in Policies 3,
		5 and 14
		* Policy H6 (Gypsies,
		Travellers and
		Travelling
		Showpeople) will be
		reviewed for WNSP.
		Policy 16 of the
		LPP2 supersedes
		this policy.
		* Policy T5 relates to
		Towcester
		Racecourse which is
		not a concern for

					the Northampton LPP2
Representation reference: 200/1/3 Name: HBF	Refers to: Chapter 1 and general	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared	Comments: It is the HBF's opinion that the Northampton LPP2 makes inadequate reference to its strategic context. The adopted WNJCS established an objectively assessed housing need (OAHN) of 25,758 dwellings for Northampton. For the plan period 2011 – 2029, the adopted WNJCS sets out in Policy S3 a housing requirement of about 18,870 dwellings for Northampton. As set out in Policy 4 of the adopted WNJCS the	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Paragraph 1.1 sets out the policy context for the LPP2. Throughout the document the strategic context is continually
		 not justified not effective not consistent with national policy 	remainder of Northampton's housing need is met by Sustainable Urban Extensions (SUEs) in the Northampton Related Development Area (NRDA) for 5,750 dwellings in Daventry and 3,850 dwellings in South Northamptonshire. The housing requirement set out in the adopted WNJCS is a minimum.		referenced, including through the strategic objectives.
Representation reference: 200/1/4 Name: HBF	Refers to: Chapter 1 and general	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The WNJCS was found sound on the basis that a review should be undertaken and adopted by 2020. It is proposed that the adopted WNJCS will be replaced by West Northamptonshire Strategic Plan (WNSP). The Joint Local Development Scheme (LDS) programmes Regulation 18 consultation in April 2019, Regulation 19 consultation in December 2020, submission for examination in April 2021, examination from September 2021 onwards and adoption by January 2022. The programmed adoption of the WNSP by January 2022 is 2 years later than the adoption date of 2020 for the review of the WNJCS on which the WNJCS was found sound. It is also noted that the Regulation 18 consultation was delayed until October rather than April 2019 so the preparation of the WNSP is already behind schedule. From December 2019 (5 years after adoption), the strategic	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The Policies of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy were reviewed in December 2019 in line with Regulation 10A of the Town and Country (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended). The proposed revised standard methodology for the calculation of
			policies including the OAHN / housing requirement in the adopted WNJCS should be considered out of date. There is		housing has not yet been implemented.

			potentially a gap of at least 2 years before adoption of the WNSP whilst strategic policies of the adopted WNJCS should be considered out of date. Under the 2019 NPPF, the Council should have a 5 YHLS against either a housing requirement set out in an adopted Plan (2,367 dwellings per annum in adopted WNJCS) or an LHN (2,139 dwellings per annum) where strategic policies are more than 5 years old (para 73). If the Government's proposals for a revised standard methodology for the calculation of LHN are implemented, then the LHN for WN will increase to 2,645 dwellings per annum.		
Representation reference: 229/1/1 Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes	Refers to: Chapter 1	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperate	Comments: Paragraph 1.10 contains an error in so far so there is actually no legal duty to co-operate under the Local Act 2011 to consult with the Local Enterprise Partnership. The Town and County Planning (Local Planning England 2012) as amended, does not place a duty to co-operate with the LEPs and Local Nature Partnerships. Only regard must be had to their activities when preparing local plans, so long as their activities are relevant to plan-making. Paragraph 1.13 states that the Statement of Common Ground (SOC) will be made prepared alongside the version of the Local Plan to be submitted to the Secretary of State. The NPPF states in paragraph 27, "in order to demonstrate effective and on- going joint working, strategic policy making authorities should prepare and maintain one or more statement of common ground, documentation the cross boundary matters being addressed and progress in co-operating to address these. These should be produced using the approach set out in National Planning Guidance and be made publicly available through the plan-making process to provide transparency." The National Planning Practice Guidance states that "Authorities should have made a statement of common ground available on their website by the time they publish their draft	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The Localism Act 2011 introduced the Duty to Cooperate. The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) 2012 outlines the bodies prescribed for the purposes of meeting the legal duty to cooperate. Regulation 4 (2) sets out that Local Enterprise Partnerships should be involved in the DTC. This is outlined in Paragraph 1.11 of the LPP2. Paragraph 27 of the NPPF refers to strategic policies. The Northampton

			plan, in order to provide communities and other Stakeholders with a transparent picture of how they have collaborated". The plan by delaying the SOC, NBC are not demonstrating their statutory duty of co-operation on strategic matters.		LPP2 does not contain strategic policies; strategic policies for Northampton are contained in the Part 1 Local Plan - the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy.
Representation reference: 243/1/3 Name: Lisa Dawson	Refers to: para. 1.18	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not in accordance with SCI - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Within the 195 page document "Northampton Local Plan Part 2 2011-2029; Proposed Submission - Round 2 June 2020" (page 11) you state that "this is the first stage of the plan preparation process and consultation took place in May/June 2016. The public were consulted" At NO point was any resident of Cosgrove Road and or Cosgrove Way made aware or any proposals to build on the area referred to as "The Green" until the Chronicle & Echo ran an article on the 13th July 2020 inviting residents to review a 200 page document. I would like it noted at this point, that a lot of residents are either elderly and/or disabled and many do not have access to Social Media. I do not think that the Council have met their legal obligation in notifying residents of the proposals.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The Council has undertaken consultations in accordance with the requirements set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 as modified. This includes making the documents available for inspection at a principal office and other places (such as libraries) during normal office hours; and published on the local authority website. The Council also advertisedeach stage of consultation within Local Newspapers.

Representation reference: 35/1/2 Name: Historic England	Refers to: para. 2.27	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Sound.	Suggested changes: None.	Full details of consultation at each stage of the LPP2's progress can be found in the Consultation Statement on the Council's website. The Council have met their full legal obligations regarding publicising the production of the LPP2. Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 250/1/19 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: The respondent welcomes the pragmatic approach taken by the Council within Policy 14 and is refreshed to learn of the flexible approach the Council have taken by not prescribing a housing mix based on the outputs of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment. This approach will allow for housing delivery to flexibly respond to changing housing requirements over time. However, in regard to self and custom build housing, whilst the requirements for such housing are justified, it is considered that the 3-year period (to allow for the reversion to other forms of housing) should be reduced to 1 year to ensure that housing delivery is maintained.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 2	and soundness:	By 1st April 2019, 5,727 dwellings had been delivered against	None specified.	The Northampton
200/1/16		Plan is legally	8,157 dwellings in the housing trajectory of the adopted		Local Plan Part 2 is
		compliant.	WNJCS resulting in an under-delivery of 2,430 dwellings (see		delivering the
Name:			Table 1). The Council cannot demonstrate a 5 YHLS between		WNJCS.The
HBF		Plan is unsound:	2019/20 – 2023/24 against the housing trajectory set out in		Council's decision to
		- not positively	Appendix 3 of the adopted WNJCS, a 20% buffer for persistent		amend the
		prepared	under-delivery and a Sedgefield approach to recouping		trajectory is in
		- not justified	shortfalls. The 5 YHLS is calculated as only 3.13 years.		conformity to the
		- not effective			adopted JCS. Para
		- not consistent	The Council is proposing the application of a 5% buffer to the 5		5.40 of the JCS
		with national policy	YHLS calculation because of its 2019 Housing Delivery Test		makes reference to
			(HDT) results. The 5 YHLS between 2019/20 – 2023/24 using a		the trajectory being
			5% buffer and Sedgefield is calculated as 4.5 years. However,		updated annually as
			the Council should not be complacent in using a 5% buffer		part of the Annual
			because the HDT is measured against the lowest denominator		Monitoring Report.
			of either household projections or housing requirement.		Para 5.41 states that
					although the
			As the Council can still not demonstrate a 5 YHLS (only 4.5		trajectory will be
			years), a different housing trajectory to that set out in the		reprofiled each year,
			adopted WNJCS is proposed. The Council proposes using		the delivery will
			Liverpool rather than Sedgefield approach to recouping		always be compared
			shortfalls combined with a stepped trajectory. The stepped		to the base
			trajectory uses actual completions for 2011/12 – 2018/19,		trajectory. Flexibility
			baseline of 981 dwellings between 2019/20 – 2023/24 and		exists within the
			1,609 dwellings between 2024/25 – 2028/29. This stepped		Plan and housing
			trajectory backloads the meeting of housing needs. A Liverpool		trajectory that
			approach without any stepping is 1,295 dwellings per annum.		allows for
			This proposed change of housing trajectory irrespective of the		development to be
			Council's legal opinion is inconsistent with the adopted WNJCS.		brought forward to
			The use of a Liverpool approach and a stepped housing		mitigate the impact
			trajectory represents a double deferral to the delivery of		of delays on
			housing needs. This is not just a theoretical mathematical		individual sites.
			numbers exercise but households in need of homes, it is		
			unacceptable to expect them to be continuously waiting until		
			later in the plan period before their current housing needs are		

			addressed. If the Council cannot demonstrate a 5 YHLS on adoption of the LPP2 and maintain a 5 YHLS throughout the remainder of the plan period then the LPP2 is unsound.		
Representation reference: 200/1/5 Name: HBF	Refers to: Chapter 2 and general	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: WN is also now included within the Oxford – Milton Keynes – Cambridge Growth Arc, where a significant uplift in the delivery of new homes is envisaged by 2050. The Council should recognise economic benefits of such growth. The Economic Footprint of House Building in England & Wales Report commissioned by HBF estimates for every one additional house built, the benefits for the local community include creation of 3 jobs (direct & indirect employment), financial contributions of £27,754 towards affordable housing, £806 towards education, £297 towards open space / leisure, £1,129 extra in Council tax and £26,339 spent in local shops.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The Oxford to Cambridge Arc, and Northampton's place within the Arc is referenced throughout the LPP2.
Representation reference: 35/1/3 Name: Historic England	Refers to: Chapter 2	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: The respondent considered some elements of the plan to be sound, but not others. Recommendations were provided to improve the effectiveness of the plan. On this basis, it was considered that the respondent considers the plan to be unsound because it is not effective.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 248/1/3 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: para. 2.58	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: It is extremely welcome to see a council considering new rail destinations, so often councils limit themselves to meekly asking for a couple of extra services on existing routes, so to see new destinations being both considered and route for them protected is extremely welcome.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 248/1/5	Refers to: para. 2.60	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments:	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments:

reference: 148/1/8	Refers to:	and soundness:	comments.	None.	Noted.
Representation reference: 97/1/22 Name: Clayson Country Homes Representation	Refers to: Chapter 3 and Vision Refers to:	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In conclusion, whilst it is considered that whilst the document would benefit from revisions as set out above, the document does present a plan led approach to meeting those issues which are faced within the Borough. Given the acute housing needs issues faced in the area in recent years, it is considered that the emerging development plan document provides a positive vision and outlines a bold approach and framework to meeting both housing needs and other economic, social and environmental priorities.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted. Officer comments:
Representation reference: 248/1/6 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: para. 2.60	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: The EEH project has not progressed as far as identifying potential alignments - so we have, as detailed in this report. (pages 22-28) For this reason we suggest that the "Brackmills" corridor is in fact significantly more important to protect than Market Harborough. At a regional level a Leicester-Rugby connection may offer the same strategic benefits to Northampton (better access to East Midlands Airport & Leicester), alongside local freight benefits at Lutterworth, and be a higher priority option than a Northampton-Market Harborough connection, which would allow it's preservation in the current leisure use format for future use, which in turn makes protection of both "Brackmills" corridors even more crucial.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: This was an omission. Modify the Policies Map accordingly.
Name: Welland Valley Rail		Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	2.60 - It is also encouraging that the council is actively supporting the EEH projects to identify & provide these new destinations, which makes it disappointing that the most important corridor to satisfy the connectivity gaps identified by EEH (towards Wellingborough) is not on the maps in the local plan despite being mentioned in the supporting text.		This was omitted in error. This is being recommended for inclusion in Policy 34 and the Policies Map as part of the minor modifications.

Representation reference: 97/1/5	Refers to:	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments:	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
reference: 250/1/7 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Objective 2	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	The emerging LPP2 is required to be pragmatic in its response to housing needs and should serve to significantly boost supply as required by the content of the National Planning Policy Framework. To this end, the client welcomes the reference to housing delivery within the proposed vision on Page 32 and the accompanying strategic objectives, particularly Objective 2.	None.	Noted.
Representation reference: 148/1/9 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Representation	Refers to: Objective 4 Refers to:	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound. Legal compliance	Comments: The objectives set out within the document are supported, particularly Objective 4 which seeks to capitalise on existing economic contributors and to strengthen and diversify the economy through taking advantage of Northampton's internationally well- placed location. The respondent's site is key to this objective in its proximity to the A45 and M1.	Suggested changes: None. Suggested changes:	Officer comments: Noted. Officer comments:
Representation reference: 195/1/5 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: Chapter 3 and Vision	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Therefore, the emerging LPP2 is required to be pragmatic in its response to housing needs and should serve to significantly boost supply as required by the content of the National Planning Policy Framework. To this end, the client welcomes the reference to housing delivery within the proposed vision on Page 32 and the accompanying strategic objectives, particularly Objective 2.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Chapter 3 and Vision	Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	It is key to the success of the LPP2 that the DPD helps to deliver the priorities set out in the Northampton Economic Growth Strategy 2020-2025 which was published in May 2020. The documents vision correctly identifies that by the end of the plan period Northampton will be the heart of West Northamptonshire and play a key role in the Oxford-Cambridge Arc Corridor. To this end, the respondents site will assist in the realisation of this vision.		

Name: Clayson Country Homes	Objective 2	Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Therefore, the emerging LPP2 is required to be pragmatic in its response to housing needs and should serve to significantly boost supply as required by the content of the National Planning Policy Framework. To this end, the client welcomes the reference to housing delivery within the proposed vision on Page 32 and the accompanying strategic objectives, particularly Objective 2.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 62/1/3	para. 3.3	and soundness:	Strategic objective 8	None.	Noted.
	and	Plan is legally	Firstly, we welcome the fact that health and wellbeing are		
Name:	Objective	compliant.	explicitly recognised within the Local Plan Part 2, integral as		
Northamptonshire	8		they are to creating sustainable communities. Specifically, we		
County Council /		Plan is sound.	support the inclusion of strategic objective 8 – Public Health.		
North Northants			We welcome the fact that the important role of planning in		
JPU			supporting health and wellbeing is acknowledged, both from		
			the perspective of creating new development that supports		
			healthy and active lifestyles as well as the importance of		
			securing appropriate infrastructure through the planning		
			process to support the provision of appropriate and accessible		
			local health services.		
			This is very much in line with the increased focus on health and		
			wellbeing within the National Planning Policy Framework,		
			notably the sections referred to below:		
			8. Promoting healthy and safe communities		
			91. Planning policies and decisions should aim to achieve		
			healthy, inclusive and safe places which:		
			c) enable and support healthy lifestyles, especially where this would address identified local health and well-being needs –		
			for example through the provision of safe and accessible green		
			infrastructure, sports facilities, local shops, access to healthier		
			food, allotments and layouts that encourage walking and		
			cycling.		
			92. To provide the social, recreational and cultural facilities and		
			services the community needs, planning policies and decisions		
			should:		

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	 b) take into account and support the delivery of local strategies to improve health, social and cultural well-being for all sections of the community; and Planning Guidance relating to Health and Wellbeing: https://www.gov.uk/guidance/health-and-wellbeing 	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 35/1/4 Name: Historic England	Objective 6	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Sound and welcomed.	None.	Noted.
Representation reference: 97/1/6 Name: Clayson Country Homes	Refers to: Policy 1	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In response to the content of Policy 1 of the LPP2, it is considered that this policy could be suitably revised and amended to remove any repetition of Chapter 5, in particular Para 11, of the NPPF.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 1 sets out the presumption in favour of sustainable development in line with the NPPF and the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy, as well as for the remainder of the LPP2. No modification required.
Representation reference: 123/1/3 Name: Henry Martin Ltd	Refers to: Policy 1	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Policy 1 - Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development This policy is welcomed because will enable sustainable development to come forward in the Borough over the plan period. It will also ensure that decision-makers adopt a positive and proactive approach towards development proposals as required by paragraphs 11 and 38 of the NPPF and Policy SA of	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

			the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Stategy Local Plan (Part 1).		
Representation reference: 148/1/10 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: Policy 1	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In response to the content of Policy 1 of the LPP2, it is considered that this policy could be suitably revised and amended to remove any repetition of Chapter 5, in particular Para 11, of the NPPF.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The policy reinforces the message that this presumption in sustainable development is required within this predominantly urban area which is dominated by heritage assets and natural environment.
Representation reference: 195/1/6 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: Policy 1	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In response to the content of Policy 1 of the LPP2, it is considered that this policy could be suitably revised and amended to remove any repetition of Chapter 5, in particular Para 11, of the NPPF.	Suggested changes: Remove the repetition.	Officer comments: The policy reinforces the message that this presumption in sustainable development is required within this predominantly urban area which is dominated by heritage assets and natural environment.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 1	and soundness:	Policy 1 : Presumption in favour of sustainable development	This policy is	The policy
200/1/29		Plan is legally	Policy 1 sets out the presumption in favour of sustainable	unnecessary	reinforces the
		compliant.	development. The 2019 NPPF confirms that Local Plans should	therefore it should	message that this
Name:			avoid unnecessary duplication including repetition of policies in	be deleted.	presumption in

HBF		Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	the NPPF itself (para 16f). The presumption in favour of sustainable development is clearly set out in the 2019 NPPF (para 11). In attempting to repeat national policy there is a danger that some inconsistencies creep in and lead to small but critical differences between national and local policy causing difficulties in interpretation and relative weighting.		sustainable development is required within this predominantly urban area which is dominated by heritage assets and natural environment.
Representation reference: 250/1/8 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Refers to: Policy 1	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In response to the content of Policy 1 of the LPP2, it is considered that this policy could be suitably revised and amended to remove any repetition of Chapter 5, in particular Para 11, of the NPPF.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The policy reinforces the message that this presumption in sustainable development is required within this predominantly urban area which is dominated by heritage assets and natural environment.
Representation reference: 172/1/19 Name: Homes England	Refers to: para. 5.28 and Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: As currently drafted, some of the policies and supporting text do not meet the test of soundness through inconsistency with national policy which requires plans to be clear about design expectations, and how these will be tested (NPPF 2019, Paragraph 124).	Suggested changes: These should be reworded as follows: POLICY 4 - AMENITY AND LAYOUT Development will be required to create and protect a high standard of amenity for occupiers. In	Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification to the plan will clarify the policy. Modify Policy 4 and para 5.28 to include wording in brackets []. POLICY 4 - AMENITY AND LAYOUT Development will be required to create

development should ensure: for occupiers. In for occupiers. In for occupiers. In for occupiers. In for occupiers. In development is not overbearing upon existing buildings or open spacesstandard of amenity for occupiers. In development is not overbearing upon existing buildings or open spacesNew development is not overbearing upon existing buildings or open spacesPrivate or communal garden space, in its extenti and design, meets the reasonable communal garden areas should seeks to create spaceSpace, in its extenti and design, meets the reasonable communal garden areas should seek to create spacesThe design of new that provide communal garden areas should seek to privacy or seclusion for residentsmeeds of its users. the design of new the reasonable communal garden areas should seek to privacy or seclusion for residents• The opportunities for privacy or seclusion for residentsprivacy or seclusion for residents• The outlook and visual amenity affordedprivacy or seclusion for residents• The outlook and visual amenity affordedoutlook and visual amenity afforded			and montant a biab
should ensure: • New particular new development is not overboaring upon ensure: • New overbaaring upon existing buildings or • New open spaces development is not overbearing upon existing buildings or or External overbearing upon existing buildings or existing buildings or communal garden open spaces space, in its extent • External private or communal garden private or communal garden and design, mets needs of its users. space, in its extent • External private or ocommunal garden needs of its users. space, in its extent and design, mets and design of new and design of new and design of new and design of new that provide communal garden areas should seek needs of its users. that provide opportunities for areas should seek to privacy or seclusion for residents provide opportunities for outlook and visual amenity afforded privacy or seclusion areasidents for residents		particular new	and protect a high
 New development is not development is not open spaces New development is not open spaces, in its extent External private or External open space, in its extent External and design, meets the reasonable Ithe reasonable Communal garden areas should seek to create spaces. The design of new communal garden areas should seek to create spaces. The design of new communal garden areas should seek to create spaces. The design of new communal garden areas should seek to create spaces. The design of new communal garden areas should seek to create spaces. The design of new communal garden areas should seek to private or seclusion for residents The design of new communal garden areas should seek to private or seclusion for residents The design of new communal garden areas should seek to private or seclusion for residents The design of new communal garden areas should seek to private or seclusion for residents The communal garden areas should seek to private or seclusion for residents The communal garden areas should seek to private or seclusion for residents The communal garden areas should seek to private or seclusion for residents 			-
development is not overbearing upon existing buildings or open spacesdevelopment is not overbearing upon existing buildings or open spaces• External private or communal garden needs of its users.verbearing upon existing buildings or open spaces• External space, in its extent and design, meets the reasonableopen spaces open spaces• External and design, meets the reasonableverbearing upon existing buildings or open spaces• External and design, meets the reasonableopen spaces verbearing upon existing buildings or open spaces, in its extent and design, meets the reasonable• External reaso of its users.space, in its extent and design of new to create spaces• The design of new to create spacesneeds of its users. and design of new to create spaces• The design of new to create spacesThe design of new to create spaces• The design of new to create spacesopportunities for areas should seek to opiracy or seclusion for residents• The during meet to outlook and visual amenity afforded for withinoutlook and visual amenity afforded for within• The uildings and puide in extent amenity affordedoutlook and visual amenity afforded for within			-
overbearing upon existing buildings or open spacesensure: existing buildings or overbearing upon existing buildings or communal garden and design, meetsNew development is not overbearing upon existing buildings or communal garden open spacesand design, meets the reasonableprivate or communal garden ormunal garden or existing buildings or communal garden or existing buildings or ocommunal garden ore spacesSpace, init sextent overbearing upon existing buildings or communal garden ore spacesand design, meets the reasonableprivate or communal garden and design, meets the reasonable communal garden areas should seek the reasonable communal garden areas should seek that provide opportunities for privacy or seclusion for residentsoutlook and visual amenity afforded for withinmetas for outlook and visual amenity afforded for withinoutlook and visual amenity afforded for withinmetas visual outlook and visual amenity afforded for withinoutlook and visual amenity affordedoutlook and visual amenity afforded			
existing buildings or open spaces • External open spaces • External open spaces • existing buildings or communal garden space, in its extent and design, meets or the reasonable or communal garden needs of its users. space, in its extent and design, meets or the reasonable reasonable areas should seek to create spaces to create spaces the design of new and design new communal garden areas should seek to create spaces the design of new communal garden areas should seek to create spaces the design of new communal garden areas should seek to create spaces the design of new that provide opportunities for outlook and visual amenity afforded for residents from within to the outlook and visual amenity afforded for wisual amenity afforded privacy or seclusion for residents from within to the outlook and visual amenity afforded for mesidents from within to the outlook and visual amenity afforded for mesidents from within to the outlook and visual amenity afforded for mesidents from within the outlook and visual amenity afforded for mesidents			development should
open spacesdevelopment is not• Externaloverbearing uponprivate orcommunal gardenspace, in its extentand design, meetsand design, meetsprivate orthe reasonablecommunal gardenneeds of its users.space, in its extentand design of newand design, meetsthe reasonablecommunal gardenneeds of its users.space, in its extentthe design of newand design, meetscorrate spacesthe reasonableareas should seekneeds of its users.to create spacesThe design of newthat providecommunal gardenareas should seekneeds of its users.to create spacesThe design of newthat providecommunal gardenareas should seekneeds of its users.to create spacesThe design of newthat providecommunal gardenopportunities forareas should seek toprivacy or seclusioncreate spaces thatfor residentsprivacy or seclusionamenity affordedfor residentsfor residentsoutlook and visualamenity affordedfor residentsfor residentsoutlook and visualamenity affordedoutlook and visualprivate / communalamenity afforded			
 External private or existing buildings or open spaces open spaces open spaces in its extent and design, mets the reasonable communal garden needs of its users. The design of new and design of new and design of new and design of new the reasonable communal garden areas should seek to correate spaces in the design of new that provide communal garden areas should seek to the reasonable communal garden opportunities for privacy or seclusion for residents provide opportunities for outlook and visual amenity afforded from within outlook and visual amenity afforded from within The design of new areas should seek to privacy or seclusion opportunities for outlook and visual amenity afforded from within outlook and visual amenity afforded from within areas and based to areas and the privacy or seclusion for residents outlook and visual amenity afforded from within outlook and visual amenity afforded privacy or seclusion amenity afforded from within outlook and visual amenity afforded privacy or seclusion amenity afforded privacy oreas amenity afforded privacy or seclusion ameni		existing buildings or	• New
private orexisting buildings orcommunal gardenopen spacesspace, in its extent• Externaland design, meetsprivate orthe reasonablecommunal gardenneeds of its users.space, in its extentThe design of newand design, meetscommunal gardenereasonableareas should seekneeds of its users.to create spacesThe design of newareas should seekneeds of its users.to create spacesThe design of newareas should seekneeds of its users.to create spacesThe design of newthat providecommunal gardenareas should seek toprivacy or seclusioncreate spaces thatprovideopportunities forareas should seek toprivacy or seclusioncreate spaces thatfor residentsprovideoutlook and visualprivacy or seclusionamenity affordedfor residentsform within• Thebuildings andoutlook and visualamenity affordedoutlook and visualprivate / communalamenity affordedfor desidentsprivate / communalamenity affordedoutlook and visualprivate / communalamenity affordedfor desidentsprivate / communalamenity affordedoutlook and visualprivate / communalamenity afforded		open spaces	development is not
communal gardenopen spacesspace, in its extent• Externaland design, meetsprivate orthe reasonablecommunal gardenneeds of its users.space, in its extentThe design of newand design, meetscommunal gardenand esign, meetscommunal gardenand esign, meetscommunal gardenthe reasonableareas should seekneeds of its users.to create spacesThe design of newthat providecommunal gardenopportunities forareas should seek toprivacy or seclusioncreate space sthatfor residentsprovide•Theoutlook and visualprivacy or seclusionamenity affordedfor residentsfrom within•theoutlook and visualprivate / communalanenity affordedprivate / communalanenity affordedfrom within•theoutlook and visualprivate / communalamenity afforded		• External	overbearing upon
space, in its extent• Externaland design, meetsprivate orthe reasonablecommunal gardenneeds of its users.space, in its extentneeds of its users.space, in its extentareas should seekneeds of its users.the reasonableneeds of its users.areas should seekneeds of its users.the design of newthe reasonablecommunal gardenareas should seekareas should seekneeds of its users.that providecommunal gardenopportunities forareas should seek toprivacy or seclusioncreate spacesfor residentsprovide• Theopportunities forprivacy or seclusionfor residentsfor residentsprivacy or seclusionfor within• Theoutlook and visualamenity affordedareaity affordedfor a vitilaareaity affordedfor a vitilaareaity affordedoutlook and visualareaity affordedprivate / communalareaity affordedfor an vitilaareaity affordedoutlook and visualareaity affordedprivate / communalareaity affordedprivate / communalareaity affordedareaity afforded		private or	existing buildings or
and design, meetsprivate orthe reasonablecommunal gardenneeds of its users.space, in its extentThe design of newand design, meetscommunal gardenthe reasonableareas should seekneeds of its users.to create spacesThe design of newthat providecommunal gardenopportunities forareas should seek toprivacy or seclusioncreate spaces thatfor residentsprovideoutlook and visualprivacy or seclusionamenity affordedfor residentsprivacy or soclusionoutlook and visualamenity affordedfor residentsprivacy or soclusionoutlook and visualamenity affordedfor residentsprivacy or soclusionamenity affordedfor mithinoutlook and visualamenity affordedfor residentsprivacy or soclusionamenity afforded		communal garden	open spaces
Image: space in the second blecommunal gardenneeds of its users.space, in its extentThe design of newand design, meetscommunal gardenthe reasonableareas should seekneeds of its users.to create spacesThe design of newthat providecommunal gardenopportunities forareas should seek toprivacy or seclusioncreate spaces thatfor residentsprovide•The		space, in its extent	 External
needs of its users.space, in its extentThe design of newand design, meetscommunal gardenthe reasonableareas should seekneeds of its users.to create spacesThe design of newthat providecommunal gardenopportunities forareas should seek toprivacy or seclusioncreate spaces thatfor residentsprovideoutlook and visualprivacy or seclusionamenity affordedfor residentsfrom within•thetheutildings andoutlook and visualprivate / communalamenity affordedfor design and visualprivate / communalamenity affordedfor design and		and design, meets	private or
Image: second		the reasonable	communal garden
communal garden areas should seek to create spacesthe reasonable needs of its users.The design of new that provide opportunities for privacy or seclusion for residentsareas should seek to communal garden areas should seek to privacy or seclusion for residents•The opportunities for privacy or seclusion for residents•The opportunities for privacy or seclusion for residents•The opportunities for privacy or seclusion for residents•The outlook and visual amenity afforded for residentsfor within buildings and private / communal amenity afforded		needs of its users.	space, in its extent
areas should seekneeds of its users.to create spacesThe design of newthat providecommunal gardenopportunities forareas should seek toprivacy or seclusioncreate spaces thatfor residentsprovide• Theopportunities foroutlook and visualprivacy or seclusionamenity affordedfor residentsfrom within• Thebuildings andoutlook and visualprivacy / communalamenity affordedprivacy / communalamenity affordedfrom within• Thebuildings andoutlook and visualprivacy / communalamenity affordedprivacy / communalamenity affordedfrom within• Thebuildings andoutlook and visualprivacy / communalamenity affordedprivacy / communalamenity afforded		The design of new	and design, meets
Image: space s		communal garden	the reasonable
that providecommunal gardenopportunities forareas should seek toprivacy or seclusioncreate spaces thatfor residentsprovide•Theoutlook and visualprivacy or seclusionamenity affordedfor residentsfrom within•buildings andoutlook and visualprivate / communalamenity affordedprivate / communalamenity afforded		areas should seek	needs of its users.
opportunities for privacy or seclusion for residentsareas should seek to privacy or seclusion for residents• The outlook and visual amenity affordedprivacy or seclusion ifor residentsfor residentsprivacy or seclusion outlook and visual amenity affordedfrom within• The outlook and visual amenity affordedprivacy or seclusion amenity afforded• The outlook and visual amenity affordedprivacy or seclusion amenity afforded• The outlook and visual amenity afforded		to create spaces	The design of new
initial		that provide	communal garden
for residents provide • The opportunities for outlook and visual privacy or seclusion amenity afforded for residents from within • buildings and outlook and visual private / communal amenity afforded		opportunities for	areas should seek to
for residents provide • The opportunities for outlook and visual privacy or seclusion amenity afforded for residents from within • buildings and outlook and visual private / communal amenity afforded		privacy or seclusion	create spaces that
outlook and visual amenity afforded from withinprivacy or seclusion for residentsfrom within•buildings and private / communaloutlook and visual amenity afforded		for residents	-
amenity afforded for residents from within • The buildings and outlook and visual private / communal amenity afforded		• The	opportunities for
amenity afforded for residents from within • The buildings and outlook and visual private / communal amenity afforded		outlook and visual	privacy or seclusion
from within • The buildings and outlook and visual private / communal amenity afforded			
private / communal amenity afforded		from within	• The
private / communal amenity afforded		buildings and	outlook and visual
		-	amenity afforded
garden areas from within		garden areas	from within
should be buildings and		•	
satisfactory taking private / communal			_
account of the garden areas should			-
relationship with be satisfactory			•
neighbouring taking account of			-
buildings and the the relationship			_

1		
	wider street scene,	with neighbouring
	including the design	buildings and the
	of parking,	wider street scene,
	boundary	including the design
	treatments and	of parking,
	landscaping	boundary
	• Shared	treatments and
	circulation space	landscaping
	and routes to	 Shared
	private entrances	circulation space
	within flatted	and routes to
	development	private entrances
	should be	within flatted
	welcoming, and be	development should
	naturally lit	be welcoming, and
	wherever possible	be naturally lit
	Provision	wherever possible
	of at least the	Provision of
	minimum internal	at least the
	space standards	minimum internal
	and storage areas	space standards and
	as set out in the	storage areas as set
	Nationally	out in the Nationally
	Described Space	Described Space
	Standards, or	Standards, or
	successor guidance	successor guidance
	• There is	• There is
	adequate access to	adequate access to
	both high quality	both high quality
	recreational and	recreational and
	semi-natural green	semi-natural green
	spaces for all	spaces for all
	residents	residents
	• Tat [all]	 hTat [all]
	developments	developments

-					
				include high-quality	include high-quality
				public realm	public realm
				There are	 There are
				adequate facilities	adequate facilities
				for the storage of	for the storage of
				bins, including	bins, including
				recycling, which are	recycling, which are
				effectively designed	effectively designed
				for ease of use,	for ease of use,
				access and layou	access and layou
				5.28 Plannig	5.28 Plannig
				[including through	[including through
				the usage of design	the usage of design
				tools such as	tools such as
				Building for a	Building for a
				Healthy Life (BHL)],	Healthy Life (BHL)],
				can assist in	can assist in creating
				creating	environments that
				environments that	support and
				support and	encourage healthy
				encourage healthy	lifestyles and also in
				lifestyles and also	identifying and
				in identifying and	securing facilities
				securing facilities	needed for the
				needed for the	health and care
				health and care	system. Good design
				system. Good	can do this through:
				design can do this	
				through:	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	-	Officer comments:
reference:		and soundness:		Suggested changes: These should be	
	para. 5.5		As currently drafted, some of the policies and supporting text	reworded as	It is agreed that a
172/1/17		Plan is legally	do not meet the test of soundness through inconsistency with		proposed
		compliant.	national policy which requires plans to be clear about design	follows:	modification to the
Name:			expectations, and how these will be tested (NPPF 2019,	All	plan will clarify the
Homes England		Plan is unsound:	Paragraph 124).	development	paragraph. Amend

- reason not	should be well	para 5.5 to include
specified	designed and of a	the wording in
	high quality,	brackets [].
	meeting urban	All
	design principles	development should
	outlined in The	be well designed
	Design Companion	and of a high
	for Planning and	quality, meeting
	Placemaking15 and	urban design
	National Design	principles outlined
	Guide16. The	in The Design
	Council also	Companion for
	believes that	Planning and
	meeting Building	Placemaking15 and
	for Life criteria [and	
	the new Building	Guide16. The
	for a Healthy Life	Council also believes
	(BHL)] helps	that meeting
	achieve urban	Building for Life
	design principles.	criteria [and the
	Building for Life	new Building for a
	(BfL) [is a design	Healthy Life (BHL)]
	tool designed to	helps achieve urban
	help structure	design principles.
	discussions about	Building for Life
	proposed new	(BfL) [is a design
	residential	tool designed to
	development]. The	help structure
	Council supports	discussions about
	use of BfL, [and the	proposed new
	updated BHL and	residential
	successor design	development]. The
	tools] as well as	Council supports use
	other relevant	of BfL, [and the
	guidance, including	updated BHL and
	the Design	successor design

Representation reference: 35/1/5 Name: Historic England	Refers to: Policy 2	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Placemaking bullet points 4, 5 and 6 are sound.	Companion for Planning and Placemaking, National Design Guide and Active Design17 to help structure pre- application discussions between local communities, the Council and the developer of a proposed scheme. Suggested changes: None.	tools] as well as other relevant guidance, including the Design Companion for Planning and Placemaking, National Design Guide and Active Design17 to help structure pre- application discussions between local communities, the Council and the developer of a proposed scheme. Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 75/1/3 Name: Town Centre Conservation Area Advisory Committee	Refers to: Policy 2	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Policy 2 Placemaking (pp38) Beyond responding to and enhancing the historic environment, there is a need to restore where it has been lost or damaged and maintain what is left.	Suggested changes: Amend this bullet point to: "Maintaining and where necessary restoring, as well as responding to and enhancing locally distinct townscape, landscape and historic	Officer comments: The NPPF seeks to conserve and enhance the historic environment which includes designated and non-designated assets and their settings. Policy 31 of the LPP2 sets out the requirements on how to achieve this.

				environment	No modification
				characteristics".	required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 97/1/7 Name: Clayson Country Homes	Policy 2	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Similarly, in terms of Policy 2 and Policy 3 which respectively seek to address place making and design, whilst it is considered that locally specific policy in respect of each is required, some minor revision of each policy could serve to remove some of those elements which are already catered for within the NPPF.	None.	The contents of Policies 2 and 3 contain elements specific to Northampton Borough and build on the NPPF. No modification required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 97/1/9 Name: Clayson Country Homes	Policy 2	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Furthermore, in respect of Policy 2, 3 and 4, it is considered that the policies could potentially contain cross reference provisions to potential future SPD's which could afford some future flexibility to the Council to allow for responses to changes in circumstances. For example, the Nationally Described Space Standards have been referenced within Policy 4, through linking to SPD the Council would be able to publish local interpretations and locally specific approaches to achieving the overall aims and objectives for the Borough over the life of the plan.	None.	The preparation of SPDs is, by virtue of regulations, required to be linked to a policy. It is not considered necessary to provide a link to any future SPDs particularly as there is no guarantee that those SPDs will be prepared.
Representation reference: 148/1/11 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: Policy 2	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: in terms of Policy 2 and Policy 3 which respectively seek to address place making and design, whilst it is considered that locally specific policy in respect of each is required, some minor revision of each policy could serve to remove some of those elements which are already catered for within the NPPF.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The policy has been formulated to provide interpretation of the NPPF for application at the local level.

Representation reference: 148/1/13 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: Policy 2	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In respect of Policy 2, 3 and 4, it is considered that the policies could potentially contain cross reference provisions to potential future SPD's which could afford some future flexibility to the Council to allow for responses to changes in circumstances.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: SPDs can be prepared in any case to provide further details on the implementation of the policies. There is no need to mention this in a policy.
Representation reference: 172/1/12 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Policy 2	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: Homes England's Strategic Plan commits the Agency to improving design quality including through the use of Building for Life 12 (BfL12; now Building for a Healthy Life, see below) and other tools. Homes England welcomes the references to design quality and the opportunities to deliver quality design in Policies 2,3,4,6 & 7.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 195/1/7 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: Policy 2	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Similarly, in terms of Policy 2 and Policy 3 which respectively seek to address place making and design, whilst it is considered that locally specific policy in respect of each is required, some minor revision of each policy could serve to remove some of those elements which are already catered for within the NPPF.	Suggested changes: Remove repetitions.	Officer comments: The policy has been formulated to provide interpretation of the NPPF for application at the local level.
Representation reference: 195/1/9 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: Policy 2	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Furthermore, in respect of Policy 2, 3 and 4, it is considered that the policies could potentially contain cross reference provisions to potential future SPD's which could afford some future flexibility to the Council to allow for responses to changes in circumstances. For example, the Nationally Described Space Standards have been referenced within Policy 4, through linking to SPD the Council would be able to publish local interpretations and locally specific approaches to achieving the overall aims and objectives for the Borough over the life of the plan.	Suggested changes: Provide reference to future SPDs in policy 2.	Officer comments: SPDs by their very nature can be prepared to provide details on the implementation of the policy. There is no need for SPDs to be referenced in a policy.

a					
Representation reference: 229/1/4 Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes	Refers to: Policy 2	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The policy refers to "easily accessible", but is not explicit in what is meant by "ease". Does this relate to the time taken to access these facilities? Safe? Convenient? The NPPF provides more context to the term "ease". Paragraph 91 (a) of the NPPF states that "Planning Policies and decisions should aim to achieve healthy, inclusive and safe places which, for example, through mixed use developments, strong neighbourhood centres, street layouts that allow for easy pedestrian an cycle connections within and between neighbourhoods". The policy does not refer to the need to provide safe and accessible healthy communities as referenced in paragraph 91 (b) of the NPPF. The policy by virtue of its lack of explicitly in terms of the word ease and there being no reference made to the need to provide safe and accessible health communities, is therefore not consistent with national policy,	Suggested changes: Incorporate a mix of accessible facilities, through street layouts that allow for easy, safe pedestrian and cycle connections for day to day living or providing easy, safe, and pedestrian and cycle connections through street layouts to those facilities nearby.	Officer comments: The Northampton LPP2 does not need to replicate what is in the National Planning Policy Framework. No modification required.
Representation reference: 250/1/9 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Refers to: Policy 2	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In terms of Policy 2 and Policy 3 which respectively seek to address place making and design, whilst it is considered that locally specific policy in respect of each is required, some minor revision of each policy could serve to remove some of those elements which are already catered for within the NPPF.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The policy has been formulated to provide interpretation of the NPPF for application at the local level.
Representation reference: 250/1/11 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Refers to: Policy 2	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In respect of Policy 2, 3 and 4, it is considered that the policies could potentially contain cross reference provisions to potential future SPD's which could afford some future flexibility to the Council to allow for responses to changes in circumstances. For example, the Nationally Described Space Standards have been referenced within Policy 4, through linking to SPD the Council would be able to publish local interpretations and locally specific approaches to achieving the	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: SPDs can be prepared in any case to provide further details on the implementation of the policies. There is no need for this to

			overall aims and objectives for the Borough over the life of the plan.		be mentioned in a policy.
Representation reference: 60/1/3 Name: Northamptonshire Police	Refers to: Policy 3	and soundness: I am p	Comments: I am pleased to note the reference to security and crime prevention in Policy 3 Design.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 75/1/4 Name: Town Centre Conservation Area Advisory Committee	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: A healthy design should include access to nature and natural environments, and taking the opportunity to create natural environments. This is important not only in existing natural sites at the edge of the town, but also for improving the lives of people living within the town centre by encouraging nature all around them, and creating green corridors of habitat for wildlife.	Suggested changes: Therefore add a bullet point "Designs should create areas of natural environment which prioritise nature, and where allow visual, aural and where possible physical access to people. For small scale sites this may include retaining or creating gardens with soft, permeable surfaces and planting which encourages wildlife, for larger scale sites this may include larger wildlife areas with suitable habitat to encourage nature."	Officer comments: Policy 27 of the LPP2 requires new developments to sustain and enhance existing, and support the creation of, Northampton's green infrastructure. This includes ensuring green infrastructure assets are protected, managed, maintained and connected. Development proposals will need to demonstrate how they make a positive contribution to projects identified in Northampton Green Infrastructure Plan. Policy 3 requires new developments

				 Add a bullet point "Materials and design should respect and respond to the local vernacular." Add a bullet point "Design codes should be developed for conservation areas" 	to incorporate design coding. Conservation Areas within the borough are guided by Appraisals and Management Plans. Combined with Policy 3 LPP2 it is considered that no modification in necessary to the policy.
Representation reference: 75/1/5 Name: Town Centre Conservation Area Advisory Committee	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: When assessing sustainability, the assessment of environmental impact should include construction and loss of 'embodied energy' in existing housing stock.	Suggested changes: Amend bullet point to "Should be as sustainable as possible and constructed in a sustainable fashion, including an assessment of the whole-life from site clearance, to building, to maintenance, to demolition."	Officer comments: Policy 3 contains the following bullet point: 'Be as sustainable as possible and constructed in a sustainable fashion'. This is considered to cover the point made by the respondent. No modification required.
Representation reference: 97/1/8 Name: Clayson Country Homes	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Similarly, in terms of Policy 2 and Policy 3 which respectively seek to address place making and design, whilst it is considered that locally specific policy in respect of each is required, some minor revision of each policy could serve to remove some of those elements which are already catered for within the NPPF.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The contents of Policies 2 and 3 contain elements specific to Northampton Borough and build on the NPPF. No

					modification required.
Representation reference: 97/1/10 Name: Clayson Country Homes	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Furthermore, in respect of Policy 2, 3 and 4, it is considered that the policies could potentially contain cross reference provisions to potential future SPD's which could afford some future flexibility to the Council to allow for responses to changes in circumstances. For example, the Nationally Described Space Standards have been referenced within Policy 4, through linking to SPD the Council would be able to publish local interpretations and locally specific approaches to achieving the overall aims and objectives for the Borough over the life of the plan.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The preparation of SPDs is, by virtue of regulations, required to be linked to a policy. It is not considered necessary to provide a link to any future SPDs particularly as there is no guarantee that those SPDs will be prepared.
Representation reference: 148/1/12 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In terms of Policy 2 and Policy 3 which respectively seek to address place making and design, whilst it is considered that locally specific policy in respect of each is required, some minor revision of each policy could serve to remove some of those elements which are already catered for within the NPPF.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The policy has been formulated to provide interpretation of the NPPF for application at the local level.
Representation reference: 148/1/14 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In respect of Policy 2, 3 and 4, it is considered that the policies could potentially contain cross reference provisions to potential future SPD's which could afford some future flexibility to the Council to allow for responses to changes in circumstances.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: SPDs can be prepared in any case to provide further details on the implementation of the policies. There is no need to mention this in a policy.
Representation reference: 172/1/13	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: Homes England's Strategic Plan commits the Agency to improving design quality including through the use of Building	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Name: Homes England		Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	for Life 12 (BfL12; now Building for a Healthy Life, see below) and other tools. Homes England welcomes the references to design quality and the opportunities to deliver quality design in Policies 2,3,4,6 & 7.		
Representation reference: 172/1/18 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: As currently drafted, some of the policies and supporting text do not meet the test of soundness through inconsistency with national policy which requires plans to be clear about design expectations, and how these will be tested (NPPF 2019, Paragraph 124).	Suggested changes: These should be reworded as follows: POLICY 3 DESIGN To assist in the achievement of good placemaking, new developments should be designed to: Incorporat e sustainable design at the beginning of the development process Ensure safety, security, amenity, accessibility and adaptability Have full regard to the needs for security and crime prevention, with crime prevention	Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification to the plan will clarify the policy. Modify Policy 3 to include the wording in brackets []: To assist in the achievement of good placemaking, new developments should be designed to: Incorporate sustainable design at the beginning of the development process Ensure safety, security, amenity, accessibility and adaptability Have full regard to the needs for security and crime prevention,

		with arises
	measures	with crime
	incorporated into	prevention
	the site layout and	measures
	building design	incorporated into
	• Ensure	the site layout and
	residents' privacy	building design
	and adequate	Ensure
	levels of sunlight	residents' privacy
	and daylight	and adequate levels
	• Be as	of sunlight and
	sustainable as	daylight
	possible and	• Be as
	constructed in a	sustainable as
	sustainable fashion	possible and
	* Create legible and	constructed in a
	permeable street	sustainable fashion
	layouts and public	* Create legible and
	spaces with good	permeable street
	pedestrian/cycle	layouts and public
	routes and public	spaces with good
	transport access,	pedestrian/cycle
	high quality	routes and public
	landscaping and	transport access,
	street furniture,	high quality
	avoiding a motor	landscaping and
	vehicle-dominated	street furniture,
	approach	avoiding a motor
		vehicle-dominated
	Incorporat	approach
	e green roofs and	Incorporate
	living walls into the	green roofs and
	building design	living walls into the
	where possible	building design
	Achieve	where possible
	the Building for Life	Achieve the
	an [updated	Building for Life an
	an jupuateu	

				Building for a Healthy Life	[updated Building for a Healthy Le]
				certification]	Opportunities for
				Opportunities for	the provision of
					street trees and soft
				the provision of	
				street trees and	landscaping should
				soft landscaping	be taken and
				should be taken	subject to the other
				and subject to the	criteria of this
				other criteria of this	policy.
				policy.	Small scale
				Small scale	developments (for
				developments (for	10 dwellings or less)
				10 dwellings or	including infill,
				less) including infill,	corner plot and
				corner plot and	backland
				backland	development,
				development,	should ensure
				should ensure	continuity in the
				continuity in the	way the buildings
				way the buildings	enclose and relate
				enclose and relate	to the street. Small
				to the street. Small	scale developments
				scale developments	should respect their
				should respect their	context.
				context.	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 195/1/8	Policy 3	and soundness:	Similarly, in terms of Policy 2 and Policy 3 which respectively	None.	The policy has been
	-	Plan is legally	seek to address place making and design, whilst it is considered		formulated to
Name:		compliant.	that locally specific policy in respect of each is required, some		provide
Mr B Cheer			minor revision of each policy could serve to remove some of		interpretation of the
		Plan is sound.	those elements which are already catered for within the NPPF.		NPPF for application
			,		at the local level.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 3	and soundness:	Furthermore, in respect of Policy 2, 3 and 4, it is considered		SPDs by their very
195/1/10			that the policies could potentially contain cross reference		nature are there to

Name: Mr B Cheer		Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	provisions to potential future SPD's which could afford some future flexibility to the Council to allow for responses to changes in circumstances. For example, the Nationally Described Space Standards have been referenced within Policy 4, through linking to SPD the Council would be able to publish local interpretations and locally specific approaches to achieving the overall aims and objectives for the Borough over the life of the plan.	Provide reference to future SPDs in policy 3.	provide details on the implementation of the policy. There is no need to reference it in the policy itself.
Representation reference: 200/1/30 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Policy 3 : Design Under Policy 3 new developments should be designed to achieve the Building for Life certification. The Council's policy approach to good placemaking should accord with the 2019 NPPF, the latest NPPG and the National Design Guide. The Building for Life 12 (edition 2018) has been replaced by Building for a Healthy Life (edition 2020). The HBF is supportive of the use of best practice guidance, however the use of such guidance should remain voluntary rather than becoming a mandatory policy requirement, which developers are obliged to use as a pre- condition for the Council's support.	Suggested changes: The requirement to achieve Building for Life certification should be deleted.	Officer comments: It is agreed that a modification be proposed to update the plan. Replace Building for Life (edition 2018) with 'Building for a Healthy Life' (Edition 2020).
Representation reference: 229/1/5 Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective	Comments: The policy refers to the need to incorporate sustainable design, but this is a vague statement with no reference to within the preceding paragraphs as to what is envisaged for sustainable design. It is not considered necessary to include "be as sustainable as possible and constructed in a sustainable fashion", as the first bullet point of this policy covers these matters. There is no mention of a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) that will elaborate on the sustainable design requirements. Without an SPD or further elaboration of what is meant by sustainable design, it will prove difficult to cost in site appraisals. This part of the policy is, therefore, not effective.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 5 sets out the requirements that developments will need to meet to achieve sustainable design. No modification required.

		- not consistent with national policy			
Representation reference: 229/1/6 Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The need for design coding for major Developments (10 or more dwellings or the development is to be carried out on a site area of 0.5ha or more and it is not known how many houses will be generated) as a carte blanche approach would, perhaps, be rather onerous. The requirement for a Design Code for a major Development should be considered on a case by case basis. This part of the policy is therefore not justified.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The LPP2 has undergone a full viability assessment and it concludes that Design requirements (in Policy 3) are not an onerous cost over and above that provided in BCIS. No modification required.
Representation reference: 229/1/7 Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: There is no acknowledgement in the policy of the ability to consider a site's inherent characteristics that would prohibit the ability to achieve the policy's requirement. Nor is there the ability to consider site viability.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The LPP2 has undergone a viability assessment and it has been concluded that the plan is viable. Each site is therefore expected to meet the requirements of Policy 3. No modification required.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 229/1/8	Policy 3	and soundness:	Whilst a positive element of the design policy is to achieve BFL	The policy should,	Amend Policy 3
		Plan is not legally	accreditation, to achieve such accreditation can only be done	therefore, be	Replace Building for
Name:		compliant:	after the scheme is built.	amended to state	Life certification
Barratt David		- not compliant		that the criteria	with 'Building for a
Wilson Homes		with duty to		outlined in	Healthy Life
		cooperate		"Building for	certification' The
				Healthy	Council considers
		Plan is unsound:		communities"	that all
		- not positively		should be used as a	developments
		prepared		toolkit throughout	should meet
		- not justified		the planning	Building for a
		- not effective		process to	Healthy Life criteria.
		- not consistent		benchmark the	No modification
		with national policy		design.	required with
				_	regards to criteria
					being used as a
					toolkit.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 229/1/9	Policy 3	and soundness:	The definition of small scale development of 10 dwellings or	Modification - To	The definition of
		Plan is not legally	less conflicts with the definition of Major Development as	assist in the	major development
Name:		compliant:	stated in s(2) Part 1 of the Town and Country Planning	achievement of	within the Town and
Barratt David		 not compliant 	Development Management Procedure Order 2015.	good place making,	Country Planning
Wilson Homes		with duty to	The policy has no justification in requiring a design code for 10	new Developments	Development
		cooperate	or more dwellings and its not effective in allowing the	should be designed,	Management
			considering of viability and site inherent characteristics.	where the site's	Procedure Order
		Plan is unsound:		characteristics and	2015 is 'the
		 not positively 		site's viability	provision of
		prepared		allows to:-	dwelling houses
		- not justified		 Incorporate 	where (i) the
		- not effective		sustainable design	number of dwelling
		- not consistent		from the outset (an	houses to be
		with national policy		SPD will be produce	provided is 10 or
				in due course)	more'.
				 Strike out "be as 	The LPP2 has
				sustainable	undergone a

Representation reference: 244/1/17 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Bastion support the overall policy approach set out in Policy 3 and consider that the components established are essential to secure high-quality development both on the site and across Northampton. There are, however, two elements of this policy we suggest are reviewed and wording amended to provide a more effective policy and one that is consistent with national policy. The requirement to achieve Building for Life (BfL) Certification – it should be noted that there is no longer a formal accreditation for BfL 12. We suggest that if use of BfL is to be encouraged through Policy 3, it should be articulated as a requirement for a planning application to have regard to and	possible and constructed in a sustainable fashion" • Incorporate a Design Code, where required through negotiations between the Council and applicant to ensure consistency of design approach. • To enable the criteria referenced in "Building for Healthy Communities" to be achieved. Suggested changes: • Achieve the Building for Life Certification Planning Applications should have regard to and provide a score of the proposals against the Building for Life 12 criteria.	viability assessment and the Plan has been found viable. No modification required. Officer comments: It is agreed that Policy 3 needs to be updated to reflect the reference to Building for a Healthy Life. Modify Policy 3 accordingly.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 3	and soundness:	The reference to incorporating Design Coding in major	We recommend	In order to ensure
244/1/18 Name:		Plan is legally compliant.	developments to ensure consistency of design approach is not considered to be sufficiently specific or clear for an effective policy position. Major development as set out in the Plan	that this wording is amended so that it is more precise in	the quality of new development in Northampton is
Bastion Group		Plan is sound.	glossary relates to all developments over 10 homes or over 0.5ha for residential or over 1000m2 of floorspace or 1 hectare for non- residential development. Whilst Bastion support the use and value of Design Coding on large strategic sites, it is not considered necessary on small / medium scale 'major developments', i.e. those below circa 200 homes, especially not for residential developments of between 10 – 100 homes. It is possible that such applications are pursued in detail at the outset and as such full design detail is provided and would be justified and explained through a Design and Access Statement required as part of a planning application.	its requirements as follows: • Incorporate design coding (in the case of major developments) for consistency of design approach Design Codes should be prepared for all strategic scale major residential or residential led developments (above 200 units).	kept consistent, design coding for developments of differing sizes is considered necessary.
Representation reference: 250/1/10 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In terms of Policy 2 and Policy 3 which respectively seek to address place making and design, whilst it is considered that locally specific policy in respect of each is required, some minor revision of each policy could serve to remove some of those elements which are already catered for within the NPPF.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The policy has been formulated to provide interpretation of the NPPF for application at the local level.
Representation reference: 250/1/12	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: In respect of Policy 2, 3 and 4, it is considered that the policies could potentially contain cross reference provisions to potential future SPD's which could afford some future flexibility	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: SPDs can be prepared in any case to provide further
Name:		Plan is sound.	to the Council to allow for responses to changes in circumstances. For example, the Nationally Described Space		details on the implementation of

St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road			Standards have been referenced within Policy 4, through linking to SPD the Council would be able to publish local interpretations and locally specific approaches to achieving the overall aims and objectives for the Borough over the life of the plan.		the policies. There is no need to mention it in a policy.
Representation reference: 251/1/4 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Policy 3 requires that new developments are required to 'achieve the Building for Life certification'. The Council's policy approach to good placemaking should accord with the NPPF and the latest PPG. The PPG1 confirms that the National Design Guide, which 'sets out the characteristics of well-design places and demonstrates what good design means in practice', should also be read alongside. Firstly, the Building for Life 12 (edition 2018) has since been replaced by Building for a Healthy Life (edition 2020) and therefore its inclusion does not represent the most up-to-date assessment framework; though BHL2 does indeed note that 'as BHL is the new name for Building for Life 12, local authorities can use BHL without having to rewrite existing policy documents.'	Suggested changes: Replace Building for Life (edition 2018) with 'Building for a Healthy Life' (Edition 2020).	Officer comments: Agreed. Modify the plan and replace Building for Life (edition 2018) with 'Building for a Healthy Life' (Edition 2020).
Representation reference: 251/1/5 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: Policy 3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Notwithstanding, whilst the Developers are supportive of the use of best practice guidance, imposing the requirement to achieve Building for Life certification on new developments should remain discretionary rather than becoming a mandatory policy requirement. Indeed, the policy wording does not refer to minimum development thresholds and would therefore appear applicable to all new development. Such a proposed approach does not accord with the PPG3 which advises the following:	Suggested changes: In this regard, any minimum development threshold should apply to large scale housing and mixed use developments proposing approximately 500 dwellings or more.	Officer comments: Amend Policy 3 Replace Building for Life certification with 'Building for a Healthy Life certification'. The Council considers that all developments should meet Building for a Healthy Life criteria.

'What are assessment frameworks and how can they be used	No modification
appropriately?	required.
Assessment frameworks are a set of criteria against which a	
design can be assessed. They can cover a range of issues that	
are important for securing well-designed places (such as	
Building for Life 12) or may focus on particular considerations	
such as climate change or health.	
Local planning authorities and developers may wish to use	
assessment frameworks to inform the design and evaluation of	
proposals, and support discussions with local communities and	
other interests about the creation of good places. Frameworks	
are effective when the issues within them are considered in	
relation to the particular context and character of a local area.	
Authorities may wish to refer to the use of specific frameworks	
in their policies or supplementary planning guidance that are	
most relevant to the vision for their area, although it is	
important to ensure that they are used in a proportionate way	
and do not conflict with national or local planning policy.'	
[Emphasis added]	
The Developers consider the requirement to achieve Building	
for Life certification on all new developments is	
disproportionate and unjustified, contrary to NPPF Paragraph	
35 which considers plans and its policies 'sound' provided they	
are justified.	
Its inclusion would create the requirement to secure	
certification/commendation on all new development, with	
details likely requiring approval at the reserved matters or pre-	
commencement stage.	
In this regard, it is noted that BHL Commendations can be	
applied for on developments which meet the green light	
criteria contained within BHL, subject only to the BHL Review	
and its approval4.	

			In cases for non-large-scale housing development, such a process adds unnecessary cost and delay to the decision-making process, contrary to NPPF Paragraph 59 which states that land with permission should be developed without unnecessary delay. In any event, the design of applications for small and medium-scale residential development will already be subject to review by the Council's Urban Design Officer against the requirements draft Policy 2 (Placemaking) and elsewhere in Policy 3. To add another layer of design scrutiny through the requirements of a BHL Review would be unnecessary and burdensome. The requirement to achieve Building for Life certification should be deleted or, alternatively, its wording amended to include a minimum development threshold to align with the objectives and suggested use of assessment frameworks stated within NPPF Paragraph 129: 'Local planning authorities should ensure that they have access to, and make appropriate use of, tools and processes for assessing and improving the design of development. These include workshops to engage the local community, design advice and review arrangements, and assessment frameworks such as Building for Life. These are of most benefit if used as early as possible in the evolution of schemes, and are particularly important for significant projects such as large scale housing and mixed use developments. In assessing applications, local planning authorities should have regard to the outcome from these processes, including any recommendations made by design review panels.' [Emphasis added]		
Representation reference: 201/1/4	Refers to: para. 5.9	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: The plan's evidence base does not elaborate on this	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The Council has
		Plan is legally	commentary and we would submit that paragraph 5.9 alone is		prepared an
Name:		compliant.	inadequate for demonstrating need for internal space		Optional Higher
Persimmon Homes			standards in Northampton. For instance, there is no clarity as		Technical Standards

		Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	to the precise size of each of the dwellings in the of the sample used, the locational split of the developments across the Borough and whether this is representative of the planned housing supply, which parts of the NDSS guidance were met and which were not, or how substantial the shortfall against the NDSS was across the sample. Importantly, the lack of evidence also does not allow consideration of the specific size and tenure of these units that fell short against the NDSS or how this relates to the Council's preferred housing mix.		paper (July 2020) which outlines the need to comply with the NDSS.
Representation reference: 75/1/6 Name: Town Centre Conservation Area Advisory Committee	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	 Comments: Policy 4 Amenity and layout (pp40-41) We understand that the average life of a house is 90 years, so this demonstrates the importance of high standards and resilience to climate change and catastrophic events such as pandemics. In the post-COVID era we should be demanding higher standards than minimum set before COVID. Setting standards based on the minimum will only reduce the health and wellbeing of residents. Setting external amenity spaces to meet the "reasonable needs of its users" is too vague and open to abuse (second bullet point of policy). Northampton should set its own standards through an updatable SPD, which should never be less than national minimum requirements and can enforce the higher standards that Northampton's residents deserve. This will be more flexible to incorporate improvements in the future. The standards should also apply to conversions, not just to new builds, and to HIMOS. 	Suggested changes: - Therefore amend the second bullet point by changing "reasonable needs of its users" to "Northampton SPD standards". - And amend the fifth bullet point to: "Provision of at least the minimum internal and external space standards and storage areas as set out in the Northampton SPD, for new builds and conversions including HIMOs." - apply at least the new build space standards to	Officer comments: The preparation of SPDs is, by virtue of regulations, required to be linked to a policy. It is not considered necessary to provide a link to any future SPDs particularly as there is no guarantee that those SPDs will be prepared. Policy 3 of the LPP2 requires new developments to achieve Building for Life certification. Policy 4 requires provision of at least the minimum internal space standards and storage areas as set out in the Nationally

				conversions and HiMOs – see also Policy 15 p69-70.	Described Space Standards. No modification required.
Representation reference: 97/1/11 Name: Clayson Country Homes	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Furthermore, in respect of Policy 2, 3 and 4, it is considered that the policies could potentially contain cross reference provisions to potential future SPD's which could afford some future flexibility to the Council to allow for responses to changes in circumstances. For example, the Nationally Described Space Standards have been referenced within Policy 4, through linking to SPD the Council would be able to publish local interpretations and locally specific approaches to achieving the overall aims and objectives for the Borough over the life of the plan.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The preparation of SPDs is, by virtue of regulations, required to be linked to a policy. It is not considered necessary to provide a link to any future SPDs particularly as there is no guarantee that those SPDs will be prepared.
Representation reference: 172/1/14 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: Homes England's Strategic Plan commits the Agency to improving design quality including through the use of Building for Life 12 (BfL12; now Building for a Healthy Life, see below) and other tools. Homes England welcomes the references to design quality and the opportunities to deliver quality design in Policies 2,3,4,6 & 7.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 195/1/11 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Furthermore, in respect of Policy 2, 3 and 4, it is considered that the policies could potentially contain cross reference provisions to potential future SPD's which could afford some future flexibility to the Council to allow for responses to changes in circumstances. For example, the Nationally Described Space Standards have been referenced within Policy 4, through linking to SPD the Council would be able to publish local interpretations and locally specific approaches to	Suggested changes: Provide reference to future SPDs in policy 4.	Officer comments: SPDs by their very nature are there to provide details on the implementation of the policy. There is no need to reference it in the policy itself.

			achieving the overall aims and objectives for the Borough over the life of the plan.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 4	and soundness:	Policy 4 : Amenity & Layout requires that new development	None.	The NDSS Technical
200/1/31		Plan is legally	should ensure provision of at least the minimum internal space		Paper sets out the
		compliant.	standards and storage areas as set out in the Nationally		Council's evidence
Name:			Described Space Standards (NDSS) or successor guidance.		for adopting
HBF		Plan is unsound:			optional Nationally
		- not positively	If the Council wishes to apply the optional NDSS to new build		Described Space
		prepared	dwellings, then this should only be done in accordance with the		Standards.
		- not justified	2019 NPPF (para 127f & Footnote 46). Footnote 46 states that		No modification
		- not effective	"policies may also make use of the NDSS where the need for an		required.
		- not consistent	internal space standard can be justified". As set out in the 2019		
		with national policy	NPPF, all policies should be underpinned by relevant and up to		
			date evidence, which should be adequate, proportionate and		
			focussed tightly on supporting and justifying the policies		
			concerned (para 31). The NPPG sets out that "where a need for		
			internal space standards is identified, the authority should		
			provide justification for requiring internal space policies.		
			Authorities should take account of the following areas need,		
			viability and timing" (ID: 56- 020-20150327). Before adopting		
			the NDSS, the Council should provide a local assessment		
			evidencing the case for Northampton.		
			The Council has not demonstrated via its desktop research		
			(para 5.9) any evidence of need for the NDSS. The Council		
			undertook a desktop study of circa 100 developments granted		
			consent between 2015 – 2018, which concluded that 50% met		
			NDSS, therefore there is no systemic problem to resolve. This		
			correlates with the HBF's own evidence. The HBF is not aware		
			of any evidence that market dwellings not meeting the NDSS		
			have not sold or that those living in these dwellings consider		
			that their housing needs are not met. There is no evidence that		
			the size of houses built are considered inappropriate by		
			purchasers or dwellings that do not meet the NDSS are selling		

			less well in comparison with other dwellings. The HBF in partnership with National House Building Council (NHBC) undertake an annual independently verified National New Homes Customer Satisfaction Survey. The 2019 Survey demonstrates that 91% of new home buyers would purchase a new build home again and 89% would recommend their housebuilder to a friend. The results also conclude that 93% of respondents were happy with the internal design of their new home, which does not suggest that significant numbers of new home buyers are looking for different layouts or house sizes to that currently built.		
Representation reference: 200/1/32 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The NDSS should only be introduced on a "need to have" rather than a "nice to have" basis. Need is generally defined as "requiring something because it is essential or very important rather than just desirable". The identification of a need for the NDSS should identify the harm caused or may be caused in the future. If it had been the Government's intention that generic statements simply stating in some cases the NDSS had not been met justified adoption of the NDSS then the standard would have been incorporated as mandatory in Building Regulations, which is not the case.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The NDSS Technical Paper sets out the Council's evidence for adopting optional Nationally Described Space Standards. No modification required.
Representation reference: 200/1/33 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective	Comments: To test the cumulative impact of policy requirement compliancy, the Council's viability assessment should be based on NDSS. The Council's Plan Viability Study by Aspinall Verdi has not properly tested the impacts of introducing the NDSS. The average house sizes tested are not NDSS compliant (see Tables 5-11, 5-12 & 5-13). The Council's viability assessment should recognise that the requirement for NDSS reduces the number of dwellings per site, therefore the amount of land needed to achieve the same number of dwellings must be increased. The efficient use of land is less because	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Table 5-3 of the Viability Assessment sets out that the MHCLG NDSS have been used to determine viability of the Plan. No modification required.

		- not consistent with national policy	development densities have been decreased. At the same time, infrastructure and other contributions fall on fewer dwellings per site, which may challenge viability, delivery of affordable housing and release of land for development by landowners especially in lower / middle value areas and on brownfield sites.		
Representation reference: 200/1/34 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The impact of adopting NDSS on affordability should also be assessed. There is a direct relationship between unit size, cost per square metre, selling price per metre and affordability. Over the last two decades housing affordability in the Borough has worsened. In 1997, the median affordability ratio was 3.1, which has more than doubled by increasing to 7.02 in 2019. The Council should recognise that customers have different budgets and aspirations. An inflexible policy approach to NDSS for all dwellings will impact on affordability and effect customer choice. The introduction of the NDSS for all dwellings may lead to customers purchasing larger homes in floorspace but with bedrooms less suited to their housing needs. A future purchaser needing a 2 bedroomed home may only be able to afford a 2 bed / 3 person dwelling of 70 square metres with one double bedroom and one single bedroom rather than 2 bed / 4 person dwelling of 79 square metres with two double bedrooms. This may lead to the unintended consequences of potentially increasing overcrowding and reducing the quality of their living environment. Non-NDSS compliant dwellings may be required to ensure that those on lower incomes can afford a property, which meets their bedroom requirements.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The policy has been assessed as being viable.
Representation reference: 200/1/35 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound:	Comments: The Council should assess any potential adverse impacts on meeting demand for starter homes / first-time buyers because the greatest impacts are on smaller dwellings, which may affect delivery rates of sites included in the housing trajectory. The delivery rates on many sites will be determined by market	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The policy has been assessed as being viable.

		 not positively prepared not justified not effective not consistent with national policy 	affordability at relevant price points of dwellings and maximising absorption rates. An adverse impact on the affordability of starter home / first time buyer products may translate into reduced or slower delivery rates.		
Representation reference: 200/1/36 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The Council should also consider if additional families, who can no longer afford to buy a NDSS compliant home, will be pushed into affordable housing need. An unintended consequence of the Council's policy approach may be an increased need for affordable housing at the same time as the cumulative impact of compliancy with policy requirements reduces the viability of development and lessens delivery of affordable housing.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The policy has been assessed as being viable.
Representation reference: 200/1/37 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: If the proposed requirement for NDSS is carried forward, then the Council should put forward proposals for transitional arrangements. The land deals underpinning residential sites may have been secured prior to any proposed introduction of the NDSS. These sites should be allowed to move through the planning system before any proposed policy requirements are enforced. The NDSS should not be applied to any reserved matters applications or any outline or detailed approval prior to a specified date. The requirement for NDSS should be deleted. If the NDSS is adopted then the Council should put forward appropriate proposals for transitional arrangements.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: It is considered that the development industry will have sufficient time to comply with the policy requirements and a transitional arrangement is not deemed necessary.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 201/1/3	Policy 4	and soundness:	Local planning authorities can require compliance with the	None specified.	The Council has
		Plan is legally	Nationally Described Space Standards (NDSS) through the local		prepared an
Name:		compliant.	plan process but the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) is clear		Optional Higher
Persimmon Homes			that the need for the NDSS must be established in the		Technical Standards
		Plan is unsound:	authority area. The PPG refers to three key aspects that should		paper (July 2020)
		- not justified	be assessed as part of this:		which outlines the
		- not effective			need to comply with
		- not consistent	Need – evidence should be provided on the size and type of		the NDSS.
		with national policy	dwellings currently being built in the area, to ensure the		
			impacts of adopting space standards can be properly assessed,		
			for example, to consider any potential impact on meeting		
			demand for starter homes		
			Viability – the impact of adopting the space standard should be		
			considered as part of the plan's viability assessment with		
			account taken of the impact of potentially larger dwellings on		
			the land supply. Local planning authorities will also need to		
			consider the impact on affordability where a space standard is		
			to be adopted		
			Timing – There may need to be a reasonable transition period		
			following the adoption of a new policy on space standards to		
			enable developers to factor the cost of space standards into		
			future acquisitions.		
			Where demonstrating need is concerned, the only commentary		
			is in paragraph 5.9 of the supporting text to draft Policy 4. This		
			states that		
			"The Council undertook desktop research of just over 100		
			housing developments granted planning permission between		
			2015 and 2018, and concluded that around half of the schemes		
			met most of the guidance set out in the NDDS."		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 201/1/5	Policy 4	and soundness:		None specified.	

Name: Persimmon Homes		Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	There is no comprehensive explanation of how viability implications of the NDSS have been tested. We note the following commentary at paragraph 5.18 of the Plan Viability Study (June 2020): "In devising suitable floor areas to use we have had regard to MHCLG minimum space standards and new build developments coming forward in the borough." Simply having "had regard" to the NDSS amongst other factors in deciding on the relevant floor areas to use in appraisals is different from assessing the viability, affordability and supply implications of applying these standards to all future forthcoming schemes over the plan period. In discussing draft Policy 4, Appendix 1 to the Plan Viability Study (June 2020) does not reference the NDSS at all and states that the associated costs have been dealt with through "scheme design and allowance for external works." This is an ambiguous statement and does not give the necessary confidence that NDSS has been properly integrated into the viability modelling. In addition, contrary to the requirements of the PPG, there is no commentary on the impacts of requiring larger dwellings on the future land supply (a significant consideration given the		All developments are required to provide at least the minimum internal space standards and storage areas as set out in the Nationally Described Space Standards, or successor guidance. This has been tested through the LPP2's viability assessment and has been found to be achieveable. No modification required.
			the future land supply (a significant consideration given the largely urban nature of the authority's area) nor any qualitative consideration of the corresponding impacts upon affordability.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 201/1/6	Policy 4	and soundness: Plan is legally	Finally, no consideration has been given to the desirability or otherwise of a transitional period and we would expect this to	Finally, no consideration has	The LPP2 is expected to be
Name:		compliant.	be assessed in light of the commentary in the PPG.	been given to the	adopted in the
Persimmon Homes				desirability or	second half of 2021.
		Plan is unsound:		otherwise of a	There is sufficient
		- not justified		transitional period	opportunity for
		- not effective		and we would	developers to
		- not consistent		expect this to be	transition into the
		with national policy		assessed in light of	new policy

				the commentary in	requirements
				the PPG.	between now and
					then.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 201/1/7	Policy 4	and soundness:	Persimmon therefore OBJECTS to Policy 4 in its current form	The part of the	The Council has
		Plan is legally	since it is not justified by proportionate evidence nor is it	policy that requires	prepared an
Name:		compliant.	compliant with national policy.	compliance with	Optional Higher
Persimmon Homes				the NDSS should be	Technical Standards
		Plan is unsound:		deleted.	paper (July 2020)
		- not justified			which outlines the
		- not effective			need to comply with
		- not consistent			the NDSS. All
		with national policy			developments are
					required to provide
					at least the
					minimum internal
					space standards and
					storage areas as set
					out in the Nationally
					Described
					Space Standards, or
					successor guidance.
					This has been tested
					through the LPP2's
					viability assessment
					and has been found
					to be achieveable.
					No modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 4	and soundness:	Paragraph 127 of the NPPF refers to the need to provide a high	Modification	The LPP2 has
229/1/10		Plan is not legally	standard of amenity for existing and future occupiers with	A qualitative study	undergone a full
		compliant:	footnote 46 stating that planning policies should make use of	needs to be carried	viability assessment
Name:		- not compliant	the Government's optional technical standards for accessible	out and a more	and it concludes
Barratt David		with duty to	and adaptable housing, where this would identify a need for	explicit quantitative	that Nationally
Wilson Homes		cooperate	such properties.	study needs to be	Described Space

		The need for such properties has not been evidenced.	conducted to	Standards as set out
P	lan is unsound:	Paragraph 5.9 states "The Council undertook desktop research	determine if there	as a requirement in
	not positively	of just over 100 Housing Developments granted planning	is a need for NDSS	Policy 4 can be met.
	repared	permission between 2015 and 2018 and concluded that around	properties within	No modification
	not justified	half of the schemes met most of the guidance set out in the	Northampton	required.
	not effective	NDSS". Surveying 100 applications, of which 50% complied with	Borough.	
	not consistent	the standards, has not identified a need, based on amenity	Should such studies	
w	vith national policy	grounds nor is it explicit as to what constituted meeting an	conclude that there	
	. ,	NDSS housetype. To analyse the standard of amenity of the	is a need for such	
		occupants within the Borough, would also take into account a	houses, then the	
		qualitative approach, through surveys of people's opinions as	policy will need to	
		to whether they found their non-NDSS housetype to be of poor	be modified to	
		amenity.	specify what	
			exactly is expected	
		For the policy to be effective it needs to stipulate that the	rather than using	
		application of NDSS can only be secured through an Outline	the phase "at least"	
		Permission or Full application. Through QC opinions on sites	accordingly:-	
		within Northamptonshire County, that BDW would be happy to	 "Provision of 	
		share with Northampton Borough Council, the application of	NDSS space	
		NDSS cannot be sought through a Reserved Matters	standards in so far	
		Application, as NDSS does not fall within the definition of	as will be	
		Reserved Matters.	required taking into	
		The NDSS requirements as stated within the policy requires to	account the site's	
		compliance of both internal floorspce and Storage areas of	viability"	
		NDSS. This is inconsistent with the adopted Local plan part 2		
		for Daventry District Council who only require internal		
		floorspace compliance.		
		Introducing an NDSS criteria, will result in larger houses being		
		built but at what cost to the Council in terms of projected		
		financial S106 obligations? To build to NDSS criteria does not		
		directly recapture cost through a proportionate increase sale		
		price. In turn, this will lead to increased viability studies		
		accompanying applications, to demonstrate that a particular		
		site is not viable with this stipulation.		

			The policy is therefore not been adequately justified as to why NDSS is required and why storage spaces are required and nor is it effective		
Representation reference: 250/1/13 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In respect of Policy 2, 3 and 4, it is considered that the policies could potentially contain cross reference provisions to potential future SPD's which could afford some future flexibility to the Council to allow for responses to changes in circumstances. For example, the Nationally Described Space Standards have been referenced within Policy 4, through linking to SPD the Council would be able to publish local interpretations and locally specific approaches to achieving the overall aims and objectives for the Borough over the life of the plan.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: SPDs can be prepared in any case to provide further details on the implementation of the policies. There is no need to mention this in a policy.
Representation reference: 251/1/6 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Policy 4 requires that new development should ensure 'provision of at least the minimum internal space standards and storage areas as set out in the Nationally Described Space Standards (NDSS) or successor guidance.' Should the Council wish to apply the optional NDSS to new build dwellings, this should be done in accordance with Footnote 46 of NPPF Paragraph 127(f): 'Planning policies for housing should make use of the Government's optional technical standards for accessible and adaptable housing, where this would address an identified need for such properties. Policies may also make use of the nationally described space standard, where the need for an internal space standard can be justified.' As set out under Paragraph 31, all policies should be 'underpinned by relevant and up-to-date evidence', and 'should be adequate, proportionate and focussed tightly on supporting and justifying the policies concerned.' Additionally, the PPG5 sets out:	Suggested changes: The Developers consider that NDSS should only be introduced on a 'need to have' rather than a 'nice to have' basis: the identification of a need for NDSS should identify the harm caused or may be caused in the future.	Officer comments: The NDSS Technical Paper sets out the Council's evidence for adopting optional Nationally Described Space Standards.

			authority should provide justification for requiring internal space policies. Local planning authorities should take account of [need, viability and timing]' Before adopting the NDSS, the Council should provide a local assessment evidencing the case for Northampton. The Council has not demonstrated any evidence of need for the NDSS via its desktop research6 The Council undertook a desktop research of circa 100 developments granted consent between 2015 and 2018, which concluded that around half of the scheme met most of the guidance set out in NDSS; as such, there is clearly not a systemic problem which requires resolution. The Developers consider that NDSS should only be introduced on a 'need to have' rather than a 'nice to have' basis: the identification of a need for NDSS should identify the harm caused or may be caused in the future.		
Representation reference: 251/1/7 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: To test the cumulative impact of policy requirement compliancy, the Council's viability assessment should be based on NDSS, however it would appear the Council's Plan Viability Study (Aspinall Verdi, June 2020) has not properly tested the impacts of introducing NDSS. The average house sizes tested are not NDSS compliant (see Tables 5-11, 5-12 & 5-13). The Council's viability assessment should recognise that the requirement for NDSS decreases site density and, thus, additional land is required in order to achieve the same number of dwellings. It should also recognise that decreased densities results in a less efficient use of land and, at the same time, infrastructure and other contributions fall on fewer dwellings per site, which may challenge viability, delivery of affordable housing and release of land for development by landowners.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Table 5-3 of the Viability Assessment sets out that the MHCLG NDSS have been used to determine viability of the Plan. No modification required.

Representation reference: 251/1/8 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The impact of adopting NDSS on affordability should also be assessed given the direct relationship between unit size, cost, value and affordability. Simply put, an increase in unit size is likely to result in an increase in build costs; in turn this will undoubtedly increase the cost of new housing in a Borough where affordability has significantly worsened in the last decade. An inflexible policy approach to NDSS for all dwellings is likely to impact on affordability and have a negative effect on customer choice: the introduction of NDSS for all dwellings may lead to customers purchasing larger homes in floorspace but with bedrooms less suited to their housing needs.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The policy has been assessed as viable.
Representation reference: 251/1/9 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: In this regard, the Council has not assessed the potential adverse impact on meeting demand for starter homes or first-time buyers, as outlined by the PPG7: '[] evidence should be provided on the size and type of dwellings currently being built in the area, to ensure the impacts of adopting space standards can be properly assessed, for example, to consider any potential impact on meeting demand for starter homes.' The delivery rates on many sites will be driven by market affordability at the relevant price points of dwellings and thus maximising absorption rates. An adverse impact on the affordability of starter home and/or first-time buyer products may translate into reduced or slower delivery rates.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The policy has been assessed as being viable.
Representation reference: 251/1/10 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd -	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: Moreover, the Developers note that no assessment has been provided of how many more households will be pushed into affordable housing need as a result of the adoption of the NDSS and the increased costs, as outlined by the PPG8: '[] the impact of adopting the space standard should be considered as part of a plan's viability assessment with account	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The policy has been assessed as viable.

Site E of Towcester Rd		 not effective not consistent with national policy 	taken of the impact of potentially larger dwellings on land supply. Local planning authorities will also need to consider impacts on affordability where a space standard is to be adopted.' An unintended consequence of the Council's policy approach may be an increased need for affordable housing at the same time as the cumulative impact of compliancy with policy requirements reduces the viability of development and lessens		
Representation reference: 251/1/11 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	delivery of affordable housing. Comments: If the proposed requirement for NDSS is carried forward, then the Council should put forward proposals for transitional arrangements, as advised by the PPG9: '[] there may need to be a reasonable transitional period following adoption of a new policy on space standards to enable developers to factor the cost of space standards into future land acquisitions.' Any land acquisitions underpinning residential sites may have been secured prior to any proposed introduction of the NDSS and therefore these sites should be allowed to move through the planning system before any proposed policy requirements are enforced. The NDSS should not be applied to any reserved matters applications or any outline or detailed approval prior to a specified date. The requirement for NDSS should be deleted or, if adopted, the Council should put forward appropriate proposals for transitional arrangements.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: It is considered that the development industry has sufficient time to implement this policy. Transitional arrangements are not required.
Representation reference: 53/1/3 Name: Anglian Water Services Limited	Refers to: Policy 5	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Policy 5 Carbon Reduction, Community Energy Networks, Sustainable Design and Construction, and Water Use - SUPPORT Policy 5 refers to residential developments being required to mininise water consumption by meeting the optional	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

			Anglian Water and the Environment Agency and Natural England has issued advice to local planning authorities (copy attached) stating that there is evidence to demonstrate a need for optional water efficiency standard to be applied in the Anglian Water supply area. As such we fully support the inclusion of this standard in the policy. We note that changes have made to refer to water re-use measures in response to comments made by Anglian Water as part of the earlier pre-submission consultation. Opportunities for a more holistic and integrated approach to water management should form part of the plan, to encourage multi-functional water management assets which support other community objectives. This approach combines different elements of water management (e.g. combining SuDS with a water re- use system to both manage runoff and provide an alternative non-potable water supply) together with town planning and design (e.g. integrating the planted SuDS features throughout a development to contribute to 'greener' streetscapes). For the reasons set out above we fully support the reference made to development proposals incorporating water re-use measures wherever possible to reduce demand on existing water supply and impact on existing sewerage infrastructure.		
Representation reference: 75/1/7 Name: Town Centre Conservation Area Advisory Committee	Refers to: Policy 5	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Refurbishment of existing building stock should not simply use the same sustainable construction methods as new build, it must allow for different historic construction methods (e.g. dampproof treatments are not the same for solid wall construction) and the need to preserve and enhance character of heritage assets (e.g. replacing windows not appropriate).	Suggested changes: Therefore add a bullet point "For the conversion, maintenance and refurbishment of historic and traditional buildings, methods and materials will be appropriate to the age and	Officer comments: Policy 31 of the LPP2 details the requirement to specifically protect designated and non- designated heritage assets. Policy 7 of the LPP2 requires development to incorporate

				construction of the	sustainable drainage
				building. This is	systems into
				particularly	designs.
				important for	No modification
				heritage assets	required.
				(designated and	i cqui cu.
				non-designated)."	
				- Add a	
				bullet point (all	
				development	
				proposals section):	
				"Incorporate	
				absorbent and	
				natural external	
				surfaces, trees and	
				vegetation to avoid	
				water run-off."	
				- In	
				accordance with	
				the NPPF paragraph	
				163c, add a bullet	
				point: "Incorporate	
				sustainable	
				drainage systems,	
				unless there is clear	
				evidence it would	
				be inappropriate".	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 97/1/12	Policy 5	and soundness:	The respondent accepts the significant challenge which a	None.	The Environment
		Plan is legally	changing climate poses and has no significant comments in		Agency has
Name:		compliant.	respect of the content of Policy 5. However, there are concerns		confirmed that the
Clayson Country			over the inclusion of water efficiency standards within the last		Anglian Water area
Homes		Plan is sound.	paragraph of the Policy and in particular Part G2 of the Building		is an area of water
			Regulations, where this requirement is already covered by		stress. As such
			legislation it is considered that repetition within Policy 5 is not		measures to ensure
			required and places yet a further burden on Applicants. There		reduced water

			are also concerns over how this element of the policy will be monitored.		consumption are required to prevent this issue from getting worse. No modification required.
Representation reference: 148/1/15 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: Policy 4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In respect of Policy 2, 3 and 4, it is considered that the policies could potentially contain cross reference provisions to potential future SPD's which could afford some future flexibility to the Council to allow for responses to changes in circumstances.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: SPDs can be prepared in any case to provide further details on the implementation of the policies. There is no need to mention this in a policy.
Representation reference: 148/1/16 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: Policy 5	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: The respondent accepts the significant challenge which a changing climate poses and has no significant comments in respect of the content of Policy 5. However, there are concerns over the inclusion of water efficiency standards within the last paragraph of the Policy and in particular Part G2 of the Building Regulations, where this requirement is already covered by legislation it is considered that repetition within Policy 5 is not required and places yet a further burden on Applicants. There are also concerns over how this element of the policy will be monitored.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 195/1/12 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: Policy 5	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: The respondent accepts the significant challenge which a changing climate poses and has no significant comments in respect of the content of Policy 5. However, there are concerns over the inclusion of water efficiency standards within the last paragraph of the Policy and in particular Part G2 of the Building Regulations, where this requirement is already covered by legislation it is considered that repetition within Policy 5 is not required and places yet a further burden on Applicants. There	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 5 seeks to provide policy direction on water efficiency standards for developments within Northampton, in conformity to

			are also concerns over how this element of the policy will be monitored.		guidelines. Monitoring details of all policies can be found in Chapter 14 of the plan.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 5	and soundness:	Policy 5 : Carbon Reduction, Community Energy Networks,	None.	Details of how to
200/1/38		Plan is legally	Sustainable Design & Construction and Water Use		apply for planning
		compliant.	Under Policy 5 planning applications for major development		permission are on
Name:			must include a Sustainability Statement setting out their		the Planning Portal.
HBF		Plan is unsound:	approach to adaptation to climate change and carbon		No modification
		- not positively	reduction. All development proposals should, where possible,		required.
		prepared	incorporate decentralised energy networks and actively		
		- not justified	promote energy efficiency and use of renewable energy		
		- not effective	sources where there is opportunity to do so.		
		- not consistent	The 2010 NDDE states that religion should be clearly written		
		with national policy	The 2019 NPPF states that policies should be clearly written and unambiguous (para 16). The policy does not provide a clear		
			indication as to how an applicant should prepare a planning		
			application nor how a decision-maker should react to a		
			development proposal.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 5	and soundness:	The Council has declared a Climate Emergency and is	None specified.	The Government's
200/1/39		Plan is legally	committed to a target of making Northampton carbon neutral		guidance has not yet
		compliant.	by 2030 (paras 2.48 & 5.11). It is the HBF's opinion that the		been finalised.
Name:			Council's policy approach should reflect the Government's		
HBF		Plan is unsound:	proposals as set out in the Future Homes Standard		
		- not positively	consultation, which ended on 7th February 2020. The UK has		
		prepared	set in law a target to bring all its greenhouse gas emission to		
		- not justified	net zero by 2050. New and existing homes account for 20% of		
			net zero by 2050. New and existing homes account for 20% of emissions. It is the Government's intention to future proof new		
		- not justified	net zero by 2050. New and existing homes account for 20% of		

Representation reference: 200/1/40	Refers to: Policy 5	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	The HBF submission argues that "a stepped and incremental approach should be adopted given, in particular, the large requirement for supply chain and infrastructure investment and skills training to support this ambition. The consensus is that Option 1 should be implemented within 2020, with Option 2 being implemented within two to three years in approximately 2023. Our membership sees that transitional arrangements around this implementation should be 18 – 24 months". Comments: It is also noted that the Council proposes incorporation of decentralised energy networks. The Council is referred to the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Policy 5 is in conformity to para 151 of the NPPF.
			efficiency standards. The HBF's response recognises and supports the need to move to The Future Homes Standard but the Government's preferred Option 2 for a 31% reduction in carbon emissions compared to the current Part L 2013 requirements in 2020 would be difficult and risky to deliver given the immaturity of the supply chain for the production / installation of heat pumps, and the additional load that would be placed on local electricity networks when coupled with Government proposals for the installation of electric vehicle charging points (EVCP) in new homes. The HBF and its Members favour the Government's Option 1 for a 20% reduction in emissions in 2020 (involving higher fabric efficiency standards than Option 2) and then a further step to Option 2 standards by 2023, which would allow more time for the supply chain to gear up for the scale of demand entailed. The HBF submission argues that "a stepped and incremental approach should be adopted given, in particular, the large		
			 options to uplift standards for Part L (Conservation of Fuel & Power) and changes to Part F (Ventilation) Building Regulatios transitional arrangements to encourage quicker implementation ; and clarifying the role of Councils in setting energy 		

Name:			consultation on Heat Networks : Building A Market Framework		
HBF		Plan is unsound:	(ended on 1st June 2020). Currently, there are no sector		
		- not positively	specific protections for heat network consumers, unlike for		
		prepared	people on other utilities such as gas, electricity or water. Some		
		- not justified	heat network consumers do not have comparable levels of		
		- not effective	satisfaction as consumers on gas and electricity networks, and		
		- not consistent	they pay a higher price. A consumer living in a building serviced		
		with national policy	by a heat network does not have the same opportunities to		
			switch supplier as they would for most gas and electricity		
			supplies. All heat network domestic consumers should have		
			ready access to information about their heat network, a good		
			quality of service, fair and transparently priced heating and a		
			redress option should things go wrong. These concerns should		
			be considered by the Council.		
			The Council's viability assessment excludes any costs for Future		
			Homes Standard or the cost of connection to decentralised		
			energy scheme. The Government's consultation estimated		
			Future Homes Standard costs between		
			£2,557 - £4,847 per dwelling.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 5	and soundness:	Policy 5 also states that residential development proposals	The requirement	The Anglian Water
200/1/41		Plan is legally	should demonstrate that dwellings meet the Building	for the optional	region is particularly
		compliant.	Regulation optional higher water efficiency standard of 110	water efficiency	vulnerable to the
Name:			litres per person per day, as set out in Building Regulations Part	standard is	impacts of climate
HBF		Plan is unsound:	G2. Water reuse & recycling, rainwater & stormwater	unjustified by	change and is
		- not positively	harvesting and other suitable measures should be incorporated	supporting	identified as an area
		prepared	wherever feasible to reduce demand on mains water supply.	evidence. This	of serious water
		- not justified		policy requirement	stress. As such the
		- not effective	All new dwellings achieve a mandatory level of water efficiency	should be deleted.	optional
		- not consistent	of 125 litres per day per person under Building Regulations,		requirement of 110
		with national policy	which is higher than that achieved by much of the existing		litres / person / day
			housing stock. This mandatory standard represents an effective		is justified. No
			demand management measure. The WMS dated 25th March		modification
			2015 confirmed that "the optional new national technical		required.
			standards should only be required through any new Local Plan		

Representation reference: 229/1/11Refers to Policy 5Name: Barratt David Wilson HomesPolicy 5Representation reference: Daliant FRefers to Daliant F	and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy Elegal compliance	with the NPPG". If the Council wishes to adopt the optional standard for water efficiency of 110 litres per person per day, then the Council should justify doing so by applying the criteria set out in the NPPG (ID 56-013 to 56-017). The NPPG refers to "helping to use natural resources prudently to adopt proactive strategies to take full account of water supply and demand considerations whether a tighter water efficiency requirement for new homes is justified to help manage demand" however the Housing Standards Review was explicit that reduced water consumption was solely applicable to water stressed areas. The Anglian Water company area may be considered an area of water stress but Northampton Borough is only part of this wide area, the Council has provided no evidence that the Borough itself is a water stressed area. Comments: The policy does not distinguish between residential and commercial development. Different levels of carbon reduction. Whilst the policy is rather flexible in terms of what is expected in terms of carbon reduction, the ability to achieve carbon reduction can take a number of forms at varying cost. Does the policy envisage the use of a fabric first approach?	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: It will be for the appliant to demonstrate how the development meets the requirements of Policy 5, including details on materials. The policy applies to residential and commercial development. No modification required.
229/1/12	and soundness:	decentralised energy networks" Ofgem rules are written as	written as such that	out that plans
reference: Policy 5	and soundness:	Whilst the policy states "Where possible, incorporate	Ofgem rules are	Paragraph 151 sets

Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes		Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	such that future occupants of buildings should have the freedom to choose their energy suppliers, albeit something that NBC have no control over. It is worth mentioning these regulations.	future occupants of buildings should have the freedom to choose their energy suppliers, albeit something that NBC have no control over. It is worth mentioning these regulations.	should: c) identify opportunities for development to draw its energy supply from decentralised, renewable or low carbon energy supply systems and for colocating potential heat customers and suppliers. No modification required.
Representation reference: 229/1/13 Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes	Refers to: Policy 5	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	 Comments: For the policy to be effective, in its current guise, reference to an SPD is required. The SPD would make explicit what specific detail is required in regard to the issues referenced in the policy and the LPA would be expected to be submitted in a Sustainability Statement. The SPD can also;- refer to any carbon off set fund/off-site provision that would be captured through a S106 obligation a user friendly toolkit which can calculate carbon reduction any on-going monitoring of performance at what stage in planning this can be addressed i.e. not through a Reserved Matters application 	Suggested changes: Modification The policy does not render the plan unsound but only with a supporting SPD which covers in depth, explicitly what is expected to be required in regards to the issues that are to be covered in a Sustainability Statement.	Officer comments: The preparation of SPDs is, by virtue of regulations, required to be linked to a policy. It is not therefore considered necessary to provide a link to any future SPDs.
Representation reference: 234/1/3 Name:	Refers to: Policy 5	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: Whilst it is not believed that this is their intention, the implication of these policies, as presently worded, is that a proposal for the change of use of a unit in excess of 1,000sqm would require the submission of a Sustainability Statement,	Suggested changes: DPFC therefore suggest that these policies are amended to clarify	Officer comments: It is important that these requirements apply to all developments

Diversified Property Fund For Charities		Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	 Health Impact Assessment and Travel Plan. Applied to Grafton Trade Park, this could mean that a straightforward change of use application for one of the larger units (for example, to allow another car rental operator within the Trade Park) would trigger the need for such burdensome documents. Such requirements would appear to be inappropriate and disproportionate for such modest changes of use and ought to be removed. DPFC therefore suggest that these policies are amended to clarify that they apply solely to proposals for new build floorspace over 1,000sqm and not to changes of use of existing floorspace. 	that they apply solely to proposals for new build floorspace over 1,000sqm and not to changes of use of existing floorspace.	because they all have impacts on sustainability, health and transport related matters. No modification required.
Representation reference: 241/1/6	Refers to: Policy 5	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments:	Suggested changes: In the current	Officer comments: Noted.
reference: 241/1/6	Policy 5	Plan is legally	'Movement and access walking and cycling' excludes equestrians.	climate mental	Noted.
Name:		compliant.	Horse riders have access to only 22% of the public rights of way	health is hugely	
British Horse		compliant.	network and carriage drivers to just 5%. Invariably equestrians	important and	
Society		Plan is sound.	have to use the road network to access their nearest bridleway	horse riding and	
,			or byway and it is important that they are able to do this safely	carriage driving	
			and are provided with safe routes just as walkers and cyclists	play a large part in	
			are. Including equestrians provides even better value for the	enhancing physical	
			public purse.	and psychological	
			Over 90% of equestrians are women and 37% of these are over	health therefore	
			45 years of age and over a third would pursue no other	should be included	
			physical activity (Church et al, 2010 and NHS,2019). 'Horse	in improving quality	
			riding induces physiologically positive effects such as muscle	of life and	
			strength, balanceand psychologically positive changes' (Sung	wellbeing through	
			et al, 2015). In the current climate mental health is hugely important and horse riding and carriage driving play a large	an inclusive transport system	
			part in enhancing physical and psychological health therefore	accessible to all	
			should be included in improving quality of life and wellbeing	which emphasises	
			through an inclusive transport system accessible to all which	sustainable and	
			emphasises sustainable and active travel.	active travel.	

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 250/1/14 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Policy 5	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	The respondent accepts the significant challenge which a changing climate poses and has no significant comments in respect of the content of Policy 5. However, there are concerns over the inclusion of water efficiency standards within the last paragraph of the Policy and in particular Part G2 of the Building Regulations, where this requirement is already covered by legislation it is considered that repetition within Policy 5 is not required and places yet a further burden on Applicants. There are also concerns over how this element of the policy will be monitored	None.	Noted.
Representation reference: 251/1/12 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: Policy 5	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Policy 5 requires that all development proposals should 'where possible, incorporate decentralised energy networks'. NPPF Paragraph 16 states that policies should be 'clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals'. The policy does not provide a clear indication as to how and when an applicant should demonstrate that the incorporation of decentralised energy networks is not possible.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Should an applicant not be able to incorporate a decentralised energy network, then evidence should be provided. No modification required.
Representation reference: 251/1/13 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: Policy 5	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Additionally, the Council's Plan Viability Study (Aspinall Verdi, June 2020) does not include any costs for the provision of, or connection to, decentralised energy schemes. It is noted from the Future Homes Standard consultation that implementing decentralised energy schemes would add between £2,557 - £4,847 to the build-cost per dwelling. The Developers therefore object to the requirements of this policy on the basis of its viability not being tested. Should the Council wish to require the incorporation of decentralised energy networks, it is critical for its viability to be tested in the Study.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The policy has been assessed as viable.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 5	and soundness:	Policy 5 also states the following:	The requirement	The Anglian Water
251/1/14		Plan is legally	'For residential development, proposals should demonstrate	for the higher	region is particularly
		compliant.	that dwellings meet the Building Regulation optional higher	water efficiency	vulnerable to the
Name:			water efficiency standard of 110 litres per person per day, as	standard is	impacts of climate
Duncan		Plan is unsound:	set out in Building Regulations Part G2. Water reuse and	unsound because it	change and is
Investments Ltd -		- not justified	recycling and rainwater and stormwater harvesting and other	is unjustified and	identified as an area
Site E of Towcester		- not effective	suitable measures should be incorporated wherever feasible to	inconsistent with	of serious water
Rd		- not consistent	reduce demand on mains water supply.'	national policy. This	stress. As such the
		with national policy		policy requirement	optional
			In determining the standard which should be applied to new	should be deleted.	requirement of 110
			dwellings, the PPG11 confirms:		litres / person / day
			'All new homes already have to meet the mandatory national		is justified. No
			standard set out in the Building Regulations (of 125		modification
			litres/person/day). Where there is a clear local need, local		required.
			planning authorities can set out Local Plan policies requiring		
			new dwellings to meet the tighter Building Regulations		
			optional requirement of 110 litres/person/day.'		
			Should the Council wish to adopt the higher optional		
			standard for water efficiency of 110 litres per person per day, a		
			clear need for this should be established based on the		
			following, as also advised by the PPG:		
			 existing sources of evidence. 		
			 consultations with the local water and sewerage 		
			company, the Environment Agency and catchment		
			partnerships.		
			 consideration of the impact on viability and housing 		
			supply of such a requirement.		
			The Developers acknowledge that the higher optional		
			standard has been tested through the Council's Plan Viability		
			Study (Aspinall Verdi, June 2020) and that Anglian Water were		
			consulted as part of the Draft Proposed Submission		
			Consultation (June 2019).		
			However, Anglian Water's response did not disclose any locally		
			specific evidence to suggest whether Northampton Borough is		

			itself an area of serious water stress; instead, its response outlined: 'We understand that the Environment Agency considers that the area served by Anglian Water is an area of serious water stress as defined in the Environment Agency 2013 'Water stressed areas final classification report'. Therefore we would fully support the optional water efficiency standard being applied within the Northampton Local Plan area.' [Emphasis added] Whilst the area served by Anglian Water may be considered an area of water stress, the administrative boundary of Northampton Borough forms only part of this wide area; Anglian Water nor the Council have provided any further evidence which clearly demonstrates that the Borough itself is a water stressed area. This is supported by the PPG12 which confirms: 'In addition to these primary data sources, locally specific evidence may also be available, for example collaborative 'water cycle studies' may have been carried out in areas of high growth.'		
Representation reference: 241/1/3 Name: British Horse Society	Refers to: para. 5.25	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Paragraph refers to 'walking and cycling'. Horse riding (and carriage driving) are accepted in the Active Travel strategy as healthy activity.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 241/1/4 Name: British Horse Society	Refers to: para. 5.25	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Paragraph refers to leisure spaces, etc however Public Rights of Way are not specifically mentioned.	Suggested changes: PRoW must be promoted, expanded and protected for shared user benefit.	Officer comments: It is agreed that the plan could be strengthened by making references to public rights of way. Modify Policy 32 accordingly.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 241/1/5	para. 5.28	and soundness:	'Movement and access walking and cycling' excludes	In the current	Noted.
		Plan is legally	equestrians.	climate mental	
Name:		compliant.	Horse riders have access to only 22% of the public rights of way	health is hugely	
British Horse			network and carriage drivers to just 5%. Invariably equestrians	important and	
Society		Plan is sound.	have to use the road network to access their nearest bridleway	horse riding and	
			or byway and it is important that they are able to do this safely	carriage driving	
			and are provided with safe routes just as walkers and cyclists	play a large part in	
			are. Including equestrians provides even better value for the	enhancing physical	
			public purse.	and psychological	
			Over 90% of equestrians are women and 37% of these are over	health therefore	
			45 years of age and over a third would pursue no other	should be included	
			physical activity (Church et al, 2010 and NHS,2019). 'Horse	in improving quality	
			riding induces physiologically positive effects such as muscle	of life and	
			strength, balanceand psychologically positive changes' (Sung	wellbeing through	
			et al, 2015). In the current climate mental health is hugely	an inclusive	
			important and horse riding and carriage driving play a large	transport system	
			part in enhancing physical and psychological health therefore	accessible to all	
			should be included in improving quality of life and wellbeing	which emphasises	
			through an inclusive transport system accessible to all which	sustainable and	
			emphasises sustainable and active travel.	active travel.	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 57/1/1	Policy 6	and soundness:	Whilst the document mentions the importance of Health and	None.	The plan has been
		Plan is not legally	Wellbeing, it is felt that there is little joined up thinking. There		prepared using
Name:		compliant:	is substantial development without the appropriate increases		updated evidence
Hardingstone		- reason not	for GP surgeries or whether Northampton General Hospital can		base and also in
Parish Council		specified	cope with the expanding population when both seem to be at		consultation with
			breaking point.		key stakeholders
		Plan is unsound:			who are responsible
		- reason not			for various
		specified			infrastructure
					provision including
					healthcare
					provision. The
					Infrastructure

Representation reference: 62/1/4 Name: Northamptonshire County Council / North Northants JPU	Refers to: Policy 6	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: We fully support the inclusion of Policy 6 on the topic of health and wellbeing. This is clearly in alignment with NPPF and reflects the significant local health and wellbeing challenges in Northampton Borough that have been identified by the partners involved in the Northamptonshire Health and Care Partnership (https://northamptonshirehcp.co.uk/). If designed correctly, new developments can play a much greater role in supporting health and wellbeing by supporting healthier lives, rather than making them difficult to attain. This is something we definitely need to achieve to address local health and wellbeing challenges in the Borough.	Suggested changes: None.	Delivery Plan 2019 has also been used to update the requirements for infrastructure in Northampton. Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 62/1/5 Name: Northamptonshire County Council / North Northants JPU	Refers to: Policy 6	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Health Impact Assessment The requirement to undertake Health Impact Assessments will be an effective, robust and proportionate way to ensure that planning decisions contribute to these local and national health and wellbeing policy objectives, in accordance with existing guidance. The requirement for health impact assessment will allow / enable: • Developers / applicants to systematically identify the health and wellbeing implications of their proposals and therefore whether they are meeting relevant (national and local) planning policy requirements in relation to health and wellbeing. • Make improvements (for example in design / layout) to mitigate any negative health and wellbeing impacts	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

			 or maximise the positive contributions to health and wellbeing. The planning authority to judge the contribution the development proposals make to health and wellbeing and thus how they meet the health and wellbeing requirements of NPPF and LPP2 Policy 6. Inform discussion / consideration of the proposals by consultees such as the local Public Health team and Clinical Commissioning Group. This approach is aligned to the Planning Practice Guidance. The submitted Health Impact Assessment would inform the views / representations of health sector consultees such as the Northamptonshire Director of Public Health and the local clinical commissioning groups. It would do this by identifying any significant impact on the health and wellbeing of the local population or particular groups within it and inform consideration of whether the new development would have a significant or cumulatively significant effect on health infrastructure and/or the demand for healthcare services. 		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 62/1/8	Policy 6	and soundness:	Physical activity	None.	Noted.
Name:		Plan is legally compliant.	Very simply, our population is generally not being active enough to maintain good health and wellbeing. The		
Northamptonshire		compliant.	Northamptonshire Physical Activity & Sport Framework – More		
County Council /		Plan is sound.	Active, More Often		
North Northants			(https://www.northamptonshiresport.org/files/59369/northa		
JPU			mptonshiremoreactivemoreoften-lowres-mar18.pdf) notes		
			that we are spending significant amounts of our lives in poor		
			health and one of the most important factors in this is that we are not being physically active enough.		
			Achieving higher levels of active travel is likely to require		
			significant investment in the public realm and walking and		
			cycling infrastructure. Specific aspects that should be		
			addressed include:		

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	 Way marking – ensuring walking and cycling routes are clear, prominent and easily navigable. You may have good walking / cycling routes but if people cannot easily find where they are going, they are less likely to use them. Safe and attractive – walking and cycling routes need to be appealing if they are to be used on a mass scale. Safety is a key aspect of this and should be addressed through high quality infrastructure, including cycleways that are segregated from motor vehicle traffic. Cycle parking – needs to be prominently located, to make it accessible and genuinely appealing to use. This has the added benefit of raising the profile of cycling as a means of travel. Places to rest / stop – for many people, particularly those with mobility issues, having places to stop and rest will be critical. 	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 62/1/9	Policy 6	and soundness:	Social inclusion	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	Although many people favour travel by car, a significant		
Name:		compliant.	proportion of the population do not have access to a motor		
Northamptonshire County Council /		Plan is sound.	vehicle and therefore a focus on infrastructure for car travel can greatly exacerbate social inequalities. This in turn is not		
North Northants		Pidii is souliu.	good for community wellbeing or the local economy.		
JPU			Bees to be and the second of the local cooliding.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 62/1/10	Policy 6	and soundness:	Air quality	None.	The policies
		Plan is legally	NBC has had several air quality management areas in the town		contained in the
Name:		compliant.	centre for a number of years and I understand is considering		local plan seeks to
Northamptonshire			amalgamating those into one larger town centre air quality		promote sustainable
County Council /		Plan is sound.	management area. Given the amount of housing growth		travel which will
North Northants			planned in / adjacent to Northampton and the likely levels of		contribute towards
JPU			associated car travel, this is likely become even more of an		

			issue. A significant change in approach to sustainable travel is likely to be required to bring air pollution levels below the statutory levels.		a reduction in air pollution levels.
Representation reference: 75/1/8 Name: Town Centre Conservation Area Advisory Committee	Refers to: Policy 6	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Providing access to nature improves wellbeing and can also improve health by promoting physical activity. There is a need to both provide new natural environment on site, and to provide routes to natural environment off-site. This is even more important where the development site was previously a natural environment, the development of which removes opportunities for local residents to experience nature.	Suggested changes: Therefore add a new bullet point: "Promoting access to the natural environment by both providing new natural environment on site, and providing routes to other natural environments nearby e.g. creation of foot-paths".	Officer comments: Policy 27 of the LPP2 requires new developments to sustain and enhance existing, and support the creation of, Northampton's green infrastructure. This includes ensuring green infrastructure assets are protected, managed, maintained and connected. Additionally, new development will need to demonstrate how it improves connectivity to the Local Level Green Infrastructure network beyond the site boundary. No modification required.
Representation reference: 97/1/13 Name:	Refers to: Policy 6	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: In terms of Policy 6, whilst the justification for a rapid health impact assessment to support applications of 10 or more dwellings appears justified and reasonable. It once again places	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: It is a requirement of the NPPF to create places that

Clayson Country			further validation burdens on developers at a time when the		are safe, inclusive
Homes		Plan is sound.	Government's overarching intention is to simplify and		and accessible and
			streamline the planning system to ensure that the economy		which promote
			recovers from the impact of COVID 19. Nonetheless, whilst the		health and well-
			need for such an assessment is accepted by the respondent, it		being. As such
			is urged that the requirements		proposals should
			for such an assessment, the tool for doing so and the specific		demonstrate how
			requirements for such a submission should be clearly		they meet the
			signposted within the LPP2.		policies with the
					NPPF using
					guidelines set out in
					Planning Practice
					Guidance.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 6	and soundness:	We do not believe the Plan to be justified by evidence or	None.	The LPP2 seeks to
113/1/20		Plan is legally	effective in dealing with Sports pitches and amenity space,		encourage healthy
		compliant.	specifically in relation to the following policies:		lifestyles through a
Name:			Policy 6 – Health and Wellbeing, Policy 23 – Sports facilities,		variety of policies (6,
East Hunsbury		Plan is unsound:	and playing pitches Policy 28 – Providing open space		23 and 28). Policy 23
Parish Council		- not justified	East Hunsbury is an area without sports pitches and future		sets out that
		- not effective	developments should be required to make provision for		development
			community access.		resulting in the loss
			There are many in our community who are, or have been,		the loss of existing
			members of Welland Valley Football Club over the years, and		sports related
			the parish council are concerned that the location of the		community facilities,
			football pitches (off of Ladybridge Drive (Wootton Brook) is not		which is well used
			classified as Amenity Green Space. The land has been used as		and valued, will only
			pitches for a number of years and is a prime example of an		be acceptable if
			area of land which should be maintained as amenity green		adequate
			space.		alternative provision
			The Parish Council would expect that any planning application		exists. Policy 28 of
			for development of sites within East Hunsbury would include		the LPP2 requires
			provision of open space, sports and recreation facilities, and		new major
			suitable measures to ensure the maintenance of these spaces		development to
			for the future. The use of management companies has created		sustain or enhance
					open spaces, and

Representation reference: 172/1/15 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Policy 6	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	an unnecessary burden for residents in other areas, and other ways of managing open space should be encouraged.	Suggested changes: None.	contribute to open space provision as set out in the standards in Policy 28. It is agreed that there is evidence to suggest that the area in Welland Valley FC (Off Ladybridge Drive) should be designated as Amenity Green Space. Modify the Policies Map accordingly. Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 172/1/20	Refers to: Policy 6	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: As currently drafted, some of the policies and supporting text do not meet the test of soundness through inconsistency with national policy which requires plans to be clear about design	Suggested changes: These should be reworded as follows:	Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification to the
Name: Homes England		Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	expectations, and how these will be tested (NPPF 2019, Paragraph 124).	POLICY 6 - HEALTH AND WELLBEING The health and wellbeing of communities will be maintained and	plan will clarify the policy. Modify Policy 6 with to include wording in brackets []- last bullet point:

r		
	improved by	POLICY 6 - HEALTH
	requiring	AND WELLBEING
	development to	The health and
	contribute to	wellbeing of
	creating an age	communities will be
	friendly, healthy	maintained and
	and equitable living	improved by
	environment	requiring
	through:	development to
	Creating	contribute to
	an inclusive built	creating an age
	and natural	friendly, healthy and
	environment;	equitable living
	Promoting	environment
	and facilitating	through:
	active and healthy	Creating an
	lifestyles;	inclusive built and
	Preventing	natural
	negative impacts	environment;
	on residential	Promoting
	amenity and wider	and facilitating
	public safety from	active and healthy
	noise, ground	lifestyles;
	instability, ground	Preventing
	and water	negative impacts on
	contamination,	residential amenity
	vibration and air	and wider public
	quality;	safety from noise,
	Providing	ground instability,
	access for all to	ground and water
	health and social	contamination,
	care facilities; and	vibration and air
	Promoting	quality;
	access for all to	Providing
	green spaces,	access for all to
	sports facilities,	

	Γ		1	play and reareation	health and casial
				play and recreation	health and social
				opportunities in	care facilities; and
				accordance with	Promoting
				the Standards set	access for all to
				out in this plan and	green spaces, sports
				the Open Space,	facilities, play and
				Sport and	recreation
				Recreation	opportunities in
				Strategy.	accordance with the
				[• Use of	Standards set out in
				design tools such as	this plan and the
				Building for a	Open Space, Sport
				Healthy Life (BHL]	and Recreation
					Strategy.
					[• Use of
					design tools such as
					Building for a
					Healthy Life (BHL]
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 6	and soundness:	In terms of Policy 6, whilst the justification for a rapid health	None.	A rapid health
195/1/13		Plan is legally	impact assessment to support applications of 10 or more		impact assessment
		compliant.	dwellings appears justified and reasonable. It once again places		can be carried out
Name:			further validation burdens on developers at a time when the		using updated
Mr B Cheer		Plan is sound.	Government's overarching intention is to simplify and		templates which can
			streamline the planning system to ensure that the economy		obtained from the
			recovers from the impact of COVID 19. Nonetheless, whilst the		Council or the
			need for such an assessment is accepted by the respondent, it		relevant public
			is urged that the requirements for such an assessment, the tool		health body. It is not
			for doing so and the specific requirements for such a		considered
			submission should be clearly signposted within the LPP2.		necessary to include
					this in the plan. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 201/1/8	Policy 6	and soundness:	Draft Policy 6 requires that all residential developments of 10	For these reasons,	Paragraph 91 of the
			or more dwellings, or 1,000 or more square metres to be	we do not consider	NPPF sets out that

Name:	Plan is legally	supported by a rapid Health Impact Assessment (HIA) in order	that draft Policy 6	Planning policies
Persimmon Homes	compliant.	to determine if a more substantial HIA is necessary. Larger	insofar as it	and decisions
		developments of 100 dwellings or more will be expected to	requires the	should aim to
	Plan is unsound:	complete a more "substantial" HIA that must be submitted in	submission of HIAs	achieve healthy,
	- not justified	support of any relevant planning applications.	is justified. We	inclusive and safe
	- not effective		therefore OBJECT	places which:
	- not consistent	The purpose of undertaking an HIA, according to paragraph	to this requirement	a) promote social
	with national policy	5.29 of the supporting text, is to enable the identification and	and suggest its	interaction,
		assessment of the likely effects that a proposed development	deletion for	including
		will have on the health and wellbeing of the community.	soundness.	opportunities for
		However, it is not clear what substantive content the Council		meetings between
		will expect HIAs to include or in what format this should be		people who might
		presented. It is similarly unclear what benefit or new		not otherwise come
		information undertaking these assessments will provide over		into contact with
		and above the already extensive documentation that must		each other – for
		accompany a planning application for major development.		example through
				mixed-use
		Most if not all of the factors mentioned in paragraph 5.28 as		developments,
		influencing healthy lifestyles are already covered by planning,		strong
		design and access statements; transport statements; and		neighbourhood
		Environment Statements prompted by the draft of policies that		centres, street
		already deal with ensuring adequate living conditions,		layouts that allow
		opportunities for sustainable travel and so forth.		for easy pedestrian
				and cycle
				connections within
				and between
				neighbourhoods,
				and active street
				frontages;
				b) are safe and
				accessible, so that
				crime and disorder,
				and the fear of
				crime, do not
				undermine the
				quality of life or

	community
	cohesion – for
	example through
	he use of clear and
	egible pedestrian
	outes, and high
	quality public space,
	which encourage
ti	he active and
	continual use of
q p	public areas; and
	c) enable and
St	support healthy
li i i	ifestyles, especially
N N	where this would
a	address identified
	ocal health and
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	well-being needs –
fo	or example through
ti	he provision of safe
а	and accessible green
ir ir	nfrastructure,
Si	ports facilities,
	ocal shops, access
ta	o healthier food,
a	allotments and
la	ayouts that
	encourage walking
	and cycling.
	Applicants are
	expecetd to
	esearch what
	hould be included
	n a Health
	Assessment,
	addressing points

					raised in the NPPF.
					No modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 6	and soundness:	What justification has been provided to produce a more	None.	Paragraph 91 of the
229/1/14		Plan is not legally	substantial Health Assessment for 100 dwellings or more and		NPPF sets out that
		compliant:	what would this entail?		Planning policies
Name:		- not compliant			and decisions
Barratt David		with duty to			should aim to
Wilson Homes		cooperate			achieve healthy,
					inclusive and safe
		Plan is unsound:			places which:
		- not positively			a) promote social
		prepared			interaction,
		- not justified			including
		- not effective			opportunities for
		- not consistent			meetings between
		with national policy			people who might
					not otherwise come
					into contact with
					each other – for
					example through
					mixed-use
					developments,
					strong
					neighbourhood
					centres, street
					layouts that allow
					for easy pedestrian
					and cycle
					connections within
					and between
					neighbourhoods,
					and active street
					frontages;

r	1	
		b) are safe and
		accessible, so that
		crime and disorder,
		and the fear of
		crime, do not
		undermine the
		quality of life or
		community
		cohesion – for
		example through
		the use of clear and
		legible pedestrian
		routes, and high
		quality public space,
		which encourage
		the active and
		continual use of
		public areas; and
		c) enable and
		support healthy
		lifestyles, especially
		where this would
		address identified
		local health and
		well-being needs –
		for example through
		the provision of safe
		and accessible green
		infrastructure,
		sports facilities,
		local shops, access
		to healthier food,
		allotments and
		layouts that
		encourage walking
		and cycling.

Representation reference: 234/1/4 Name: Diversified Property Fund For Charities	Refers to: Policy 6	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Whilst it is not believed that this is their intention, the implication of these policies, as presently worded, is that a proposal for the change of use of a unit in excess of 1,000sqm would require the submission of a Sustainability Statement, Health Impact Assessment and Travel Plan. Applied to Grafton Trade Park, this could mean that a straightforward change of use application for one of the larger units (for example, to allow another car rental operator within the Trade Park) would trigger the need for such burdensome documents. Such requirements would appear to be inappropriate and disproportionate for such modest changes of use and ought to be removed.	Suggested changes: DPFC therefore suggest that these policies are amended to clarify that they apply solely to proposals for new build floorspace over 1,000sqm and not to changes of use of existing floorspace.	Applicants are expecetd to research what should be included in a Health Assessment, addressing points raised in the NPPF. No modification required. Officer comments: It is important that these requirements apply to all developments because they all have impacts on sustainability, health and transport related matters. No modification required.
Representation reference: 250/1/15 Name: St Clair Land and	Refers to: Policy 6	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In terms of Policy 6, whilst the justification for a rapid health impact assessment to support applications of 10 or more dwellings appears justified and reasonable. It once again places further validation burdens on developers at a time when the Government's overarching intention is to simplify and	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Developments LLP Old Bedford Road			streamline the planning system to ensure that the economy recovers from the impact of COVID 19. Nonetheless, whilst the need for such an assessment is accepted by the respondent, it is urged that the requirements for such an assessment, the tool for doing so and the specific requirements for such a submission should be clearly signposted within the LPP2.		

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 6	and soundness:	Policy 6 requires the following:	The Developers	No change needed.
251/1/15		Plan is legally	'All residential developments of 10 or more dwellings, or 1,000	strongly disagree	
		compliant.	or more square metres will be required to be supported by a	with this approach	
Name:			rapid health impact assessment in order to determine if a more	as it creates	
Duncan		Plan is unsound:	substantial health impact assessment is necessary. Larger	uncertainty and	
Investments Ltd -		- not justified	developments, of 100 dwellings or more, will be expected to	risks the decision-	
Site E of Towcester		- not effective	compete a more substantial health impact assessment to	making stage	
Rd		- not consistent	support their application.'	becoming	
		with national policy	The Developers acknowledge the need for health	unnecessarily	
			impact assessments as part of applications for residential	protracted. The	
			development, particularly as these enable the identification of	Developers	
			the likely effects of a proposed development on the health and	propose that this	
			wellbeing of the community.	part of the policy is	
				amended as follows	
			The policy justification makes reference to the 'Rapid	(remove words in	
			Health Impact Assessment tool' and it is understood this	brackets):	
			relates to the Northamptonshire Rapid Health Impact	'All residential	
			Assessment Tool for Planning (August 2019). The Developers	developments of 10	
			agree with this approach as its inclusion creates certainty as to	or more dwellings,	
			the form of health impact assessment considered acceptable at	or 1,000 or more	
			the determination stage.	square metres will	
			However, no definition is provided for 'a more	be required to be	
			substantial health impact assessment' nor any criteria set	supported by a	
			outlining when the need for such an assessment is triggered.	rapid health impact	
				assessment (in	
				order to determine	
				if a more	
				substantial health	
				impact assessment	
				is necessary).	
				Larger	
				developments, of	
				100 dwellings or	
				more, will be	
				expected to	

Representation reference: 53/1/5	Refers to: para. 5.34	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: Following the publication of the previous version of the Local	compete a more substantial health impact assessment to support their application.' The deletion of this element will ensure a consistent policy approach in line with NPPF Paragraph 16 which states that policies should be 'clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals'. Suggested changes: It is therefore	Officer comments: It is agreed that the
Name: Anglian Water	and Policy 7	Plan is legally compliant.	Plan there is new water sector guidance relating to the adoption of SuDS where they meet the legal definition of sewers, This is expected to simplify the process for developers	suggested that the related supporting text (para 5.34) is	updated guidance should be referred to in the plan.
Services Limited		Plan is unsound: - not justified	applying to Anglian Water to adopt SuDs features.	updated to refer to Design and Construction Guidance.	Update paragraph 5.34 to refer to Anglian Water Sustainable Drainage Systems manual or successor documents.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 53/1/6	para. 5.34	and soundness:	We also note that it refers to major development proposals incorporating SuDS into the design and safeguarding access to	We would therefore ask that	It is agreed that the updated reference

Name:		Plan is legally	Anglian Water's existing water and water recycling	para 5.34 is	should be added to
Anglian Water		compliant.	infrastructure.	amended as	the plan. Amend
Services Limited			Policy BN7A of the adopted West Northamptonshire Core	follows:	5.34 as follows:
		Plan is unsound:	Strategy requires the incoporation of SuDS in development	'Anglian Water's	'Anglian Water's
		- not effective	wherever practicable. As such it doesn't limit the use of SuDS	SuDS adoption	SuDS adoption
			to major development	handbook and the	handbook and the
			proposals. Therefore the wording as proposed is inconsistent	water sector Design	water sector Design
			with the Core Strategy which would be read together with Part	and Construction	and Construction
			2 Local Plan.	Guidance sets out	Guidance sets out
			It is also important to emphasise that maintaining access to our	the circumstances	the circumstances in
			existing infrastructure is essential for all development	in which SuDS	which SuDS features
			proposals and is not limited to major development proposals as	features will be	will be adopted by
			suggested.	adopted by Anglian	Anglian Water'.
				Water'	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	para. 5.34,	and soundness:	As currently drafted, some of the policies and supporting text	Amend to include:	It is agreed that a
172/1/21	5.35	Plan is legally	do not meet the test of soundness through inconsistency with	5.33 In	proposed
		compliant.	national policy which requires plans to be clear about design	addition, NCC has	modification to the
Name:			expectations, and how these will be tested (NPPF 2019,	published its Local	plan will clarify the
Homes England		Plan is unsound:	Paragraph 124).	Standards and	policy. Modify the
		- reason not		Guidance for	glossary to include
		specified		Surface Water	suggested wording
				Drainage in	in brackets in para
				Northamptonshire2	5.34.
				1. The guide is a	Add new paragraph
				living document,	at 5.35.
				which is updated	
				regularly with new	5.34 Anglian
				emerging	Water as sewerage
				information. The	company for the
				Guide is designed	area has also
				to assist developers	produced surface
				in the design of a	water management
				surface water	guidance in relation
				drainage system in	to evidence that
				order to meet the	applicants will be

required local	required to provide
standards and to	to demonstrate
support local	compliance with the
planning	surface water
authorities in	hierarchy.
considering	[Sustainable
drainage proposals	Drainage Systems,
for new	known as SuDS are
developments.	an alternative way
Developments are	to manage surface
required to	water by reducing
consider flood risk,	or delaying
mitigate and where	rainwater run off.
possible reduce	They aim to mimic
flooding.	the way rainfall
Brownfield sites are	drains naturally
required to reduce	rather than
discharge of	conventional piped
surface water from	methods, which
the site by 40%.	cause problems
This betterment is	such as flooding,
likely to be	pollution or damage
increased in the	to the environment.
near future to a	Anglian Water
reduction to	promote the use of
greenfield run off	SuDS as a
rates in line with	sustainable and
restrictions placed	natural way of
on discharge of	controlling surface
surface water	water run-off].
sewers by Anglian	Anglian Water's
Water from	SUDs Adoption
brownfield sites	handbook sets out
into Anglian Water	the circumstances in
owned surface	which SUDs features
water sewers.	

		would be adopted
	5.34 Anglian	by Anglian Water.
	Water as sewerage	[5.35. SuDS
	company for the	should be multiuse,
	area has also	rather than set aside
	produced surface	solely for the
	water management	purpose of water
	guidance in relation	storage; Building for
	to evidence that	a Healthy Life states
	applicants will be	that well-designed
	required to provide	multi-functional
	to demonstrate	sustainable drainage
	compliance with	will incorporate play
	the surface water	and recreational
	hierarchy.	opportunities.]
	[Sustainable	
	Drainage Systems,	
	known as SuDS are	
	an alternative way	
	to manage surface	
	water by reducing	
	or delaying	
	rainwater run off.	
	They aim to mimic	
	the way rainfall	
	drains naturally	
	rather than	
	conventional piped	
	methods, which	
	cause problems	
	such as flooding,	
	pollution or	
	damage to the	
	environment.	
	Anglian Water	
	promote the use of	

				SuDS as a sustainable and natural way of controlling surface water run-off]. Anglian Water's SUDs Adoption handbook sets out the circumstances in which SUDs features would be adopted by Anglian Water. [5.35 SuDS should be multiuse, rather than set aside solely for the purpose of water storage; Building for a Healthy Life states that well- designed multi- functional sustainable drainage will incorporate play and recreational opportunities.]	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 53/1/4	Policy 7	and soundness:	Policy 7 Flood Risk and Water Management - OBJECT (in part) -	None.	Noted.
Nome		Plan is legally	EFFECTIVE		
Name: Anglian Water		compliant.	We note that changes have been made to Policy 7 in response to comments made by Anglian Water and Northamptonshire		
Services Limited		Plan is unsound:	County Councils as LLFA.		
Services Limited		- not effective	We welcome reference made to meeting the standards for		
			surface water as set out in documents produced both by the		

			LLFA and Anglian Water following our previous comments on this policy.		
Representation reference: 53/1/7 Name: Anglian Water Services Limited	Refers to: Policy 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: We also note that it refers to major development proposals incorporating SuDS into the design and safeguarding access to Anglian Water's existing water and water recycling infrastructure. Policy BN7A of the adopted West Northamptonshire Core Strategy requires the incoporation of SuDS in development wherever practicable. As such it doesn't limit the use of SuDS to major development proposals. Therefore the wording as proposed is inconsistent with the Core Strategy which would be read together with Part 2 Local Plan. It is also important to emphasise that maintaining access to our existing infrastructure is essential for all development proposals and is not limited to major development proposals as suggested.	Suggested changes: We would therefore ask that Policy 7 is amended as follows removing wording in brackets: 'For all (major) development'.	Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification will strengthen the policy. It is recommended that Policy 7 be modified to remove wording in brackets: 'For all (major) development'.
Representation reference: 97/1/14	Refers to: Policy 7	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: The discussion of the Borough's housing land supply position,	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Name: Clayson Country Homes		Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	as set out within Chapter 7 is welcomed as is the acknowledgement of the acute housing land supply issues which have arisen over the past 5 years. Allied to this, the Council's acknowledgement in respect of the issues arising from the historic over reliance upon the SUE's around the town is welcomed. The production of the LPP2 will allow for complimentary growth on a range of different sites across the Borough which will serve to compliment, rather than compete with, the delivery of the larger strategic sites.		
Representation reference: 97/1/15 Name:	Refers to: Policy 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: It is clear from Call for Sites submissions and the Council's Land Availability Assessment that the development options available within the Borough have been exhaustively considered and	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Clayson Country Homes		Plan is sound.	that there are no other sources of supply other than those which have been identified for development within the accompanying proposals map.		
Representation reference: 113/1/3 Name: East Hunsbury Parish Council	Refers to: Policy 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: We do not believe that the plan is justified by evidence or effective in dealing with the cumulative impact of proposed development sites specifically in relation to the following policies: Policy 7 – Flood risk and water management Areas of East Hunsbury have experienced serious flooding events in recent years, and the impact of further development on proposed sites will heighten the risk of further events. Proposed developments should take account of the impact on East Hunsbury as a whole and mitigation measures put in place where required. East Hunsbury Parish Council is working with Northamptonshire County Council on the Pathfinder 3 Project and the outcome of this will need to be considered for planning in the future.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 7 of the LPP2 supports proposals that assist in the management of flood risk and ensure flood risk is not increased elsewhere and provide flood risk reduction / betterment. Sustainable drainage systems must also be incorporated into the design of all major development. Policy BN7 of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy also supports development that complies with the flood risk assessment and management requirements set out in NPPF, the West Northamptonshire

					Strategic Flood Risk Assessments and the Environment Agency hazard maps. No modification required.
Representation reference: 148/1/22 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: Policy 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Whilst we do not dispute the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal, it is clear that for the purposes of progressing the LPP2 suitable mitigation is built in through the inclusion of Policy 7: Flood Risk and Water Management. Any application for the future development of the site will be supported by technical information to demonstrate that successful flood risk avoidance is possible at the site and that it will not impact upon the deliverability of the site for commercial purposes.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 172/1/16 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Policy 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: Homes England's Strategic Plan commits the Agency to improving design quality including through the use of Building for Life 12 (BfL12; now Building for a Healthy Life, see below) and other tools. Homes England welcomes the references to design quality and the opportunities to deliver quality design in Policies 2,3,4,6 & 7.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 172/1/22 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Policy 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: As currently drafted, some of the policies and supporting text do not meet the test of soundness through inconsistency with national policy which requires plans to be clear about design expectations, and how these will be tested (NPPF 2019, Paragraph 124).	Suggested changes: These should be reworded as follows: POLICY 7 - FLOOD RISK AND WATER MANAGEMENT Proposals that: • assist in the management of	Officer comments: No modification required as addressed in new paragraph 5.35.

flood risk and ensure flood risk is not increased elsewhere and
not increased elsewhere and
elsewhere and
provide flood risk
reduction/
betterment; and
proposals
which comply with
relevant guidance
for flood risk
management and
standards for
surface water
produced by the
Lead Local Flood
Authority and
Anglian Water (or
successor
documents) will be
supported.
For all
development:
• Suitable
access must be
provided and
maintained for
water supply and
drainage
infrastructure
Sustainabl
e drainage systems
must be

				the design [as multi use space]	
Representation reference: 195/1/14 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: Policy 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: The discussion of the Borough's housing land supply position, as set out within Chapter 7, is welcomed as is the acknowledgement of the acute housing land supply issues which has arisen over the past 5 years. Allied to this, the Council's acknowledgement in respect of the issues arising from the historic over reliance upon the SUE's around the town is welcomed. The production of the LPP2 will allow for complimentary growth on a range of different sites across the Borough which will serve to compliment, rather than compete with, the delivery of the larger strategic sites.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 244/1/19 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Policy 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Policy 7 Flood Risk and Water Management Bastion support the policy approach taken to incorporating SuDS into all major development. To ensure that this is consistent with national policy the policy should indicate that SuDS are required unless there is clear evidence to suggest this is inappropriate, in accordance with paragraph 163 of the NPPF and Paragraph: 079 Reference ID: 7-079-20150415 of the Planning Practice Guidance (revised 2015). There may be some instances where SuDS are utilised but supplemented by additional drainage measures to achieve the most appropriate drainage strategy for a site.	Suggested changes: The policy wording should be amended as follows: For all major development: • Sustainabl e drainage systems must be incorporated unless there is clear evidence to demonstrate this is not appropriate.	Officer comments: Policy BN7A of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy sets out that development should use SuDs wherever practicable. No change.
Representation reference: 23/1/5	Refers to: Policy 10	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: Following our comments in relation to the first Proposed	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Name: University of Northampton		Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Submission Version of the Local Plan in May 2019, we are pleased to see that Policy 10 (Supporting and Safeguarding the University of Northampton Waterside Campus) has been amended to refer to safeguarding the site for education and ancillary uses (previously referred to just education uses). This		

		 not effective not consistent with national policy 	amendment will ensure that the policy is flexible in the event that any ancillary uses are proposed, such as retail, restaurants or healthcare. The supporting policy text also makes reference to the outline planning permission which includes 35,000 sq. m of commercial floorspace on the south eastern part of the site, which is welcomed.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 30/1/14	Chapter 7	and soundness:	In my view elements of the plan do not meet the stated	None specified.	In July 2019, the
		Plan is not legally	'principle of engagement in planning' of 'engaging the		Government
Name:		compliant:	community and stakeholders in the early stages of plan-making		introduced a new
Northamptonshire		- not in accordance	and at subsequent stages'. For example, Site 0657 (Fraser		set of guidelines in
County Council		with SCI	Road) is in the list of proposed allocations. In the 2017 Sites		terms of what
			Consultation Paper, this site was indicated as 'not being taken		constitutes a
			forward for further investigation'. It then appeared as a		"deliverable"
			residential allocation on the policies map for the previous local		housing site for the
			plan draft submission version consultation. I have no record of		purposes of plan
			either a public or direct consultation from the council regarding		making. This meant
			changes to the site assessments. For many sites this would not		that the Council was
			be an issue but in this case the assessment for site LAA0657		required to review
			states that the site 'is not in proximity to any designated		its development
			biodiversity or geodiversity site'. In fact the site is adjacent to		plan allocations
			Talavera East Potential Wildlife Site and within 1km of other		prior to submitting
			local PWS, and Billing Arbours Local Wildlife Site. These could		it to the Planning
			face increased visitor pressure – and its associated ecological		Inspectorate. The
			impacts – as a result of residential development. While this		updates were also
			might not have rendered site LAA0657 inappropriate for		used to inform the
			development it does suggest that other sites might have been		preparation of the
			incorrectly represented in the changes to the site assessments.		Five Year Housing
					land Supply for
					2018/19.
					Policy 29 of the
					LPP2 recognises
					other biodiversity
					assets and has been
		1		1	strengthened to

Representation reference: 90/1/1 Name: Friends of Northampton Castle	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: FONC believes that the addition of an Heritage Impact Assessment to the evidence base has benefited the Plan, but that this has not fed through entirely to the overall plan. The aim should be to "define a positive strategy to afford appropriate protection and make a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness" (Historic Environment Good Practice) not simply to add warning signs to areas of sensitivity.	Suggested changes: None.	include reference to Potential Wildlife Sites and that applicants are required to protect or enhance these. Officer comments: The relevant recommendations from the Heritage Impact Assessment have been incorporated into the plan including strengthened policies.
Representation reference: 90/1/2 Name: Friends of Northampton Castle	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: The lack of a strong strategy for the Historic environment of Northampton is particularly unfortunate in respect of Northampton Castle, where there are contradictory development versus protection and enhancement demands. The Northampton Forward proposals treat the Castle vicinity as town centre development opportunities, the Neighbourhood Plan for Spring Boroughs treats them as a chance to contribute to local character and distinctiveness. A strategic approach should be taking account of the whole of the Area 1 described in the HIA, including both the heritage assets on the east side of St Andrews Road and the development proposals for the Railway station and yards. There would then be an opportunity to reconcile the contradictions. We would argue therefore that the plan's soundness is undermined by some contradictions and the lack of an overall and effective Historic Environment strategy.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The policies contained in the local plan, including those affecting Northampton Castle, have been strengthened following the publication of the Heritage Impact Assessment. Any developments affecting the areas will need to take all the relevant policies into account including the one related to the

					protection and enhancement of
					heritage assets
					(Policy 31).
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 200/1/6	Chapter 7	and soundness:	The WNJCS Inspector's Final Report sets out that each	None specified.	The LPP2 allocates
	and	Plan is legally	individual Council is responsible for its own HLS measured		sites within
Name:	general	compliant.	against the housing trajectory of the WNJCS. As of 2021,		Northampton
HBF			Northampton Borough Council will become part of a WN		Borough Council
		Plan is unsound:	unitary authority together with Daventry District Council and		only as it cannot
		- not positively	South Northampton District Council. The future LHN figure and		allocate housing
		prepared	5 YHLS will be calculated singularly for the unitary authority		sites outside of it
		- not justified	rather than separately and individually for each authority.		jurisdiction. As a
		- not effective			part of the
		- not consistent	The Council knowledges that housing delivery from SUEs has		development of the
		with national policy	been weak resulting in significant housing shortfalls. Since		West
			2011, the NRDA SUEs have not delivered as expected. The		Northamptonshire
			latest WNJCS joint monitoring framework demonstrates no 5		Strategic Plan,
			YHLS in the NRDA. A large proportion of housing land supply		suitable housing
			(HLS) in the Borough of Northampton is also located on five		sites in West
			SUEs, which have not come forward as expected. The		Northamptonshire
			Northampton LPP2 deals only with housing shortfalls from the		will be considered.
			five SUEs located in the Borough. There are no proposed		
			compensatory housing allocations for shortfalls across the		The role of the
			NRDA even though the WNJCS Inspector's Final Report sets out		LPP2s is to deliver
			that other sites may be part of the response to under-delivery		the requirements
			on SUEs (see paras 198 – 200) and the monitoring provisions of		set out in the
			adopted WNJCS Policy S6 are engaged. In this context the LPP2		WNJCS. Policy S3 is
			is not complementary to the adopted WNJCS. The LPP2 is not a		clear about the
			positive policy response to assisting delivery of the WNJCS and		delivery that each
			national policy.		partner authorities
					are
			The WN LPP2s are not meeting housing needs nor significantly		expected to deliver.
			boosting housing supply. All WN authorities should be making		The housing
			maximum effort to allocate more housing land. The deferral of		trajectory in the
			meeting housing needs to the WNSP as a review of the		adopted WNJCS is

ГГ		<u> </u>
	adopted WNJCS is unacceptable when LPP2s are capable of	heavily reliant on
	meeting identified housing need within the plan period to	the delivery of the
	2029. The WNSP provides no solution to the immediate and	SUEs, which clearly
	pressing need for housing. The LPP2s cannot abandon their	has not materialised
	function of delivering the WNJCS to the WNSP, which is already	as expected. The
	behind schedule. The meeting of shortfalls in delivery of	decision
	identified housing needs for Northampton should be achieved	to address
	through the LPP2s by the allocation of housing sites in and / or	Northampton's
	adjacent to the NRDA and / or in sustainable settlements	shortfall is
	within close proximity of the NRDA. The LPP2s should be based	considered to be in
	on effect joint working to deal with unmet needs rather than	conformity to
	postponing resolution to a review of the WNJCS. The LPP2 is	national guidance.
	inconsistent with national policy by failing to meet the	The Council has
	minimum housing requirements set out in the adopted WNJCS.	undertaken an
		extensive Land
		Availability
		Assessment,
		investigating in
		excess of 500 sites.
		In determining
		whether the site
		should be allocated,
		a rigorous
		methodology was
		followed. The
		methodology itself
		was a subject of
		consultation in April
		2016. In addition,
		the Council also
		invited landowners
		and prospective
		developers to come
		forward with their
		sites for
		consideration for

					development. In
					conclusion, the
					Council had
					exhausted every
					opportunity to
					identify sites for
					housing delivery.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	The Council's overall HLS should provide some flexibility to	None specified.	Northampton
200/1/13	and 5 Year	Plan is legally	respond to changing circumstances, to treat the housing		Borough Council
	Housing	compliant.	requirement as a minimum rather than a maximum and to		passed the Housing
Name:	Land		provide choice and competition in the land market. The		Delivery Test.
HBF	Supply	Plan is unsound:	Council's overall proposed HLS is 22,267 dwellings comprising		Therefore only a 5%
		- not positively	5,727 completions (between 2011/12 – 2018/19), existing		buffer is required.
		prepared	commitments for 4,377 dwellings, a windfall allowance of		The LPP2 allocates
		- not justified	2,400 dwellings (300 dwellings per annum), 5,959 dwellings		housing that
		- not effective	delivered on SUEs and LPP2 housing allocations for 3,804		exceeds this buffer
		- not consistent	dwellings (see Table 6). There is an anticipated surplus of 3,394		to build in
		with national policy	dwellings (17.9%) between the overall HLS and the housing		contingency. No
			requirement of 18,870 dwellings. There can be no numerical		modification
			formula to determine the appropriate quantum for a flexibility		required.
			contingency but the Council's high dependency on five SUEs		
			means that greater numerical flexibility is necessary than in		
			cases where HLS is more diversified. There are also other		
			contextual matters to consider including the outdatedness of		
			the strategic policies of the adopted WNJCS, the behind		
			schedule progress of the WNSP, slower than anticipated		
			housing delivery against Northampton's housing requirement		
			on SUEs in the Borough & the NRDA and worsening housing		
			affordability (median household income to median house price		
			ratio of 5.1 in 2009 increasing to 7.02 in 2019). The HBF always		
			suggests as large a contingency as possible (at least 20%), the		
			Council's anticipated surplus is less than 20%.		
			If during the LPP2 Examination, any of the Council's		
			assumptions on lapse rates, windfall allowances and delivery		
			rates are adjusted downwards or any proposed housing site		

			allocations are found unsound then the surplus and any built in flexibility is reduced.		
Representation reference: 200/1/14 Name: HBF	Refers to: Chapter 7 and General - Housing	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: National policy only permits an allowance for windfall sites if there is compelling evidence that such sites have consistently become available and will continue to be a reliable source of supply. The Council should re-consider the continuing likelihood of 300 dwelling per annum from windfalls where 71 sites for housing development are allocated in the LPP2 and Policy 17 safeguards all existing employment sites.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: There is a criteria in bullet point 2 of Policy 17 that allows for Change of Use. Although not specifically for housing, this is also permitted via Permitted Development.
Representation reference: 250/1/16 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: The discussion of the Borough's housing land supply position, as set out within Chapter 7 is welcomed as is the acknowledgement of the acute housing land supply issues which have arisen over the past 5 years. Allied to this, the Council's acknowledgement in respect of the issues arising from the historic over reliance upon the SUE's around the town is welcomed. The production of the LPP2 will allow for complimentary growth on a range of different sites across the Borough which will serve to compliment, rather than compete with, the delivery of the larger strategic sites.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 251/1/32 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd -	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: However, it is critical that the Council's assumptions on lapse rates, non-implementation allowances, lead-in times and delivery rates contained within its overall supply, five-year housing land supply and housing trajectory are accurate and realistic. In this regard, the Developers would be pleased to provide further information to the Council demonstrating the	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted. The consultant refers again to the same matters but also refers to Appendix 1 which is an

Site E of Towcester		- not consistent	deliverability of residential development at Site east of		indicative
Rd		with national policy	Towcester Road.		masterplan.
Nu		with hational policy	It is acknowledged that the land is affected by topography and		
			will require noise mitigation measures. However, it is		
			considered these constraints can be satisfactory		
			accommodated through the design and layout of the scheme,		
			particularly in the context of noise mitigation, which can be		
			achieved through a 'buffer' to the railway and/or acoustic		
			treatment.		
			An Indicative Concept Masterplan for the site, enclosed at		
			Appendix 1, has been informed by extensive technical analysis.		
			Access is currently achieved from the Towcester Road and		
			there is an existing track under the railway line connecting with		
			land to the east (site ref: LAA1109). Whilst this is not currently		
			suitable for vehicles, it has the potential to provide pedestrian		
			and/or cycle access through to the adjoining land, which would		
			be a significant benefit should the Collingtree SUE be extended		
			west in the future. This would ensure connectivity in this area		
			of Northampton, linking the SUE with the Towcester Road		
			The site is in a sustainable location close to existing properties		
			to the north. A bus stop is located next to the site on the		
			Towcester Road providing regular access into Northampton		
			town centre.		
			town centre.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 105/1/4	para. 7.2	and soundness:	The Council acknowledge that the aspirational 1,000+ new	None specified.	The West
		Plan is legally	dwellings expected per annum 2014/15 to 2023/24 has "not		Northamptonshire
Name:		compliant.	materialised" (Local Plan Part 2, paragraph 7.2) and that		Joint Planning Unit
Great Houghton			"delivery of new dwellings at the SUEs has been relatively		produces a Joint
Parish Council		Plan is unsound:	slow" (op. cit.). These points are illustrated in Table 6 and		Monitoring Report
		- not positively	Graph 1 of the Local Plan Part 2.		which considers the
		prepared	The Council acknowledge that not all of the dwellings to be		rate of house
		- not justified	delivered by the SUEs, will be completed before 1st April 2029.		building in
		- not effective	The Council's answer to this persistent under-delivery against		Northampton. The
		- not consistent	the WNJCS target is to allocate even more land. The Council's		NPPF (para 75)
		with national policy	original housing trajectory was informed by the economic		states that

		Γ	and the second trade literation is also been set of the second second second second second second second second		
			conditions and intelligence at the time it was being progressed.		authorities should
			On all reasonable assumptions those conditions and		prepare an action
			intelligence are now out of date and no longer reliable.		plan in line with
					national planning
					guidance, to assess
					the causes of
					underdelivery and
					identify actions to
					increase delivery in
					future years.
					It has been
					identified that large,
					allocated sites are
					taking longer to
					build out and as
					such the LPP2
					allocates smaller
					sites to rectify the
					historic
					underdelivery of
					homes. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 105/1/5	para. 7.2	and soundness:	Based on the foregoing, the Council's strategy is flawed, being	None specified.	The West
, , -	1	Plan is legally	unnecessary and unwarranted. Unnecessary because there is		Northamptonshire
Name:		compliant.	already sufficient land to meet the WNJCS target; unwarranted		Joint Planning Unit
Great Houghton			because the evidence in Northampton over the past shows that		produces a Joint
Parish Council		Plan is unsound:	the housing trajectory has been over-stated, and overly-		Monitoring Report
		- not positively	aspirational when compared with economic intelligence. This is		which considers the
		prepared	particularly the case with a larger site, such as The Green,		rate of house
		- not justified	Great Houghton. The Council's strategy fails to learn from past		building in
		- not effective	mistakes, that larger sites (The Green is comparable in size to		Northampton. The
		- not consistent	the smaller Sustainable Urban Extensions) are more difficult to		NPPF (para 75)
		with national policy	deliver and require considerable new infrastructure. The Green		states that
			at Great Houghton, by adding to the housing land supply, will		authorities should
L			at oreat houghton, by adding to the housing land supply, will		autionities should

reference: 105/1/6 Name:	para. 7.2	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Having said this the Parish Council do acknowledge that given there is such a clear distinction between performance on larger sites (poorer) and smaller sites (better) that Local Plan Part 2	None.	Noted.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	required. Officer comments:
					scheme. No modification
					resulting from the
					associated with and
					new infrastructure
					contribute towards
					development proposals to
					LPP2 requires
					Policy 37 of the
					homes.
			resources, and Covid-19, and this position only gets worse.		underdelivery of
			Add additional large land allocations requiring additional		historic
			placed to thrive."		sites to rectify the
			the economic uncertainty following the Brexit vote is well		allocates smaller
			recovery following the economic downturn of 2008 and despite		such the LPP2
			shows that Northamptonshire has experienced a steady		build out and as
			infrastructure will remain challenging. Nevertheless, evidence		taking longer to
			issues, at least in the short term, funding strategic		allocated sites are
			and developer contributions are still often affected by viability		identified that large,
			undertakers. As Government funding continues to be limited		It has been
			sector projects) and the business plans of statutory		future years.
			development (including developer contributions to public		increase delivery in
			including public sector investment (such as Central Government funding for major projects) private sector		underdelivery and identify actions to
			Strategic infrastructure can be delivered in a number of ways,		the causes of
			"5.2 Funding strategic infrastructure remains a challenge.		guidance, to assess
			2019 Infrastructure Delivery Plan set out that:		national planning
			sites for new households and infrastructure resources. The		plan in line with
			create further over-supply, competing with the other larger		prepare an action

Great Houghton Parish Council		Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	could and should look to offset some of the under-delivery against the WNJCS target by identifying smaller sites.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 105/1/3	Chapter 7	and soundness:	The Local Part 2 strategy is flawed, it goes beyond meeting	None specified.	The LPP2 plans for a
		Plan is legally	Northampton's minimum objectively assessed need (OAN) that		supply of more
Name:		compliant.	is set out in the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy		dwellings than is
Great Houghton			(WNJCS). The WNJCS sets a minimum OAN of 18,870, 2011-		required by the
Parish Council		Plan is unsound:	2029 (WNJCS Policy S3).		West
		- not positively	The Local Plan Part 2 allocates land for 3,807 new dwellings		Northamptonshire
		prepared	(Table 6, Local Plan Part 2), of which 3,394 are expected to be		Joint Core Strategy.
		- not justified	delivered over the plan period 2011-2029, 17.98% more than is		This is due to
		- not effective	required. This includes The Green, Great Houghton.		building in
		- not consistent	This significant oversupply is unnecessary, being unwarranted,		contingency for
		with national policy	not achievable and not sustainable.		previous under-
			Local Plan Part 2 and the Council's supporting documents (Housing Technical Paper		delivery on the large SUE sites in and
			https://www.northampton.gov.uk/downloads/file/12104/01-		around
			housing-technical-paper; and 5 year Housing Land Supply		Northampton.
			https://www.northampton.gov.uk/downloads/file/12108/04-		Smaller sites are
			5yhls-nbc-2019) show a consistent and significant under-		allocated, that will
			performance in delivering the WNJCS minimum target:		be able to come
			"By 1st April 2019, 5,727 dwellings had been delivered, against		forward quicker, in
			a JCS requirement to allocate sufficient sites (allowing for		case of continued
			windfall) to accommodate 8,157 new dwellings in		SUE under-delivery.
			Northampton by that time. The number of dwellings delivered		No modification
			by 1st April 2019 falls some 2,430 units short of the delivery		required.
			trajectory set out in the JCS (see Table 6)." (Local Plan Part 2,		
			paragraph 7.1).		

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	The LPP2 is unsound because it is inconsistency with the	None specified.	The LPP2 allocates
200/1/17	and	Plan is legally	adopted WNJCS by allocating insufficient land and changing the		housing that
	General -	compliant.	housing trajectory so that housing needs identified in the		exceeds the
Name:	Housing		adopted WNJCS will not be met.		required buffer to
HBF		Plan is unsound:			build in contingency.
		 not positively 			The Northampton
		prepared			Local Plan Part 2 is
		- not justified			delivering the
		- not effective			WNJCS.The
		- not consistent			Council's decision to
		with national policy			amend the
					trajectory is in
					conformity to the
					adopted JCS. Para
					5.40 of the JCS
					makes reference to
					the trajectory being
					updated annually as
					part of the Annual
					Monitoring Report.
					Para 5.41 states that
					although the
					trajectory will be
					reprofiled each year,
					the delivery will
					always be compared
					to the base
					trajectory. Flexibility
					exists within the
					Plan and housing
					trajectory that
					allows for
					development to be
					brought forward to
					mitigate the impact

					of delays on individual sites. No modification required.
Representation reference: 152/1/1 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Refers to: para. 7.11 and Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: Loss of natural habitat and established trees - given the pollution levels in this area of town these trees will be contributing to lowering the CO2 levels and to lose them will have a massive impact upon an already over polluted area.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 29 of the LPP2 requires all major development to offset the loss of and secure a net gain in biodiversity through the strengthening, management and / or creation of new habitats.
Representation reference: 152/1/2 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Refers to: para. 7.11 and Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: Loss of natural boarder to the park, which separates Beckets Park from a petrol station and Morrisons car park - to have even more building around a park in a town centre location will mean loss of a valuable green space. It is also well evidenced that people living next to a park often have issues with noise/ASB which will impact upon the council and police having to deal with such complaints.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Site 1134 sits adjacent to Beckett's Park which is designated parks and gardens. A border to the east of the site is expected to be retained as part of the site's development. Policy 6 of the LPP2 requires development to prevent negative impacts on residential amenity from noise.
Representation reference: 152/1/3	Refers to:	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments:	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments:

	para. 7.11	Plan is legally	I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the		Policy 32 requires all
Name:	and Policy	compliant.	following reasons: Increase in traffic in already		major planning
Buddies of Beckets	13	compliant.	congested/polluted area.		applications to
budules of beckets	15	Plan is sound.	congested/politica area.		include a Travel Plan
					to demonstrate they
					can mitigate the
					proposal's transport
					impact. It will also
					need to be designed
					to incorporate,
					demonstrate and
					achieve design
					principles such as
					encouraging active
					lifestyles and well-
					being.
					Policy 6 of the LPP2
					requires development to
					prevent negative
					impacts on
					residential amenity
					from poor air
<u> </u>				A A A A	quality.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 152/1/4	para. 7.11	and soundness:	I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the	None.	Policy 32 requires all
•	and Policy	Plan is legally	following reasons:		major planning
Name:	13	compliant.	Competing access needs with the University and Marina - there		applications to
Buddies of Beckets			is already an issue with competing pedestrians and vehicles in		include a Travel Plan
		Plan is sound.	this area and bringing more residents into the area will only		to demonstrate they
			increase these demands and cause more tensions.		can mitigate the
					proposal's transport
					impact. It will also
					need to be designed
					to incorporate,
					demonstrate and

Representation reference: 152/1/5 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Refers to: para. 7.11 and Policy 13 Refers to:	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: Loss of historical interest of the Northampton to Bedford railway line. Comments:	Suggested changes:	achieve design principles such as encouraging active lifestyles and well- being. Policy 6 of the LPP2 requires development to prevent negative impacts on residential amenity from poor air quality. Officer comments: Policy 31 of the LPP2 requires development to protect and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets. Development will need to ensure that proposals demonstrate a clear understanding of the signifiance of the asset and justify any loss. Officer comments:
Representation		Legal compliance		Suggested changes:	
reference: 152/1/6	para. 7.11	and soundness:	I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the	None.	The LPP2 has
Nama	and Policy	Plan is legally	following reasons:		undergone a
Name:	13	compliant.	How viable the land is under an old railway line and the level of		complete viability
Buddies of Beckets			disturbance to the area in making this visible to build upon - it		appraisal and has
		Plan is sound.	does not appear to by the easiest piece of land to access and		been found to be
			remove a large quantity of soil and whatever else is		viable. Any

			underneath from the disused railway. This will have a massive impact upon the park users whilst this work goes on.		construction works will need to consider the impact on the users of the park; this would be dealt with through condition at the
Representation reference: 152/1/7 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Refers to: para. 7.11 and Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: More building on flood risk area reducing ability for water to drain away naturally - on a recognised flood risk area to introduce more concreted area which will not allow water to flow away naturally will increase the risk of flooding.	Suggested changes: None.	application stage. Officer comments: Policy 7 of the LPP2 sets out the requirements for major development, including the need to incorporate sustainable drainage systems. Proposals that ensure flood risk is not increased elsewhere, provide flood risk reduction / betterment will be supported.
Representation reference: 220/1/1 Name: Kathleen Tomsett	Refers to: para. 7.11 and Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: I object to this strip of land being built on because it would require a number of trees being felled. These trees not only soak up pollution from the significant amount of traffic but also provide habitat for birds, squirrels and other wildlife. I walk in the park virtually every day and it is a pleasure to see and hear the birds. The park is an asset and it would be appaling to destroy parts of it like this. There must be other sites locally that housing can be built on without destroying natural habitat.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: A detailed site assessment has been undertaken for all the sites allocated for development. Any proposal that comes forward will need to comply with the relevant policies contained in the

					plan including Policy 29 (supporting and enhancing biodiversity).
Representation reference: 65/1/13 Name: English Regional Transport Association	Refers to: para. 7.11	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Housing: Since the above housing development(Site no.0333) is close to the main railway line, there should not be any housing development on that site. Moreover this could obliterate old railway track-beds. In any case the housing will encourage more road traffic, and the town's roads are frequently congested.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The route of the former Northampton to Market Harborough railway line is safeguarded in Policy 34 and on the Policies Map. It is proposed to modify the plan to include the railway corridor to Brackmills for future transport
Representation reference: 219/1/1 Name: Fiona Lungley	Refers to: para. 7.11	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: I am not happy about the plan to get rid of the area between beckets park and Morrison's car park.	Suggested changes: None.	use. Officer comments: Northampton is required to deliver 18,870 homes by 2029. Sites allocated within the LPP2 have been assessed for their suitability through the Sites Allocation Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) process.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 219/1/2	para. 7.11	and soundness:	My concerns are for possible flooding! Also getting rid of all	None.	Site 1134 sits
		Plan is legally	those well established trees and all that will do to the oxygen		adjacent to
Name:		compliant.	levels, pollution levels, the natural habit for wildlife that		Beckett's Park which
Fiona Lungley			currently live there.		is designated parks
		Plan is unsound:			and gardens. A
		- not justified			border to the east of
		- not effective			the site is expected
					to be retained as
					part of the site's
					development.
					Policy 7 of the LPP2
					sets out the
					requirements for
					major development,
					including the need
					to incorporate
					sustainable drainage
					systems.
					Proposals that
					ensure flood risk is
					not increased
					elsewhere, provide
					flood risk reduction
					/ betterment will be
					supported
					Policy 29 of the
					LPP2 requires all
					major development
					to offset the loss of
					and secure a net
					gain in biodiversity
					through the
					strengthening,
					management and /

					or creation of new habitats.
Representation reference: 219/1/3 Name: Fiona Lungley	Refers to: para. 7.11	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: It's a terrible shame for those living in the area not to mention the noise it will create.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 6 of the LPP2 requires development to prevent negative impacts on residential amenity including from noise and poor air quality.
Representation reference: 219/1/4 Name: Fiona Lungley	Refers to: para. 7.11	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Beckett's park has become quite a sanctuary in recent months for employees in the area especially from the hospital for their lunch breaks etc.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The site that has been allocated sits adjacent to Beckett's Park and will not encroach onto the park.
Representation reference: 219/1/5 Name: Fiona Lungley	Refers to: para. 7.11	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: I also believe it will negatively effect the wildlife in Beckett's park not to mention the increase in traffic in an already over grown area that's hard to get through at the best of times.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 29 of the LPP2 requires all major development to offset the loss of and secure a net gain in biodiversity through the strengthening, management and / or creation of new habitats. Policy 32 requires all major planning applications to include a Travel Plan

					to demonstrate they can mitigate the proposal's transport impact. It will also need to be designed to incorporate, demonstrate and achieve design principles such as encouraging active
					lifestyles and well-
Denvegentetion	Refers to:		Commonte	Suggested shares	being. Officer comments:
Representation		Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments:	Suggested changes:	The LPP2 has been
reference: 219/1/6	para. 7.11	Plan is legally	I believe this is a very under thought plan!	None.	through a thorough
Name:		compliant.			process of evidence
Fiona Lungley					gathering and
Liona Langicy		Plan is unsound:			consultation stages
		- not justified			since 2016 including
		- not effective			at Issues, Options
					and Sites for
					allocation stages.
					Responses at all
					stages of
					consultation have
					been taken into
					consideration for
					the Submission
					Draft LPP2. The
					LPP2 also has a
					supporting evidence
					base which has
					informed the
					policies and
					allocations within
					the Plan.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 221/1/1	para. 7.11	and soundness:	I have concerns around the following: Loss of natural habitat	None	Site 1134 sits
		Plan is legally	and established trees.		adjacent to
Name:		compliant.			Beckett's Park which
Sharon Ibrahim					is designated parks
		Plan is unsound:			and gardens. A
		- not justified			border to the east of
					the site is expected
					to be retained as
					part of the site's
					development.
					Policy 29 of the
					LPP2 requires all
					major development
					to offset the loss of
					and secure a net
					gain in biodiversity
					through the
					strengthening,
					management and /
					or creation of new
					habitats.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 221/1/2	para. 7.11	and soundness:	I have concerns around the following: Loss of natural boarder	None	Site 1134 sits
		Plan is legally	to the park, which separates Beckets Park from a petrol station		adjacent to
Name:		compliant.	and Morrisons car park.		Beckett's Park which
Sharon Ibrahim					is designated parks
		Plan is unsound:			and gardens. A
		- not justified			border to the east of
					the site is expected
					to be retained as
					part of the site's
					development. The
					site will not
				1	
					encroach onto the

	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound:	I have concerns around the following: Increase in traffic in already congested/polluted area.	None.	Policy 32 requires all major planning applications to
	compliant.	already congested/polluted area.		
	·			applications to
	Plan is unsound:			applications to
	Plan is unsound:			include a Travel Plan
				to demonstrate they
	- not justified			can mitigate the
				proposal's transport
				impact. It will also
				need to be designed
				to incorporate,
				demonstrate and
				achieve design
				principles such as
				encouraging active
				lifestyles and well-
				being.
				Policy 6 of the LPP2
				requires
				development to
				prevent negative
				impacts on
				residential amenity
				from poor air
				quality.
efers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
		I have concerns around the following: Competing access needs		Policy 32 requires all
				major planning
	• .			applications to
				include a Travel Plan
	Plan is unsound:			to demonstrate they
				can mitigate the
				proposal's transport
				impact. It will also
				need to be designed
				to incorporate,
		0 1	ra. 7.11 and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: I have concerns around the following: Competing access needs with the University and Marina. Plan is unsound:	ra. 7.11 and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: I have concerns around the following: Competing access needs with the University and Marina. None. None.

Representation reference: 221/1/5 Name: Sharon Ibrahim	Refers to: para. 7.11	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: I have concerns around the following: Loss of historical interest of the Northampton to Bedford railway line.	Suggested changes: None.	demonstrate and achieve design principles such as encouraging active lifestyles and well- being. Officer comments: Policy 31 of the LPP2 requires development to protect and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets. Development will need to ensure that proposals demonstrate a clear understanding of the significance of
					the asset and justify any loss.
Representation reference: 221/1/6 Name: Sharon Ibrahim	Refers to: para. 7.11	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: I have concerns around the following: How viable the land is under an old railway line and the level of disturbance to the area in making this visible to build upon.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The LPP2 has undergone a complete viability appraisal and has been found to be viable. Any construction works will need to consider the impact on the users of the park; this would be dealt with through

					condition at the
	-				application stage.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 221/1/7	para. 7.11	and soundness:	I have concerns around the following: More building on flood	None.	Policy 7 of the LPP2
		Plan is legally	risk area reducing ability for water to drain away naturally.		sets out the
Name:		compliant.			requirements for
Sharon Ibrahim					major development,
		Plan is unsound:			including the need
		- not justified			to incorporate
					sustainable drainage
					systems.
					Proposals that
					ensure flood risk is
					not increased
					elsewhere, provide
					flood risk reduction
					/ betterment will be
					supported
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 222/1/1	para. 7.11	and soundness:	The area is a haven for wildlife, I walk my dog twice a day in	None.	Policy 29 of the
		Plan is legally	Becklet's Park, and there is always birdsong or other wildlife to		LPP2 requires all
Name:		compliant.	hear and see.		major development
Jean Thorne					to offset the loss of
		Plan is unsound:			and secure a net
		 not justified 			gain in biodiversity
					through the
					strengthening,
					management and /
					or creation of new
					habitats.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 222/1/2	para. 7.11	and soundness:	Where are the houses/flats going to have access?	None.	Safe access to the
		Plan is legally			development will
Name:		compliant.			need to be
Jean Thorne					demonstrated at the
		Plan is unsound:			application stage

		- not justified			and will need to
		- not justineu			comply with Policy
					33 of the LPP2.
Donnocontation	Refers to:	Logal compliance	Comments:	Suggested shanges	Officer comments:
Representation		Legal compliance		Suggested changes:	
reference: 222/1/3	para. 7.11	and soundness:	There are enough cars going up and down in the park as it is!	None.	Policy 32 requires all
		Plan is legally			major planning
Name:		compliant.			applications to
Jean Thorne					include a Travel Plan
		Plan is unsound:			to demonstrate they
		 not justified 			can mitigate the
					proposal's transport
					impact. It will also
					need to be designed
					to incorporate,
					demonstrate and
					achieve design
					principles such as
					encouraging active
					lifestyles and well-
					being.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 222/1/4	para. 7.11	and soundness:	What about the trees opposite?	None.	Policy 29 of the
		Plan is legally			LPP2 requires all
Name:		compliant.			major development
Jean Thorne					to offset the loss of
		Plan is unsound:			and secure a net
		- not justified			gain in biodiversity
		-			through the
					strengthening,
					management and /
					or creation of new
					habitats.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 222/1/5	para. 7.11	and soundness:	What about building on brown sites instead of destroying a	None.	Northampton is
		I			
		Plan is legally	small patch of land which gives people pleasure?		required to deliver

Jean Thorne Representation reference: 223/1/1 Name: Sue Jepson	Refers to: para. 7.11	Plan is unsound: - not justified Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: Although this land may not be considered to be a heritage site, it has been part of the Northampton to Bedford railway line which has bordered the park for nearly 150 years. The University, together with (presumably) the Borough Council, have understood the importance of preserving the Engine Shed which was part of the this line. I would therefore ask that consideration be given to see the embankment as part of this heritage. As it has been in situ so long, it is now covered in an enormous amount of trees, bushes, greenery and all the wildlife that exists within it. Thankfully the park is a wonderful green space in this otherwise very built up area, and surely this space should stand alongside it in the future. The destruction of so many trees would in itself be a very sad situation.	Suggested changes: None suggested.	2029. Sites allocated within the LPP2 have been assessed for their suitability through the Sites Allocation Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) process. Brownfield sites are allocated within the plan but it is also necessary to allocate on greenfield sites to meet housing need. Officer comments: Policy 31 of the LPP2 requires development to protect and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets. Development will need to ensure that proposals demonstrate a clear understanding of the signifiance of the asset and justify any loss. Policy 29 of
					any loss.

Representation reference: 223/1/2 Name: Sue Jepson	Refers to: para. 7.11	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: It is also a well known fact that this area of the town has in past years flooded many times. Surely, more building and development in this area is not advisable. Corporations and councils in the past have boasted flood defenses but even the Environment Agency cannot guarantee these will work one hundred per cent. Sadly, the two people who died in the floods close by twenty years ago are testament to this fact.	Suggested changes: None suggested.	to offset the loss of and secure a net gain in biodiversity through the strengthening, management and / or creation of new habitats. Officer comments: Policy 7 of the LPP2 sets out the requirements for major development, including the need to incorporate sustainable drainage
			close by twenty years ago are testament to this fact.		systems. Proposals that ensure flood risk is not increased elsewhere, provide flood risk reduction / betterment will be supported
Representation reference: 223/1/3 Name: Sue Jepson	Refers to: para. 7.11	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: Having lived most of my life in the town, I have been sad to see in the past that not nearly enough consideration has been given to the enormous amount of history and heritage this town has to offer. We have lost so many interesting and valued buildings and areas in the past, PLEASE think carefully before any more sites disappear under concrete.	Suggested changes: None suggested.	Officer comments: Policy 29 of the LPP2 requires all major development to offset the loss of and secure a net gain in biodiversity through the strengthening, management and / or creation of new habitats.

Representation reference: 248/1/7 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: para. 7.11	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: 11.1 Very welcome to see a high level commitment to achieve carbon neutral development by 2030.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 23/1/3 Name: University of Northampton	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The University fully supports allocation of Park and Avenue Campuses for residential redevelopment (allocations 1013 & 1014 respectively) under emerging Policies 13 and 38. The University has now relocated to its new Waterside Campus. The University agrees that residential is the most appropriate and viable future use for the sites. Indeed, Park Campus has outline permission for the development of up to 800 homes and the initial phase is under construction. An application for residential development of Avenue Campus is with the Council for consideration.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 35/1/6 Name: Historic England	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Unsound.	Suggested changes: Subject to changes recommended in site specific comments.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 57/1/2 Name:	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant:	Comments: There are concerns that due to the location of this site that the only access would be via the village. This would mean an increase of traffic in an area that would not be suitable. There	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The site was re- assessed following consultation

Hardingstone		- reason not	are also concerns that this area would be subject to flooding		response to the first
Parish Council		specified	due to natural springs in the area and the elevation of the land,		round of the
			currently the site takes drainage water from The Green and		Proposed
		Plan is unsound:	Heritage Farm. It is the council's understanding that		Submission. The site
		- reason not	development on this site has been declined in the past due to		has been assessed
		specified	the sensitive nature of the nearby conservation area.		for flooding matters
					and was considered
					deliverable. Any
					development will
					have to conform
					with flooding
					policies contained in
					all relevant
					development plans
					and mitigation
					measures can
					considered further
					when a
					development
					proposal comes
					forward.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 65/1/15	Policy 13	and soundness:	Housing: Since the above housing development(Site no.0333) is	None.	This site has been
	,	Plan is not legally	close to the main railway line, there should not be any housing		assessed in the Site
Name:		• •	development on that site. Moreover this could obliterate old		Accessment
					Assessment
		compliant: - reason not			Assessment Methodology and
English Regional		- reason not	railway track-beds. In any case the housing will encourage		Methodology and
English Regional Transport			railway track-beds. In any case the housing will encourage more road traffic, and the town's roads are frequently		Methodology and Land Availability
English Regional		- reason not specified	railway track-beds. In any case the housing will encourage		Methodology and Land Availability Assessment
English Regional Transport		- reason not specified Plan is unsound:	railway track-beds. In any case the housing will encourage more road traffic, and the town's roads are frequently		Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) which
English Regional Transport		 reason not specified Plan is unsound: not positively 	railway track-beds. In any case the housing will encourage more road traffic, and the town's roads are frequently		Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) which includes detailed
English Regional Transport		 reason not specified Plan is unsound: not positively prepared 	railway track-beds. In any case the housing will encourage more road traffic, and the town's roads are frequently		Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) which includes detailed investigations on
English Regional Transport		 reason not specified Plan is unsound: not positively prepared not justified 	railway track-beds. In any case the housing will encourage more road traffic, and the town's roads are frequently		Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) which includes detailed investigations on matters associated
English Regional Transport		 reason not specified Plan is unsound: not positively prepared not justified not effective 	railway track-beds. In any case the housing will encourage more road traffic, and the town's roads are frequently		Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) which includes detailed investigations on matters associated with transport
English Regional Transport		 reason not specified Plan is unsound: not positively prepared not justified 	railway track-beds. In any case the housing will encourage more road traffic, and the town's roads are frequently		Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) which includes detailed investigations on matters associated

					put forward in the Local Plan Part 2 have been modelled to assess their potential transport implications on the impact on the network.
Representation reference: 97/1/16 Name: Clayson Country Homes	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In pointed response to Policy 13, the inclusion of site 1025 (Land to the west of Towcester Road) is welcomed and the content of those earlier submissions in respect of the site remain valid. The site is immediately available, suitable, sustainable, deliverable and viable for residential development purposes and is capable of being delivered within the first 5 years of the plan's adoption.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 97/1/20 Name: Clayson Country Homes	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Turning to the site-specific allocations and policies which are addressed within Chapter 13, the respondent would first like to commend the bold approach which the Council have sought to adopt in clearly defining the significant number of development sites available within the Borough. It is considered that this approach provides landowners, including those with a legal interest, absolute clarity on the potential future options for the development of their land and property interests.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 105/1/7 Name: Great Houghton Parish Council	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared	Comments: However, the identification of an additional larger site at The Green, is at the heart of the Council's flawed strategy. At a time when economic conditions are weaker and resources constrained the Council should be looking to support development on already identified sites, not by unleashing further supply. With its current allocations Local Plan Part 2 seeks to bring forward an additional supply of land of 17.98%	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The NPPF sets out that where there has been a significant under- delivery of housing, a buffer should be applied to maintain

		- not justified	against the WNJCS target. This is unnecessary, the Council are		the supply of
		- not effective	already acknowledging persistent under- delivery; unwarranted		housing.
		- not consistent	in that it is not justified by the evidence; and not achievable.		No modification
		with national policy	15. The logic is flawed. What other organisation at a time		required.
			of persistent under- delivery – 2,430 dwellings short of a target		
			of 8,157 (1st April 2019), an under- performance of 29.79%		
			would seek to increase the target by a further 17.98%. This		
			level of over-provision will only lead to further under delivery,		
			sites being allocated unnecessarily and, therefore, not		
			sustainably. A much more measured approach is required,		
			excluding the identification of further large sites and the		
			identification, where possible, of sustainable small and		
			medium sized sites that can be delivered in the short to		
			medium term. In short, the Council are merely repeating past		
			mistakes. On their own evidence larger sites have not achieved		
			what was expected of them. But to make matters worse by		
			allocating almost 25% of the additional housing land at one site		
			The Green. Excluding The Green in favour of smaller sites		
			would still lead to over-provision of 2,594 or 13.75% when		
			compared against WNJCS target.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 113/1/4	Policy 13	and soundness:	There are 5 proposed sites in East Hunsbury: LAA110, LAA1009,	None.	The LPP2 has
		Plan is legally	LAA1142, LAA0168 and LAA1102, and a site in West Hunsbury		undergone traffic
Name:		compliant.	which abuts Towcester Road (LAA1025). N5 (Northampton		modelling and
East Hunsbury			South SUE) sits across East Hunsbury and Collingtree and has a		analysis. It has
Parish Council		Plan is unsound:	capacity of 1,000 dwellings, although none have yet been		identified highway
		- not justified	completed. The cumulative impact of the development of		infrastructure
		- not effective	these sites, and other approved developments such as the SRFI		improvements
			should be considered.		needed to
			The proposed remodelling of the Rowtree Road approach to		accommodate the
			the A45 will do little to mitigate the impact of increased traffic,		cumulative scale of
			and there is no consideration for the congestion that occurs in		growth. This is
			East Hunsbury due to issues on the A45 or the M1.		outlined in
			We do not consider the identified highway infrastructure		Appendix C.
			improvements robust enough to accommodate the cumulative		Transport

			scale of growth proposed. The opportunity should be taken to review traffic impact on Northampton as a whole, including the proposal for a Northern Orbital road which will serve other SUEs and reduce pressure on the A45.		Assessments or Statements will be required for development proposals and these may indicate the need for localised improvement works, particularly around access to sites. No modification required.
Representation reference: 113/1/5	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: There are 5 proposed sites in East Hunsbury: LAA110, LAA1009,	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The LPP2 has
		Plan is legally	LAA1142, LAA0168 and LAA1102, and a site in West Hunsbury		undergone traffic
Name:		compliant.	which abuts Towcester Road (LAA1025). N5 (Northampton		modelling and
East Hunsbury		Dian is unserved.	South SUE) sits across East Hunsbury and Collingtree and has a		analysis. It has
Parish Council		Plan is unsound: - not justified	capacity of 1,000 dwellings, although none have yet been completed. The cumulative impact of the development of		identified highway infrastructure
		- not justified - not effective	these sites, and other approved developments such as the SRFI		improvements
		- not enective	should be considered.		needed to
			The proposed remodelling of the Rowtree Road approach to		accommodate the
			the A45 will do little to mitigate the impact of increased traffic,		cumulative scale of
			and there is no consideration for the congestion that occurs in		growth. This is
			East Hunsbury due to issues on the A45 or the M1.		outlined in
			We do not consider the identified highway infrastructure		Appendix C.
			improvements robust enough to accommodate the cumulative		Transport
			scale of growth proposed. The opportunity should be taken to		Assessments or
			review traffic impact on Northampton as a whole, including the		Statements will be
			proposal for a Northern Orbital road which will serve other		required for
			SUEs and reduce pressure on the A45.		development
					proposals and these
					may indicate the
					need for localised
					improvement

					works, particularly
					around access to
					sites. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 113/1/6	Policy 13	and soundness:	There are 5 proposed sites in East Hunsbury: LAA110, LAA1009,	None.	The LPP2 has
		Plan is legally	LAA1142, LAA0168 and LAA1102, and a site in West Hunsbury		undergone traffic
Name:		compliant.	which abuts Towcester Road (LAA1025). N5 (Northampton		modelling and
East Hunsbury			South SUE) sits across East Hunsbury and Collingtree and has a		analysis. It has
Parish Council		Plan is unsound:	capacity of 1,000 dwellings, although none have yet been		identified highway
		- not justified	completed. The cumulative impact of the development of		infrastructure
		- not effective	these sites, and other approved developments such as the SRFI		improvements
			should be considered.		needed to
			The proposed remodelling of the Rowtree Road approach to		accommodate the
			the A45 will do little to mitigate the impact of increased traffic,		cumulative scale of
			and there is no consideration for the congestion that occurs in		growth. This is
			East Hunsbury due to issues on the A45 or the M1.		outlined in
			We do not consider the identified highway infrastructure		Appendix C.
			improvements robust enough to accommodate the cumulative		Transport
			scale of growth proposed. The opportunity should be taken to		Assessments or
			review traffic impact on Northampton as a whole, including the		Statements will be
			proposal for a Northern Orbital road which will serve other		required for
			SUEs and reduce pressure on the A45.		development
					proposals and these
					may indicate the
					need for localised
					improvement
					works, particularly
					around access to
					sites. No
					modification
					required.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 113/1/7	Policy 13	and soundness:	There are 5 proposed sites in East Hunsbury: LAA110, LAA1009,	None.	The LPP2 has
		Plan is legally	LAA1142, LAA0168 and LAA1102, and a site in West Hunsbury		undergone traffic
Name:		compliant.	which abuts Towcester Road (LAA1025). N5 (Northampton		modelling and
East Hunsbury			South SUE) sits across East Hunsbury and Collingtree and has a		analysis. It has
Parish Council		Plan is unsound:	capacity of 1,000 dwellings, although none have yet been		identified highway
		- not justified	completed. The cumulative impact of the development of		infrastructure
		- not effective	these sites, and other approved developments such as the SRFI		improvements
			should be considered.		needed to
			The proposed remodelling of the Rowtree Road approach to		accommodate the
			the A45 will do little to mitigate the impact of increased traffic,		cumulative scale of
			and there is no consideration for the congestion that occurs in		growth. This is
			East Hunsbury due to issues on the A45 or the M1.		outlined in
			We do not consider the identified highway infrastructure		Appendix C.
			improvements robust enough to accommodate the cumulative		Transport
			scale of growth proposed. The opportunity should be taken to		Assessments or
			review traffic impact on Northampton as a whole, including the		Statements will be
			proposal for a Northern Orbital road which will serve other		required for
			SUEs and reduce pressure on the A45.		development
					proposals and these
					may indicate the
					need for localised
					improvement
					works, particularly
					around access to
					sites. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 113/1/8	Policy 13	and soundness:	There are 5 proposed sites in East Hunsbury: LAA110, LAA1009,	None.	The LPP2 has
		Plan is legally	LAA1142, LAA0168 and LAA1102, and a site in West Hunsbury		undergone traffic
Name:		compliant.	which abuts Towcester Road (LAA1025). N5 (Northampton		modelling and
East Hunsbury			South SUE) sits across East Hunsbury and Collingtree and has a		analysis. It has
Parish Council		Plan is unsound:	capacity of 1,000 dwellings, although none have yet been		identified highway
		- not justified	completed. The cumulative impact of the development of		infrastructure
		- not effective			improvements

			these sites, and other approved developments such as the SRFI should be considered. The proposed remodelling of the Rowtree Road approach to the A45 will do little to mitigate the impact of increased traffic, and there is no consideration for the congestion that occurs in East Hunsbury due to issues on the A45 or the M1. We do not consider the identified highway infrastructure improvements robust enough to accommodate the cumulative scale of growth proposed. The opportunity should be taken to review traffic impact on Northampton as a whole, including the proposal for a Northern Orbital road which will serve other SUEs and reduce pressure on the A45.		needed to accommodate the cumulative scale of growth. This is outlined in Appendix C. Transport Assessments or Statements will be required for development proposals and these may indicate the need for localised improvement works, particularly around access to sites. No modification required.
Representation reference: 113/1/9 Name: East Hunsbury Parish Council	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Local infrastructure, including access to doctors and schools will be impacted by the addition of a further 491 dwellings in the parish (not taking into account the SUE).	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 37 of the LPP2 requires major development proposals to contribute towards the delivery of and where necessary provide land / suitable sites for any new infrastructure associated with and resulting from the scheme. No modification required.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	Local infrastructure, including access to doctors and schools	None.	Policy 37 of the
113/1/10		Plan is legally	will be impacted by the addition of a further 491 dwellings in		LPP2 requires major
		compliant.	the parish (not taking into account the SUE).		development
Name:					proposals to
East Hunsbury		Plan is unsound:			contribute towards
, Parish Council		- not justified			the delivery of and
		- not effective			where necessary
					provide land /
					suitable sites for any
					new infrastructure
					associated with and
					resulting from the
					scheme. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	Local infrastructure, including access to doctors and schools	None.	Policy 37 of the
113/1/11	,	Plan is legally	will be impacted by the addition of a further 491 dwellings in		, LPP2 requires major
		compliant.	the parish (not taking into account the SUE).		development
Name:					proposals to
East Hunsbury		Plan is unsound:			contribute towards
Parish Council		- not justified			the delivery of and
		- not effective			where necessary
					provide land /
					suitable sites for any
					new infrastructure
					associated with and
					resulting from the
					scheme. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	Local infrastructure, including access to doctors and schools	None.	Policy 37 of the
113/1/12		Plan is legally	will be impacted by the addition of a further 491 dwellings in		LPP2 requires major
		compliant.	the parish (not taking into account the SUE).		development

Name: East Hunsbury Parish Council		Plan is unsound: - not justified			proposals to contribute towards the delivery of and
		- not effective			where necessary provide land / suitable sites for any
					new infrastructure
					associated with and
					resulting from the
					scheme. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	Local infrastructure, including access to doctors and schools	None.	Policy 37 of the
113/1/13		Plan is legally	will be impacted by the addition of a further 491 dwellings in		LPP2 requires major
		compliant.	the parish (not taking into account the SUE).		development
Name:					proposals to
East Hunsbury		Plan is unsound:			contribute towards
Parish Council		- not justified			the delivery of and
		- not effective			where necessary
					provide land /
					suitable sites for any
					new infrastructure
					associated with and
					resulting from the
					scheme. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 152/1/8	Policy 13	and soundness:	I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the	None.	Policy 29 of the
		Plan is legally	following reasons:		LPP2 requires all
Name:		compliant.	Loss of natural habitat and established trees - given the		major development
Buddies of Beckets			pollution levels in this area of town these trees will be		to offset the loss of
		Plan is sound.	contributing to lowering the CO2 levels and to lose them will		and secure a net
			have a massive impact upon an already over polluted area.		gain in biodiversity
					through the

					strengthening, management and / or creation of new habitats.
Representation reference: 152/1/9 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: Loss of natural boarder to the park, which separates Beckets Park from a petrol station and Morrisons car park - to have even more building around a park in a town centre location will mean loss of a valuable green space. It is also well evidenced that people living next to a park often have issues with noise/ASB which will impact upon the council and police having to deal with such complaints.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Site 1134 sits adjacent to Beckett's Park which is designated parks and gardens. A border to the east of the site is expected to be retained as part of the site's development. Policy 6 of the LPP2 requires development to prevent negative impacts on residential amenity from noise.
Representation reference: 152/1/10 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: Increase in traffic in already congested/polluted area	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 32 requires all major planning applications to include a Travel Plan to demonstrate they can mitigate the proposal's transport impact. It will also need to be designed to incorporate, demonstrate and achieve design principles such as

Representation reference: 152/1/11 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: Competing access needs with the University and Marina - there is already an issue with competing pedestrians and vehicles in this area and bringing more residents into the area will only increase these demands and cause more tensions. Comments:	Suggested changes: None.	encouraging active lifestyles and well- being. Policy 6 of the LPP2 requires development to prevent negative impacts on residential amenity from poor air quality. Officer comments: Policy 32 requires all major planning applications to include a Travel Plan to demonstrate they can mitigate the proposal's transport impact. It will also need to be designed to incorporate, demonstrate and achieve design principles such as encouraging active lifestyles and well- being. Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the	None.	Policy 31 of the
152/1/12	1 01109 10	Plan is legally	following reasons: Loss of historical interest of the	None.	LPP2 requires
		compliant.	Northampton to Bedford railway line.		development to
Name:					protect and
Buddies of Beckets		Plan is sound.			enhance designated
Buddles OF Beckets					-
					and non-designated
					heritage assets.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Development will need to ensure that proposals demonstrate a clear understanding of the signifiance of the asset and justify any loss. Officer comments:
reference: 152/1/13 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Policy 13	Plan is legally compliant.	I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: How viable the land is under an old railway line and the level of disturbance to the area in making this visible to build upon - it does not appear to by the easiest piece of land to access and remove a large quantity of soil and whatever else is underneath from the disused railway. This will have a massive impact upon the park users whilst this work goes on.	None.	The LPP2 has undergone a complete viability appraisal and has been found to be viable. Any construction works will need to consider the impact on the users of the park; this would be dealt with through condition at the application stage.
Representation reference: 152/1/14 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: More building on flood risk area reducing ability for water to drain away naturally - on a recognised flood risk area to introduce more concreted area which will not allow water to flow away naturally will increase the risk of flooding.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 7 of the LPP2 sets out the requirements for major development, including the need to incorporate sustainable drainage systems. Proposals that ensure flood risk is not increased elsewhere, provide

					flood risk reduction / betterment will be supported
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
Representation reference: 152/1/15 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Policy 13	Plan is legally compliant.	I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: Loss of natural habitat and established trees - given the pollution levels in this area of town these trees will be contributing to lowering the CO2 levels and to lose them will have a massive impact upon an already over polluted area.	None.	Policy 29 of the LPP2 requires all major development to offset the loss of and secure a net gain in biodiversity through the strengthening, management and / or creation of new
					habitats.
Representation reference: 152/1/16 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: Loss of natural boarder to the park, which separates Beckets Park from a petrol station and Morrisons car park - to have even more building around a park in a town centre location will mean loss of a valuable green space. It is also well evidenced that people living next to a park often have issues with noise/ASB which will impact upon the council and police having to deal with such complaints.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Site 1134 sits adjacent to Beckett's Park which is designated parks and gardens. A border to the east of the site is expected to be retained as part of the site's development. Policy 6 of the LPP2 requires development to prevent negative impacts on residential amenity
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	from noise. Officer comments:
reference: 152/1/17	Policy 13	and soundness:	Comments.	None.	Policy 32 requires all major planning

Name: Buddies of Beckets		Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: Increase in traffic in already congested/polluted area.		applications to include a Travel Plan to demonstrate they can mitigate the proposal's transport impact. It will also need to be designed to incorporate, demonstrate and achieve design
					principles such as encouraging active lifestyles and well- being. Policy 6 of the LPP2 requires development to prevent negative impacts on residential amenity from poor air quality.
Representation reference: 152/1/18 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: Competing access needs with the University and Marina - there is already an issue with competing pedestrians and vehicles in this area and bringing more residents into the area will only increase these demands and cause more tensions.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 32 requires all major planning applications to include a Travel Plan to demonstrate they can mitigate the proposal's transport impact. It will also need to be designed to incorporate, demonstrate and achieve design principles such as

					encouraging active lifestyles and well- being.
Representation reference: 152/1/19 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: Loss of historical interest of the Northampton to Bedford railway line.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 31 of the LPP2 requires development to protect and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets. Development will need to ensure that proposals demonstrate a clear understanding of the signifiance of the asset and justify
Representation reference: 152/1/20 Name: Buddies of Beckets	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the following reasons: How viable the land is under an old railway line and the level of disturbance to the area in making this visible to build upon - it does not appear to by the easiest piece of land to access and remove a large quantity of soil and whatever else is underneath from the disused railway. This will have a massive impact upon the park users whilst this work goes on.	Suggested changes: None.	any loss. Officer comments: The LPP2 has undergone a complete viability appraisal and has been found to be viable. Any construction works will need to consider the impact on the users of the park; this would be dealt with through condition at the application stage.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	I oppose building on the St Johns embankment for the	None.	Policy 7 of the LPP2
152/1/21	,	Plan is legally	following reasons:		sets out the
		compliant.	More building on flood risk area reducing ability for water to		requirements for
Name:			drain away naturally - on a recognised flood risk area to		major development,
Buddies of Beckets		Plan is sound.	introduce more concreted area which will not allow water to		including the need
			flow away naturally will increase the risk of flooding.		to incorporate
					sustainable drainage
					systems.
					Proposals that
					ensure flood risk is
					not increased
					elsewhere, provide
					flood risk reduction
					/ betterment will be
					supported.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 172/1/3	Policy 13	and soundness:	Homes England are taking forward landholdings throughout	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	Northampton and welcome the following sites' allocation for		
Name:		compliant.	housing and / or housing led development in Policy 13		
Homes England			Residential and Other Residential Led Allocation and Policy 38		
		Plan is unsound:	Development Allocations.		
		- reason not	Ransome Road Gateway Gate Lodge, The Green, Great		
		specified	Houghton, Upton Reserve Site, Ransome Road.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 172/1/5	Policy 13	and soundness:	Homes England have undertaken work on indicative site	Homes England	Policy 43 states that
		Plan is legally	capacity of these sites which indicate that the Dwelling	therefore requests	development of "at
Name:		compliant.	Capacity in Policy 13 for a number of these sites is incorrect. As	the following	least" 200 dwellings
Homes England			such Homes England objects to the wording of Policy 13 as	changes to Policy	will be required.
-		Plan is unsound:	currently drafted. Please see our more detailed comments on	13 to make the Plan	This means that the
		- reason not	Site Specific Policies 41 & 43 for Refs 1098 & 1139.	sound.	housing capacity
		specified	As currently drafted, the policy is too prescriptive and does not	1139 - Ransome	could be raised.
			meet the test of soundness by failing to plan positively by	Road - Indicative	There is no need to
			artificially limiting sites' capacity and their ability to contribute	dwelling capacity	change the capacity
			to meeting the area's objectively assessed needs. The policy is	500 (5YHLS)	for the site.

			inconsistent with national policy which requires plans to positively seek opportunities to meet the development needs of their area, and be sufficiently flexible to adapt to rapid change (NPPF 2019, Paragraph 11).		
Representation reference: 185/1/10 Name: Wildlife Trust for Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire & Northamptonshire	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: We are pleased to see that the boundary of this allocation for 23 dwellings has been modified so that it no longer includes a section of Kingsthorpe Meadows Local Nature Reserve and Local Wildlife Site. Kingsthorpe Meadows already receives a high number of visitors and therefore, any additional pressure from new developments is concerning. For this allocation to be in line with Policies 27 (Green Infrastructure) and 29 (Supporting and Enhancing Biodiversity) it will need to carefully consider how it will provide a net gain in biodiversity within the application site and also contribute towards the enhancement of the wider green infrastructure in the area, including Kingsthorpe Meadows.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 185/1/12 Name: Wildlife Trust for Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire & Northamptonshire	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: This policy has also been improved since the previous version of the Local Plan Part 2; however, it is still of concern as its potential link to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protected Area (SPA) has not been established. The Habitats Regulations Assessment and Policy 41 requests that over- wintering bird surveys should be conducted to investigate the importance of the allocation to the SPA and, using the results of these surveys, to suggest suitable mitigation measures; if it is possible to do so. The area suggested for ecological enhancement within the proposal (Figure 20) seems to have been chosen for landscape rather than biodiversity reasons and is likely to be used for recreation and therefore to be highly disturbed. Policy 41 also lists a range of other issues to be considered within this allocation. We would strongly recommend that the over-wintering bird surveys are carried	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Surveys are expected to be undertaken by the applicant and will be advised to undertake surveys at the outset. No change.

			(as functionally linked land) to the SPA and the mitigation/compensation which may be required are clearly established and used to reassess the suitability of the allocation.		
Representation reference: 195/1/15 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: It is clear from Call for Sites submissions and the Council's Land Availability Assessment that the development options available within the Borough have exhaustively been considered and that there are no other sources of supply other than those which have been identified for development within the accompanying proposals map. In pointed response to Policy 13, the inclusion of site 1107 (Former Abington Mill Farm, land off Rushmere Road) is welcomed and the content of those earlier submissions in respect of the site remain valid. The site is immediately available, suitable, sustainable, deliverable and viable for residential development purposes and is capable of being delivered within the first 5 years of the plan's adoption. However, it is considered that the proposed allocation should be extended to include that land, as shown in blue at Plate 1, which falls under the ownership of Northampton Borough Council.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 195/1/19 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Turning to the site-specific allocations and policies which are addressed within Chapter 13, the respondent would first like to commend the bold approach which the Council have sought to adopt in clearly defining the significant number of development sites available within the Borough. It is considered that this approach provides landowners, including those with a legal interest, absolute clarity on the potential future options for the development of their land and property interests.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 200/1/7 Name: HBF	Policy 13	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	The LPP2 should ensure the availability of a sufficient supply of deliverable and developable land to deliver the Borough's housing requirement. This sufficiency of HLS should meet the housing requirement, ensure the maintenance of a 5 Years Housing Land Supply (YHLS) and achieve Housing Delivery Test (HDT) performance measurements. As set out in the LPP2 and the Council's Housing Technical Paper dated July 2020, 7,073 dwellings (37%) of Northampton's housing requirement of 18,870 dwellings are located on five SUEs namely N5, N6, N7, N9 and N9A. The delivery of these SUEs has been slow contributing only 80 completions between 2011/12 – 2018/19. It is no longer expected that all dwellings on SUEs will be completed before the end of plan period in 2029. It is now anticipated that completions from SUEs will total only 5,959 dwellings as opposed to circa 8,000 dwellings anticipated in the adopted WNJCS.	None.	Noted.
Representation reference: 200/1/8 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The Northampton LPP2 deals only with housing shortfalls from the five SUEs located in the Borough by proposing additional housing land allocations. The LPP2 allocates 71 housing / housing led sites for circa 3,804 dwellings as set out in Policies 13 & 38. Housing delivery is maximised, where a wide mix of sites provides choice for consumers, allows places to grow in sustainable ways and creates opportunities to diversify the construction sector. The LPP2 allocations include a wide range of sites by both size and market locations, which should provide access to suitable land for small local, medium regional and large national housebuilding companies as well as providing opportunities for a wide range of different types of dwellings to meet the housing needs of all households. Under the 2019 NPPF, the Council should identify at least 10% of its housing requirement on sites no larger than one hectare or else demonstrate strong reasons for not achieving this	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The SAMLAA investigations concluded that 52% of sites allocated for housing are under 1 hectare.

			target (para 68). The Council should confirm compliance with this aspect of national policy.		
Representation reference: 200/1/10 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 13 and general	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The HBF would not wish to comment on the merits or otherwise of individual sites selected for allocation but it is critical that the Council's assumptions on lapse rates, non- implementation allowances, lead in times and delivery rates contained within its overall HLS, 5 YHLS and housing trajectory are correct and realistic. These assumptions should be supported by parties responsible for delivery of housing and sense checked by the Council. The Council has provided limited information / supporting evidence on a site by site analysis of the deliverability of individual site allocations.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 219/1/7 Name: Fiona Lungley	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: I am not happy about the plan to get rid of the area between beckets park and Morrison's car park.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Northampton is required to deliver 18,870 homes by 2029. Sites allocated within the LPP2 have been assessed for their suitability through the Sites Allocation Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) process.
Representation reference: 219/1/8 Name: Fiona Lungley	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: My concerns are for possible flooding! Also getting rid of all those well established trees and all that will do to the oxygen levels, pollution levels, the natural habit for wildlife that currently live there.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Site 1134 sits adjacent to Beckett's Park which is designated parks

		Plan is unsound:			and gardens. A
		- not justified			border to the east of
		- not effective			
		- not effective			the site is expected
					to be retained as
					part of the site's
					development.
					Policy 7 of the LPP2
					sets out the
					requirements for
					major development,
					including the need
					to incorporate
					sustainable drainage
					systems.
					Proposals that
					ensure flood risk is
					not increased
					elsewhere, provide
					flood risk reduction
					/ betterment will be
					supported
					Policy 29 of the
					LPP2 requires all
					major development
					to offset the loss of
					and secure a net
					gain in biodiversity
					through the
					strengthening,
					management and /
					or creation of new
					habitats.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 219/1/9	Policy 13	and soundness:	It's a terrible shame for those living in the area not to mention	None.	Policy 6 of the LPP2
, , , -	,	Plan is legally	the noise it will create.		requires
Name:		compliant.			development to

Fiona Lungley Representation reference: 219/1/10 Name: Fiona Lungley	Refers to: Policy 13	Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound:	Comments: Beckett's park has become quite a sanctuary in recent months for employees in the area especially from the hospital for their lunch breaks etc	Suggested changes: None.	prevent negative impacts on residential amenity including from noise and poor air quality. Officer comments: The site that has been allocated sits adjacent to Beckett's Park and will not encroach
		 not justified not effective 			onto the park.
Representation reference: 219/1/11 Name: Fiona Lungley	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: I also believe it will negatively effect the wildlife in Beckett's park not to mention the increase in traffic in an already over grown area that's hard to get through at the best of times.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 29 of the LPP2 requires all major development to offset the loss of and secure a net gain in biodiversity through the strengthening, management and / or creation of new habitats. Policy 32 requires all major planning applications to include a Travel Plan to demonstrate they can mitigate the proposal's transport impact. It will also need to be designed to incorporate,

Representation reference: 219/1/12 Name: Fiona Lungley	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: I believe this is a very under thought plan!	Suggested changes: None.	demonstrate and achieve design principles such as encouraging active lifestyles and well- being. Officer comments: The LPP2 has been through a thorough process of evidence gathering and consultation stages since 2016 including at Issues, Options and Sites for allocation stages. Responses at all stages of
					stages of consultation have been taken into consideration for the Submission Draft LPP2. The LPP2 also has a
					supporting evidence base which has informed the policies and allocations within the Plan.
Representation reference: 219/1/13 Name: Fiona Lungley	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound:	Comments: I am not happy about the plan to get rid of the area between beckets park and Morrison's car park.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Northampton is required to deliver 18,870 homes by 2029.

		- not justified			Sites allocated
		- not effective			within the LPP2
					have been assessed
					for their suitability
					through the Sites
					Allocation
					Methodology and
					Land Availability
					Assessment
					(SAMLAA) process.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 220/1/2	Policy 13	and soundness:	I object to this strip of land being built on because it would	None.	The Council has
		Plan is legally	require a number of trees being felled. These trees not only		undertaken a robust
Name:		compliant.	soak up pollution from the significant amount of traffic but also		land availability
Kathleen Tomsett			provide habitat for birds, squirrels and other wildlife. I walk in		exercise which takes
		Plan is unsound:	the park virtually every day and it is a pleasure to see and hear		into consideration a
		- not justified	the birds. The park is an asset and it would be appaling to		number of key
			destroy parts of it like this. There must be other sites locally		issues including
			that housing can be built on without destroying natural habitat.		trees. It was
					concluded that this
					site is suitable for
					development and
					there will be policies
					in place which will
					mitigate against any
					issues associated
					with the natural
					environment. Any
					proposal that comes
					forward will need to
					comply with the
					relevant policies
					contained in the
					plan including Policy
					29 (supporting and

					enhancing
					biodiversity).
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 220/1/3	Policy 13	and soundness:	I object to this strip of land being built on because it would	None.	The Council has
		Plan is legally	require a number of trees being felled. These trees not only		undertaken a robust
Name:		compliant.	soak up pollution from the significant amount of traffic but also		land availability
Kathleen Tomsett			provide habitat for birds, squirrels and other wildlife. I walk in		exercise which takes
		Plan is unsound:	the park virtually every day and it is a pleasure to see and hear		into consideration a
		- not justified	the birds. The park is an asset and it would be appaling to		number of key
			destroy parts of it like this. There must be other sites locally		issues including
			that housing can be built on without destroying natural habitat.		trees. It was
					concluded that this
					site is suitable for
					development and
					there will be policies
					in place which will
					mitigate against any
					issues associated
					with the natural
					environment. Any
					proposal that comes
					forward will need to
					comply with the
					relevant policies
					contained in the
					plan including Policy
					29 (supporting and
					enhancing
					biodiversity).
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 221/1/8	Policy 13	and soundness:	I have concerns around the following: Loss of natural habitat	None.	Policy 29 of the
, -, -	- / -	Plan is legally	and established trees.	-	LPP2 requires all
Name:		compliant.			major development
Sharon Ibrahim		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			to offset the loss of
		Plan is unsound:			and secure a net
		- not justified			gain in biodiversity

					through the
					strengthening,
					management and /
					or creation of new
					habitats.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 221/1/9	Policy 13	and soundness:	I have concerns around the following: Loss of natural boarder	None.	Site 1134 sits
	-	Plan is legally	to the park, which separates Beckets Park from a petrol station		adjacent to
Name:		compliant.	and Morrisons car park.		Beckett's Park which
Sharon Ibrahim					is designated parks
		Plan is unsound:			and gardens. A
		- not justified			border to the east of
					the site is expected
					to be retained as
					part of the site's
					development. The
					site will not
					encroach onto the
					park.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	I have concerns around the following: Increase in traffic in	None.	Policy 32 requires all
221/1/10		Plan is legally	already congested/polluted area.		major planning
		compliant.			applications to
Name:					include a Travel Plan
Sharon Ibrahim		Plan is unsound:			to demonstrate they
		- not justified			can mitigate the
					proposal's transport
					impact. It will also
					need to be designed
					to incorporate,
					demonstrate and
					achieve design
					principles such as
					encouraging active
					lifestyles and well-
					being.

					Policy 6 of the LPP2 requires development to prevent negative impacts on residential amenity from poor air quality.
Representation reference: 221/1/11 Name: Sharon Ibrahim	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: I have concerns around the following: Competing access needs with the University and Marina.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 32 requires all major planning applications to include a Travel Plan to demonstrate they can mitigate the proposal's transport impact. It will also need to be designed to incorporate, demonstrate and achieve design principles such as encouraging active lifestyles and well- being.
Representation reference: 221/1/12 Name: Sharon Ibrahim	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: I have concerns around the following: Loss of historical interest of the Northampton to Bedford railway line.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 31 of the LPP2 requires development to protect and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets. Development will need to ensure that proposals

					demonstrate a clear
					understanding of
					the significance of
					the asset and justify
					any loss.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	I have concerns around the following: How viable the land is	None.	The LPP2 has
221/1/13		Plan is legally	under an old railway line and the level of disturbance to the		undergone a
		compliant.	area in making this visible to build upon.		complete viability
Name:					appraisal and has
Sharon Ibrahim		Plan is unsound:			been found to be
		- not justified			viable. Any
					construction works
					will need to
					consider the impact
					on the users of the
					park; this would be
					dealt with through
					condition at the
					application stage.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	I have concerns around the following: More building on flood	None.	Policy 7 of the LPP2
221/1/14	,	Plan is legally	risk area reducing ability for water to drain away naturally.		sets out the
, _,		compliant.			requirements for
Name:		oo npiidine.			major development,
Sharon Ibrahim		Plan is unsound:			including the need
		- not justified			to incorporate
					sustainable drainage
					systems.
					Proposals that
					ensure flood risk is
					not increased
					elsewhere, provide
					flood risk reduction / betterment will be

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 222/1/6	Policy 13	and soundness:	The area is a haven for wildlife, I walk my dog twice a day in	None.	Policy 29 of the
		Plan is legally	Becklet's Park, and there is always birdsong or other wildlife to		LPP2 requires all
Name:		compliant.	hear and see.		major development
Jean Thorne					to offset the loss of
		Plan is unsound:			and secure a net
		- not justified			gain in biodiversity
					through the
					strengthening,
					management and /
					or creation of new
					habitats.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 222/1/7	Policy 13	and soundness:	Where are the houses/flats going to have access?	None.	Safe access to the
		Plan is legally			development will
Name:		compliant.			need to be
Jean Thorne					demonstrated at the
		Plan is unsound:			application stage
		- not justified			and will need to
					comply with Policy
					33 of the LPP2.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 222/1/8	Policy 13	and soundness:	There are enough cars going up and down in the park as it is!	None.	Policy 32 requires all
		Plan is legally			major planning
Name:		compliant.			applications to
Jean Thorne					include a Travel Plan
		Plan is unsound:			to demonstrate they
		- not justified			can mitigate the
					proposal's transport
					impact. It will also
					need to be designed
					to incorporate,
					demonstrate and
					achieve design
					principles such as
					encouraging active

					lifestyles and well-
					being.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 222/1/9	Policy 13	and soundness:	What about the trees opposite?	None.	Policy 29 of the
		Plan is legally			LPP2 requires all
Name:		compliant.			major development
Jean Thorne					to offset the loss of
		Plan is unsound:			and secure a net
		- not justified			gain in biodiversity
					through the
					strengthening,
					management and /
					or creation of new
					habitats.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	What about building on brown sites instead of destroying a	None.	Northampton is
222/1/10		Plan is legally	small patch of land which gives people pleasure?		required to deliver
		compliant.			18,870 homes by
Name:					2029. Sites allocated
Jean Thorne		Plan is unsound:			within the LPP2
		- not justified			have been assessed
					for their suitability
					through the Sites
					Allocation
					Methodology and
					Land Availability
					Assessment
					(SAMLAA) process.
					Brownfield sites are
					allocated within the
					plan but it is also
					necessary to
					allocate on
					greenfield sites to
					meet housing need

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	The policy and preceding paragraphs do not place enough	None.	The housing target
229/1/15		Plan is not legally	emphasis on the Government's objective of significantly		as set out in the
		compliant:	boosting the supply of new housing.		West
Name:		- not compliant	Paragraph 7.10 places an over reliance on the West		Northamptonshire
Barratt David		with duty to	Northampton Strategic Plan becoming adopted in 2022, to		Joint Core Strategy
Wilson Homes		cooperate	benchmark the housing supply targets. Fundamentally, the		is expected to be
			significant shortfall in housing supply in Northampton Borough		delivered by the end
		Plan is unsound:	Council, should not be parked for a plan review.		of the Northampton
		- not positively			LPP2 period (2029).
		prepared			Any new housing
		- not justified			target set out in the
		- not effective			West
		- not consistent			Northamptonshire
		with national policy			Strategic Plan will
					enable a review of
					the Northampton
					LPP2.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	The housing figures in NBC's Local Plan does not account for	None.	The Inspector's
229/1/16		Plan is not legally	what would be predicable events, such as the Ox-Cam arc.		comment on the
		compliant:	Local Plans should account for predictable events as confirmed		Vale of Aylesbury LP
Name:		- not compliant	by the Inspector in the Aylesbury Vale Local Plan Enquiry.		relates particualrly
Barratt David		with duty to	The full quote: "Early review		to the route of the
Wilson Homes		cooperate	Firstly, I am severely troubled by an approach which envisages		Oxford to
			that the plan will need to be reviewed soon after adoption.		Cambridge
		Plan is unsound:	Whilst inspectors are generally willing to find a plan sound		Expressway; which
		- not positively	where one or two finite issues remain unresolved and are		is not going through
		prepared	relatively peripheral to the main thrust of the plan, it appears		Northampton. VA
		- not justified	that the consequences of an impending government decision		also idenitifes its
		- not effective	on the route of the Oxford Cambs expressway are expected to		own housing targets
		- not consistent	lead to a fundamental review of the plan's development		in isolation. NBC's
		with national policy	strategy		housing targets are
			Predictable events should be planned for to be sound VALP		set out in the West
			should make contingency plans to accommodate them, not		Northamptonshire
					Joint Core Strategy.

			simply abandon its function to a future review of uncertain timescale About half of the growth expected to result from the implementation of the Ox-Cam arc is expected to take place in existing settlements, their location is, by definition existing and therefore, known. In my consideration of housing numbers I make recommendations for the plan to take account of that now. " The Ox-Camb arc will have an effect on housing need in the Borough, but this has not been accounted for.		It is a matter for the review of the Core Strategy through the production of the West Northamptonshire Strategic Plan to determine housing targets that take into account growth in the OxCam Arc.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	Notwithstanding Policy S4 of the WNJCS, this policy should	Modification	The NRDA
229/1/17		Plan is not legally	allow the ability to consider new development sites in the	Policy 13 needs	encompasses land
		compliant:	NDRA or adjacent to it. Using a criteria based policy that	significant revision	not only within
Name: Barratt David		- not compliant	supports residential development, which is deliverable in a 5	rather than modification.	NBC's boundary but
		with duty to	year period, would facilitate the requirement to meet the	modification.	also within Daventry
Wilson Homes		cooperate	housing needs of Northampton Borough including the planned		and South
		Plan is unsound:	for events, referred to earlier. In doing so, the policy would then be consistent with Paragraph 27 of the NPPF, whereby the		Northamptonshire. The Northampton
		- not positively	Authority would demonstrate effective and on-going joint		LPP2 cannot set
		prepared	working, clearly addressing cross boundary matters.		policy or allocate
		- not justified	working, clearly addressing cross boundary matters.		sites within other
		- not effective	How CIL charging would be applied to such sites and what		districts.
		- not consistent	percentage of affordable housing would apply for sites in the		NBC has a CIL
		with national policy	NRDA and those adjacent to it (being considered to be rural)		Charging Schedule
			that would be used to support Northampton Borough's		which applies to
			housing requirements would need to be carefully considered.		development and
			In some instances there is a 50% affordable housing		S106 contributions
			requirement in rural sites, but only a 35% need for		are used to provide
			Northampton Borough.		supporting
			-		infrastructure for
			The policy is silent on what action would occur if NBC fail to		developments.
			meet the Housing delivery test and/or their continued inability		No modification
			to demonstrate a 5 year supply of deliverable housing sites.		required.

			The policy needs to be explicit on the presumption, in favour and the triggering of, paragraph 11 part d) of the NPPF. The policy is therefore not justified, positive or effective by virtue of the overarching aims to boost housing supply and how it being silent on the effect of CIL charging.		
Representation reference: 232/1/8 Name: Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Nevertheless, although we welcome the proposed allocation of these three sites, we consider their identification as three separate sites in policies 13 and 38 and on the Policies Map and with a separate housing trajectory for each site (Appendix A) is not "sound" (para. 35 of the NPPF), in being neither "justified" (in not being "an appropriate strategy") nor "effective" (in not being "deliverable over the Plan period"). Although the reason why the land immediately to the west of the NSSUE has been identified as three sites is well understood (because site 1142 came under developer control after sites 0168 and 1009 – indeed, not until after the Round 1 Proposed Submission consultation, at which point the development of site 1142 became deliverable), the contiguity of the three sites and their adjacency with the NSSUE mean it is not appropriate to conceive of them as three separate allocations. Rather, they should be planned not only as a single allocation, but also as one to be delivered in conjunction with the immediately adjoining NSSUE.	Suggested changes: Would like sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 considered as 1 large site.	Officer comments: Agreed.
Representation reference: 232/1/10	Refers to: Policy 13 and policies	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: Nevertheless, although we welcome the proposed allocation of these three sites, we consider their identification as three separate sites in policies 13 and 38 and on the Policies Map and	Suggested changes: Would like sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 considered as	Officer comments: Agreed.
Name: Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	map	Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	with a separate housing trajectory for each site (Appendix A) is not "sound" (para.	1 large site.	

			35 of the NPPF), in being neither "justified" (in not being "an appropriate strategy") nor "effective" (in not being "deliverable over the Plan period"). Although the reason why the land immediately to the west of the NSSUE has been identified as three sites is well understood (because site 1142 came under developer control after sites 0168 and 1009 – indeed, not until after the Round 1 Proposed Submission consultation, at which point the development of site 1142 became deliverable), the contiguity of the three sites and their adjacency with the NSSUE mean it is not appropriate to conceive of them as three separate allocations. Rather, they should be planned not only as a single allocation, but also as one to be delivered in conjunction with the immediately adjoining NSSUE.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	Vistry and Lagan have commissioned Define to determine the	Consider the LPP2	The current capacity
232/1/11		Plan is legally	housing capacity of the land between the NSSUE and the	inidicative capacity	of sites LAA0168,
		compliant.	railway line, taking account of the constraints affecting that	is too high for sites	1009 and 1142 in
Name:			capacity (noise and air pollution from the M1 motorway; noise	LAA0168, 1009 and	Policy 13 is
Vistry Latimer		Plan is unsound:	and vibration from the Northampton Loop Line railway; the	1142 and that the	indicative and not
Collingtree LLP		- not justified	floodplain of the Wootton Brook; hedgerows within the site;	excess should be	confirmed.
		- not effective	utilities easements crossing the site; and land needed for public	accommodated	However, as the
			open space, children's play provision and surface water	within	sites is
			drainage attenuation). Assuming a suitable average net density	Northampton	recommended to be
			of 40 dwellings per hectare, Define has determined that the	South SUE.	combined, it is
			land between the NSSUE and the railway line can		acceptable to
			accommodate some 336 dwellings.		change the
			The Council currently proposes 361 dwellings across the three		trajectory. Modify
			sites (0168, 1009 and 1142), which would require an		the plan to take into
			inappropriately high average net density of around 43		account the revised
			dwellings per hectare, resulting in an urban form that would be		trajectory for the
			discordant with the average net density of		combined sites.
			35 dwellings per hectare within the adjacent NSSUE. We		
			consider that the 'excess' 25 dwellings that it is inappropriate		
			to accommodate on sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 can and should		

			be accommodated within the NSSUE itself, where it would be appropriate to increase the net density of housing in close proximity to its local centre and primary school above the currently permitted 35 dwellings per hectare. In this way, that area of the NSSUE close to its facilities can serve appropriately as its core.		
Representation reference: 232/1/17 Name: Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: The replacement of sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 by a single allocation for 336 dwellings therefore renders this element of the Plan "justified" (appropriate), while the accommodation of the 'excess' 25 dwellings within the NSSUE itself (near its local centre and primary school) renders the Plan both "justified" (appropriate) and "effective" (deliverable), with the replacement integrated housing trajectory for the NSSUE and its westerly extension presented at Appendix 2 to this representation rendering the Plan "effective" (deliverable). Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Suggested changes: Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Officer comments: The respondent commented that these sites should be combined and a revised trajectory provided. This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify Policies 13 and 38 and the Policies Map to reflect the recommended combined sites.
Representation reference: 232/1/19 Name: Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	Refers to: Policy 13 and policies map	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: The replacement of sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 by a single allocation for 336 dwellings therefore renders this element of the Plan "justified" (appropriate), while the accommodation of the 'excess' 25 dwellings within the NSSUE itself (near its local centre and primary school) renders the Plan both "justified" (appropriate) and "effective" (deliverable), with the replacement integrated housing trajectory for the NSSUE and its westerly extension presented at Appendix 2 to this representation rendering the Plan "effective" (deliverable). Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Suggested changes: Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Officer comments: The respondent commented that these sites should be combined and a revised trajectory provided. This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify Policies 13 and 38 and the Policies Map to reflect the

					recommended combined sites.
Representation reference: 233/1/8 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Nevertheless, although we welcome the proposed allocation of these three sites, we consider their identification as three separate sites in policies 13 and 38 and on the Policies Map and with a separate housing trajectory for each site (Appendix A) is not "sound" (para. 35 of the NPPF), in being neither "justified" (in not being "an appropriate strategy") nor "effective" (in not being "deliverable over the Plan period"). Although the reason why the land immediately to the west of the NSSUE has been identified as three sites is well understood (because site 1142 came under developer control after sites 0168 and 1009 – indeed, not until after the Round 1 Proposed Submission consultation, at which point the development of site 1142 became deliverable), the contiguity of the three sites and their adjacency with the NSSUE mean it is not appropriate to conceive of them as three separate allocations. Rather, they should be planned not only as a single allocation, but also as one to be delivered in conjunction with the immediately adjoining NSSUE.	Suggested changes: Would like sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 considered as 1 large site.	Officer comments: This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the combined sites 0168, 1009 and 1142.
Representation reference: 233/1/10 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Policy 13 and policies map	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Nevertheless, although we welcome the proposed allocation of these three sites, we consider their identification as three separate sites in policies 13 and 38 and on the Policies Map and with a separate housing trajectory for each site (Appendix A) is not "sound" (para. 35 of the NPPF), in being neither "justified" (in not being "an appropriate strategy") nor "effective" (in not being "deliverable over the Plan period"). Although the reason why the land immediately to the west of the NSSUE has been identified as three sites is well understood (because site 1142 came under developer control after sites 0168 and 1009 – indeed, not until after the Round 1 Proposed	Suggested changes: Would like sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 considered as 1 large site.	Officer comments: This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the combined sites 0168, 1009 and 1142.

			Submission consultation, at which point the development of site 1142 became deliverable), the contiguity of the three sites and their adjacency with the NSSUE mean it is not appropriate to conceive of them as three separate allocations. Rather, they should be planned not only as a single allocation, but also as one to be delivered in conjunction with the immediately adjoining NSSUE.		
Representation reference: 233/1/11 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Vistry and Lagan have commissioned Define to determine the housing capacity of the land between the NSSUE and the railway line, taking account of the constraints affecting that capacity (noise and air pollution from the M1 motorway; noise and vibration from the Northampton Loop Line railway; the floodplain of the Wootton Brook; hedgerows within the site; utilities easements crossing the site; and land needed for public open space, children's play provision and surface water drainage attenuation). Assuming a suitable average net density of 40 dwellings per hectare, Define has determined that the land between the NSSUE and the railway line can accommodate some 336 dwellings. The Council currently proposes 361 dwellings across the three sites (0168, 1009 and 1142), which would require an inappropriately high average net density of 35 dwellings per hectare, resulting in an urban form that would be discordant with the average net density of accommodate on sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 can and should be accommodate within the NSSUE itself, where it would be appropriate to increase the net density of housing in close proximity to its local centre and primary school above the currently permitted 35 dwellings per hectare. In this way, that area of the NSSUE close to its facilities can serve appropriately as its core.	Suggested changes: Consider the LPP2 inidicative capacity is too high for sites LAA0168, 1009 and 1142 and that the excess should be accommodated within Northampton South SUE.	Officer comments: The current capacity of sites LAA0168, 1009 and 1142 in Policy 13 dicative.

Representation reference: 233/1/17 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: The replacement of sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 by a single allocation for 336 dwellings therefore renders this element of the Plan "justified" (appropriate), while the accommodation of the 'excess' 25 dwellings within the NSSUE itself (near its local centre and primary school) renders the Plan both "justified" (appropriate) and "effective" (deliverable), with the replacement integrated housing trajectory for the NSSUE and its westerly extension presented at Appendix 2 to this representation rendering the Plan "effective" (deliverable). Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Suggested changes: Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Officer comments: This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the combined sites 0168, 1009 and 1142.
Representation reference: 233/1/19 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Policy 13 and policies map	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: The replacement of sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 by a single allocation for 336 dwellings therefore renders this element of the Plan "justified" (appropriate), while the accommodation of the 'excess' 25 dwellings within the NSSUE itself (near its local centre and primary school) renders the Plan both "justified" (appropriate) and "effective" (deliverable), with the replacement integrated housing trajectory for the NSSUE and its westerly extension presented at Appendix 2 to this representation rendering the Plan "effective" (deliverable). Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Suggested changes: Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Officer comments: This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the combined sites 0168, 1009 and 1142.
Representation reference: 243/1/4 Name: Lisa Dawson	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not in accordance with SCI - not compliant with duty to cooperate	Comments: I am acting on behalf of the residents in both Cosgrove Road and Cosgrove Way. I want to give these residents a voice. Many are elderly and/or disabled so do not have access to Social media. It is unfair to think that everyone does. We the undersigned are objecting to the proposals to build 6 dwellings (Site Ref: 1086a - Land off Cosgrove Road (Public Open Space). We believe that the proposal will have a significant negative effect on loss of green space, loss of trees	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The site has been assessed through the Sites Allocation Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) process and is considered sustainable with

		Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	 and - for many - the loss of open space. We also would like to question road access - including adequacy of parking, loading and turning together with overall traffic generation and Highway Safety. Residents would also like to see any risk assessments that have been carried out - particularly by the emergency services and any provision made for access subject to the plans. 		public transport, facilities and amenties nearby. No modification required.
Representation reference: 244/1/3 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Policy 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: It should be stressed at the outset that Bastion supports the Council's overarching approach to the Local Plan Part 2 and the draft allocation at the Farm, Hardingstone. Bastion are very encouraged by the Council's approach to housing delivery and seeking to address past under- delivery in the Borough. It is reassuring that the Council has acknowledged, and is tackling, the slower than anticipated delivery at the Strategic Urban Extensions through reduced reliance on these sites and allocating more small and medium sites on which delivery is known to be consistent, helping 5-year housing land supply and supporting choice and competition as set	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Support welcomed.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 244/1/4 Name: Bastion Group	Policy 13	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	It should be stressed at the outset that Bastion supports the Council's overarching approach to the Local Plan Part 2 and the draft allocation at the Farm, Hardingstone. Bastion are very encouraged by the Council's approach to housing delivery and seeking to address past under- delivery in the Borough. It is reassuring that the Council has acknowledged, and is tackling, the slower than anticipated delivery at the Strategic Urban Extensions through reduced reliance on these sites and allocating more small and medium sites on which delivery is known to be consistent, helping 5-year housing land supply and supporting choice and competition as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). This approach is vital to reinforce and maintain the Council's housing supply and	None.	Support welcomed.

Representation reference: 244/1/14 Name: Bastion Group

			To assist in any consideration NBC may want to give to this additional land, Bastion has undertaken comprehensive indicative master planning across both the proposed allocation and the adjacent site to demonstrate how coordinated development could be sensitively and effectively achieved. Critically, Bastion do not object to the current proposed allocation but in the context of rationalising the capacity of this site, as set out above, consider that the most logical approach to reinforcing the 'soundness' of the Plan would be to extend the site boundary to include its wider landholding and thus increase the overall allocation capacity. This, however, should be without prejudice to the allocation of the current proposed allocation 0204. The inclusion of the adjacent land (wider site) has the potential to increase the total site capacity up to circa 100 units, subject to detailed master planning and a planning application. It is important to note that throughout the representations and associated supporting documentation the following references are used. For the proposed allocation' or 'core site' and the adjacent additional land is referred to as 'wider site'.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 244/1/20	Policy 13	and soundness: Plan is legally	Bastion is very encouraged by NBC's current approach in relation to housing delivery and supports the position set out in	None.	Noted.
244/1/20		compliant.	the introductory text on housing delivery and current provision		
Name:			from page 57 onwards. It is reassuring that the Council has		
Bastion Group		Plan is sound.	recognised the historic problems associated with an over-		
			reliance on the large strategic urban extensions (SUE) and their		
			slower than anticipated delivery rates. While we support the		
			role that SUEs have in delivering comprehensive development		
			and strategic infrastructure, it is noteworthy that they can		

			often experience delays to commencement and slow initial delivery.		
Representation reference: 244/1/21 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Policy 13 and policies map	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Notwithstanding Bastion's overriding support for Policy 13 and the proposed allocation of The Farm, Hardingstone (site reference 0204), as set out above in terms of site capacity, it is important for the 'soundness' of the Plan, that the allocation accurately reflects the actual capacity of the allocated area shown by NBC on the Policies Map.	Suggested changes: To reinforce the soundness of Policy 13 and the wider Plan in terms of housing delivery, it would be necessary to amend the allocation to 55 units. To ensure the allocated area also aligns with the ownership boundary, Bastion also seek a minor refinement to the site area shown to comply with the 'Core Site' boundary shown on drawing ref: BPG005-004 Rev A.	Officer comments: It is agreed that the plan should be modified to reflect the correct capacity as supplied by the respondent which is 55 dwellings. The extended area was omitted in error. It is recommended that the site be considered when the plan is reviewed or it can come forward as a windfall site.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	Bastion do however, object to a reference in Plan which	In summary,	It is agreed that the
244/1/22		Plan is legally	suggests that the 'Council has researched alternative sites	Bastion provide	plan should be
N		compliant.	exhaustively' and that there are no other sources of supply to	overarching	modified to reflect
Name:		Dian is second	address the five-year housing land supply shortfall. In the	support for Policy	the correct capacity
Bastion Group		Plan is sound.	context of the necessary reduction in the current allocation at	13, however, recommend that to	as supplied by the
			The Farm, Hardingstone, this shortfall will now be increased by		respondent which is
			circa 45 units. This is despite further land in this location being	improve the	55 dwellings.
			available.	soundness of the	The extended area
			Crucially, Bastion consider that the 'soundness' of the Plan can	policy, Site 0204	was omitted in
			be reinforced through the minor extension of the current	should be amended	error. It is

proposed allocation at 'The Farm'. This land is available,	to a capacity of 55	recommended that
suitable and deliverable and has been demonstrated by the	units. Alternatively,	the site be
	to ensure that	considered when
Baseline Technical Assessment and master planning to be		
relatively free of constraints.	overall soundness	the plan is reviewed
	of the Plan is	or it can come
To ensure that Policy 13 remains effective and accords with	secured, the extent	forward as a
national planning policy in terms of meeting local housing	ofland	windfall site.
needs in line with Paragraphs 11 and 23 of the NPPF to ensure	allocated could be	
that there is 'clear strategy for bringing sufficient land forward,	extended to include	
and at a sufficient rate, to address objectively assessed needs	the 'wider site'	
over the plan period, in line with the presumption in favour of	shown on enclosed	
sustainable development', Bastion recommend that this	drawing BPG005-	
additional land is included within the proposed allocation to	004 rev A which	
increase the capacity of the site and reflect what was	would enable the	
anticipated in the Plan in terms of its capacity. This would help	site capacity to be	
reinforce the housing requirement in a location where	retained.	
development has already been demonstrated and established		
as sustainable and deliverable.		
Bastion can confirm that this additional land can be considered		
deliverable in accordance with the NPPF as it is available now,		
offers a suitable location for development now, and is		
achievable with a realistic prospect that housing will be		
delivered on the site within five years.		
In summary, Bastion provide overarching support for Policy 13,		
however, recommend that to improve the soundness of the		
policy, Site 0204 should be amended to a capacity of 55 units.		
Alternatively, to ensure that overall soundness of the Plan is		
secured, the extent of land		
allocated could be extended to include the 'wider site' shown		
on enclosed drawing BPG005-004 rev A which would enable		
the site capacity to be retained.		

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 13	and soundness:	Policy S3 of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy	None.	Noted.
251/1/16		Plan is legally	('WNJCS') sets the housing requirement for Northampton		
		compliant.	Borough from 2011 to 2029 at 18,870 dwellings (1,048 dpa).		
Name:			As set out in the Plan and the Council's Housing Technical		
Duncan		Plan is unsound:	Paper13, 7,073 dwellings (37%) of Northampton's housing		
Investments Ltd -		- not justified	requirement of 18,870 dwellings are located on five		
Site E of Towcester		- not effective	Sustainable Urban Extensions ('SUEs').		
Rd		- not consistent	However, the Plan confirms that delivery of these SUEs has		
		with national policy	been slow and it is therefore no longer expected that all		
			dwellings on SUEs will be completed before the end of plan		
			period in 202914. It is now anticipated that completions from		
			SUEs will total only 5,959 dwellings as opposed to circa 8,000		
			dwellings anticipated in the adopted WNJCS.		
			The Plan allocates 71 housing or housing-led sites for circa		
			3,804 dwellings as set out in Policies 13 and 38. These		
			allocations include a wide range of sites by both size and		
			market locations.		
			The Developers agree with this approach as it is considered this		
			will provide access to suitable land for small local, medium		
			regional and large national housebuilding companies, as well as		
			providing opportunities for a wide range of different types of		
			dwellings to meet the housing needs of all households.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 35/1/16	Chapter 7	and soundness:	Whilst the evidence base is much improved, with the provision	The evidence base	Review how the
	and	Plan is legally	of a detailed Heritage Impact Assessment and the Battlefield	should be updated	evidence base is
Name:	evidence	compliant.	Conservation Management Plan, a broader evidence base is	to include heritage	listed.
Historic England	base		still required to reflect heritage assets across the borough, such	in accordance with	
		Plan is unsound:	as including Conservation Area appraisals and Local Lists within	the NPPF. If the	
		- not effective	the evidence base pages. As proposed, the evidence base	evidence is already	
		- not consistent	remains contrary to the NPPF, in particular paragraphs 31 and	available, please	
		with national policy	35.	ensure it its added	
			Paragraph 31 states that "the preparation and review of all	into the evidence	
			policies should be underpinned by relevant and up-to-date	base. Particularly	
			evidence."	relevant to site and	

			Whilst it is accepted that S66 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 does not apply, specifically, to Plan making, the absence of any evaluation to address 'uncertainty' outcomes in the evidence base for the Plan must bring into question the deliverability of a number of those particular sites and, for some, the amount of development they can accommodate. When the requirements of the Act are eventually undertaken as part of application considerations, it may be found that the quantum of development on some of the sites is, either, unachievable or, at worst, that the need to safeguard the setting of the building actually renders them largely undevelopable.	allocations and designations could include the following:- • Updating conservation area appraisals and including those already available within the evidence base • Undertaki ng characterisation studies • Local lists • Assessmen ts of landscape sensitivit	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	At the plan-making stage, deliverability of development is very	None specified.	Noted. The LPP2 has
200/1/11	and	Plan is legally	closely linked to viability. The viability of individual		undergone a full
Nomo	viability	compliant.	developments and plan policies should be tested at the plan		viability assessment.
Name: HBF		Plan is unsound:	making stage. Viability testing should assess the cumulative impact of affordable housing provision, policy compliant		
		- not positively	standards, infrastructure and other contributions so that there		
		prepared	is sufficient incentive for a landowner to bring forward their		
		- not justified	land for development (2019 NPPF para 34). As stated in the		
		- not effective	2019 NPPF, development should not be subject to such a scale		
		- not consistent	of obligations that the deliverability of the Local Plan is		
		with national policy	threatened (para 34). The Council's viability assessment should		
			take full account of compliance with the requirements of		
			Policies 4, 5, 14, 29, 32, 35, 36 and 37 (see HBF representations		
			below). Viability assessment should not be conducted on the		

			consultants, the full economic consequences of the Covid-19 pandemic are not yet known and such uncertainty means that a larger viability buffer is necessary (ES13 & ES14). If the resultant Benchmark Land Value (BLV) is lower than the market value at which land will trade, then the delivery of housing targets will not be met. Without a robust approach to viability assessment land will be withheld from the market and housing delivery will be threatened, leading to an unsound LPP2 and housing delivery targets not being met. Viability assessment is an iterative process, in low / middle value areas "trade-offs" between affordable housing provision, CIL, S106 contributions and compliance with policy requirements may be necessary. At Examination, viability will be a key issue in determining the soundness of the Northampton LPP2.		
Representation reference:	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: It is noted that the Plan Viability Study by Aspinall Verdi dated	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Noted.
200/1/12	and	Plan is legally	June 2020 identifies that brownfield sites in higher value area		
Name:	viability	compliant.	zone are less viable than greenfield sites (para 5.39), brownfield sites in the lower value zone are on the		
HBF		Plan is unsound:	margins of viability (para 5.42), all apartment developments on		
		- not positively	brownfield sites (5.44) and specialist housing developments for		
		prepared - not justified	the over 55's (para 6.8) are unviable on a full policy compliant basis. The Council has not provided any detailed information		
		- not effective	on the split between brownfield / greenfield site allocations,		
		- not consistent	the location of brownfield / greenfield sites in lower / higher		
		with national policy	value areas zones or the quantum of development on		
			brownfield / greenfield site allocations. There is reference to a large number of allocations around the town centre in the		
			lower value area (para 5.2). Of the typologies tested circa 50%		
			of sites are brownfield (para $5.8 - 5.13$). If viability negotiations		
			are required, this could impact on the timely delivery of		
			housing.		

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	My concerns are for possible flooding! Also getting rid of all	None.	Site 1134 is not
219/1/14		Plan is legally	those well established trees and all that will do to the oxygen		designated as green
		compliant.	levels, pollution levels, the natural habit for wildlife that		space, therefore no
Name:			currently live there		loss of greenspace
Fiona Lungley		Plan is unsound:			would occur.
		- not justified			Policy 7 of the LPP2
		- not effective			sets out the
					requirements for
					major development,
					including the need
					to incorporate
					sustainable drainage
					systems.
					Proposals that
					ensure flood risk is
					not increased
					elsewhere, provide
					flood risk reduction
					/ betterment will be
					supported
					Policy 29 of the
					LPP2 requires all
					major development
					to offset the loss of
					and secure a net
					gain in biodiversity
					through the
					strengthening,
					management and /
					or creation of new
					habitats.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	It's a terrible shame for those living in the area not to mention	None.	Policy 6 of the LPP2
219/1/15		Plan is not legally	the noise it will create.		requires
		compliant:			development to

Name: Fiona Lungley		 reason not specified Plan is unsound: not justified not effective 			prevent negative impacts on residential amenity including from noise and poor air quality.
Representation reference: 219/1/16 Name: Fiona Lungley	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Beckett's park has become quite a sanctuary in recent months for employees in the area especially from the hospital for their lunch breaks etc.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The site that has been allocated sits adjacent to Beckett's Park and will not encroach onto the park.
Representation reference: 219/1/17 Name: Fiona Lungley	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: I also believe it will negatively effect the wildlife in Beckett's park not to mention the increase in traffic in an already over grown area that's hard to get through at the best of times.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 29 of the LPP2 requires all major development to offset the loss of and secure a net gain in biodiversity through the strengthening, management and / or creation of new habitats. Policy 32 requires all major planning applications to include a Travel Plan to demonstrate they can mitigate the proposal's transport impact. It will also

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	need to be designed to incorporate, demonstrate and achieve design principles such as encouraging active lifestyles and well- being. Officer comments: The LPP2 has been
reference: 219/1/18	Chapter 7	and soundness: Plan is legally	I believe this is a very under thought plan!	None.	through a thorough
Name: Fiona Lungley		compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective			process of evidence gathering and consultation stages since 2016 including at Issues, Options and Sites for allocation stages. Responses at all stages of consultation have been taken into consideration for the Submission Draft LPP2. The LPP2 also has a supporting evidence base which has informed the policies and allocations within
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	the Plan. Officer comments:
reference: 221/1/15	Chapter 7	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	I have concerns around the following: Loss of natural habitat and established trees.	None.	Policy 29 of the LPP2 requires all major development

Name:					to offset the loss of
Sharon Ibrahim		Plan is unsound:			and secure a net
		- not justified			gain in biodiversity
					through the
					strengthening,
					management and /
					or creation of new
					habitats.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	I have concerns around the following: Loss of natural boarder	None.	Site 1134 sits
221/1/16		Plan is legally	to the park, which separates Beckets Park from a petrol station		adjacent to
		compliant.	and Morrisons car park.		Beckett's Park which
Name:					is designated parks
Sharon Ibrahim		Plan is unsound:			and gardens. A
		- not justified			border to the east of
					the site is expected
					to be retained as
					part of the site's
					development.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	I have concerns around the following: Increase in traffic in	None.	Policy 32 requires all
221/1/17		Plan is legally	already congested/polluted area.		major planning
		compliant.			applications to
Name:		•			include a Travel Plan
Sharon Ibrahim		Plan is unsound:			to demonstrate they
		- not justified			can mitigate the
		,			proposal's transport
					impact. It will also
					need to be designed
					to incorporate,
					demonstrate and
					achieve design
					principles such as
					encouraging active
					lifestyles and well-
					being.

					Policy 6 of the LPP2 requires development to prevent negative impacts on residential amenity from poor air quality.
Representation reference: 221/1/18 Name: Sharon Ibrahim	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: I have concerns around the following: Competing access needs with the University and Marina.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 32 requires all major planning applications to include a Travel Plan to demonstrate they can mitigate the proposal's transport impact. It will also need to be designed to incorporate, demonstrate and achieve design principles such as encouraging active lifestyles and well- being
Representation reference: 221/1/19 Name: Sharon Ibrahim	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: I have concerns around the following: Loss of historical interest of the Northampton to Bedford railway line.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 31 of the LPP2 requires development to protect and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets. Development will need to ensure that proposals

					demonstrate a clear
					understanding of
					the significance of
					the asset and justify
					any loss.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	I have concerns around the following: How viable the land is	None.	The LPP2 has
221/1/20		Plan is legally	under an old railway line and the level of disturbance to the		undergone a
		compliant.	area in making this visible to build upon.		complete viability
Name:					appraisal and has
Sharon Ibrahim		Plan is unsound:			been found to be
		- not justified			viable. Any
					construction works
					will need to
					consider the impact
					on the users of the
					park; this would be
					dealt with through
					condition at the
					application stage.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	I have concerns around the following: More building on flood	None.	Policy 7 of the LPP2
221/1/21		Plan is legally	risk area reducing ability for water to drain away naturally.		sets out the
		compliant.			requirements for
Name:					major development,
Sharon Ibrahim		Plan is unsound:			including the need
		- not justified			to incorporate
					sustainable drainage
					systems.
					Proposals that
					ensure flood risk is
					not increased
					elsewhere, provide
					flood risk reduction
					/ betterment will be
					supported.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	The area is a haven for wildlife, I walk my dog twice a day in	None.	Policy 29 of the
222/1/11		Plan is legally	Becklet's Park, and there is always birdsong or other wildlife to		LPP2 requires all
		compliant.	hear and see.		major development
Name:					to offset the loss of
Jean Thorne		Plan is unsound:			and secure a net
		- not justified			gain in biodiversity
					through the
					strengthening,
					management and /
					or creation of new
					habitats.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	Where are the houses/flats going to have access?	None.	Policy 33 requires
222/1/12		Plan is legally			developments to
		compliant.			provide safe and
Name:					suitable access
Jean Thorne		Plan is unsound:			which will need to
		- not justified			be demonstrated at
					the planning
					application stage.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	There are enough cars going up and down in the park as it is!	None.	Policy 32 requires all
222/1/13		Plan is legally			major planning
		compliant.			applications to
Name:					include a Travel Plan
Jean Thorne		Plan is unsound:			to demonstrate they
		- not justified			can mitigate the
					proposal's transport
					impact. It will also
					need to be designed
					to incorporate,
					demonstrate and
					achieve design
					principles such as
					encouraging active

					lifestyles and well-
					being.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	What about the trees opposite?	None.	Policy 29 of the
222/1/14		Plan is legally			LPP2 requires all
		compliant.			major development
Name:					to offset the loss of
Jean Thorne		Plan is unsound:			and secure a net
		- not justified			gain in biodiversity
					through the
					strengthening,
					management and /
					or creation of new
					habitats.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	What about building on brown sites instead of destroying a	None.	Northampton is
222/1/15		Plan is legally	small patch of land which gives people pleasure?		required to deliver
		compliant.			18,870 homes by
Name:					2029. Sites allocated
Jean Thorne		Plan is unsound:			within the LPP2
		- not justified			have been assessed
					for their suitability
					through the Sites
					Allocation
					Methodology and
					Land Availability
					Assessment
					(SAMLAA) process.
					Brownfield sites are
					allocated within the
					plan but it is also
					necessary to
					allocate on
					greenfield sites to
					meet housing need.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 228/1/3	Chapter 7	and soundness:	The Former Abington Mill Farm, land of Rushmere Road is	None.	The site was
		Plan is not legally	regularly flooded and even listed at medium and high risk on		considered for
Name:		compliant:	thje local county council land.		development
David Russell		- reason not	Im concerned as a resident who lives close to this land that		allocation following
		specified	work here may merely move the flood risk to areas next to this		a land availability
			with my house and my neighbours very close by.		assessment which
		Plan is unsound:			took into account
		- not consistent			the land's potential
		with national policy			for flooding. Any
					development
					proposals will need
					to conform with
					flood related
					policies in the Local
					Plan and implement,
					if necessary, flood
					mitigation
					measures.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 228/1/4	Chapter 7	and soundness:	I'm also concerned about access to this planned area.	None.	Any proposals that
		Plan is not legally	Rushmere road is extremely busy morning and evenings and		come forward will
Name:		compliant:	this addded amount of housing with likely only one way in and		need to comply with
David Russell		- reason not	out is only going to add to that.		the relevant policies
		specified			on highways safety
					and sustainable
		Plan is unsound:			travel. The
		- not consistent			Highways authority
		with national policy			will also be
					consulted on any
					proposals that are
					submitted through
					the development
					management
					process.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 231/1/3	Chapter 7	and soundness:	We wish to formally object to the Local Plan Part 2 in relation	None.	National planning
		Plan is legally	to the planned inclusion of development LAA1107 – Former		guidelines have
Name:		compliant.	Abington Mill Farm, land off Rushmere road.		changed since the
Sally Brannan			Change of Council Policy		previous plan was
		Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective	The development would be contrary to the previous plan to preserve the river valley for Recreational/Leisure use as stated in the 1997 plan. This restricted the use of the riverside landscape and stated "Development for any other use will not be permitted" This was part of the council policy to safeguard the Nene Valley and Tributaries.		developed. Housing requirements have also changed and new evidence has been commissioned to inform the Local Plan (Part 2).
			The previous plan stated "in order to enhance and maintain the value which the river valley affords, it is essential that these open spaces remain undeveloped" – What has changed?		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 231/1/4	Chapter 7	and soundness:	Traffic	None.	Traffic modelling
		Plan is legally	We currently experience a high volume of traffic on Rushmere		has been
Name:		compliant.	road, as this is the main road from town leading to the Barnes		undertaken by
Sally Brannan			Meadow Roundabout which is one of the busiest roundabouts		Northamptonshire
		Plan is unsound:	in the county. The Bedford Road roundabout links the		County Council on
		- not positively	Brackmills Industrial Estate, dual carriageway (A45) motorway		behalf of the
		prepared	(M1) and Bedford Road. To build a 125 house development at		borough for all
		- not justified	this location and to build a further housing estate will impact		development
		- not effective	this massively, resulting in even further delays/tailbacks to all		allocations in the
			roads at peak times, specifically up/down Rushmere Road		Local Plan Part 2.
			which is often gridlocked stretching back to the Billing		
			Road/Park Ave South Junction. A development of 125 dwelling		
			would lead to around an additional 162 vehicles being forced		
			with no alternative onto the Rushmere Road (Based on a UK		
			average car ownership of 1.3 vehicles per household, excluding		
			London) These 125 households would also have visitors,		
			deliveries etc which would further impact on the amount of		
			vehicles using the Rushmere Road. The Bedford Road		
			roundabout was remodelled in 2019, to take into consideration		

			 the additional amount of traffic, which still causes issues of backlog, and rushing to navigate the two lanes that merge into one. The proposed entry to the site would be on a bend which would be a hazard to both traffic coming down and up Rushmere Road, and has the potential of being an accident black spot. The potential for the entrance is very limited, due to the river, and path to Brackmills On match days at the Old Scouts Rugby Club, the parking is already an issue that we face on Rushmere Road and Tanfield Lane. Having a further entry and exit point on, an already busy part, will be a further hazard The proposed development is within 50m of the A45 and the site proposed would be likely to become an AQMA area similar to other plots placed in similar locations. Due to the location and natural barriers to movement such as the A45 and the River this would make the use of a car an almost certainty for all travel to and from the estate. 		
Representation reference: 231/1/5 Name: Sally Brannan	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective	 Comments: Wildlife/Natural Environment/Open Space The area of land in question currently has a large amount of wildlife living within. Erecting a housing development will damage the wildlife currently within it. The majority of the site is within the 250m Upper Nene Gravel Pits Special Protection Area. The land should be preserved, it is home to a wide variety of wildlife including bats (seen frequently flying), Minks etc. The land is also currently home to large electricity pylons. Flooding A number of residents of Tanfield Lane have lived here since the houses were built, and on numerous 	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: There are policies in the plan which seek to protect and enhance the natural environment and the biodiversity within it. Each site proposed for development in the Local Plan Part 2 has been assessed against its flood potential and policy

			 occasions we have seen the location prone to flooding. Whilst the floods are not heavy, the land does get water logged. Further development will increase the risk of flooding to our properties. Size of Proposal In Tanfield Lane we have 89 houses, in a larger size plot than the one being proposed. The size of the development means the houses will be packed in, and there will be overcrowding and more vehicles. 		requirements stipulate that developments do not increase flood risk elsewhere. This could be through mechanisms such as Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS).
Representation reference: 231/1/6 Name: Sally Brannan	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective	Comments: Heritage The land is clearly Greenfield land and has been identified as having archaeological potential. There is a scatter of medieval pottery with the potential for more items of interest.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Statutory consultees on heritage, such as Historic England and the county archaeologist, have been consulted. Future applications will require further details to be submitted regarding how development will respond to existing heritage. Any proposals must be in conformity with policies contained in the Local Plan Part 2.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 231/1/8 Name: Sally Brannan	Chapter 7	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	To summarise on balance this planned development should be removed from the current local plan as it is clearly unsuitable for development for a vast number of reasons listed about. The local community oppose this development and should this be	None.	Noted. The site has been investigated using a robust land availability
		Plan is unsound:	included in the plan and not withdraw we will fight the proposal using all methods available to us including seeking a		assessment. No

		 not positively prepared not justified not effective 	judicial review, appeals and we will also make contact with appropriate conservation groups for wildlife, waterways and other appropriate groups such as extinction rebellion.		modification required.
Representation reference: 232/1/3 Name: Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Vistry controls sites 1009 and 1142; and welcomes their proposed allocation for residential development in the draft Plan. Site 0168 is controlled by Lagan, with whom Vistry is collaborating as an adjacent landowner. Both Vistry and Lagan welcome the proposed allocation of that site for residential development in the draft Plan.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 232/1/4 Name: Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Vistry controls sites 1009 and 1142; and welcomes their proposed allocation for residential development in the draft Plan. Site 0168 is controlled by Lagan, with whom Vistry is collaborating as an adjacent landowner. Both Vistry and Lagan welcome the proposed allocation of that site for residential development in the draft Plan.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 232/1/5 Name: Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: The development of all three sites (0168, 1009 and 1142) would be an eminently appropriate westerly extension of the NSSUE, extending that development as far west as the clear physical boundary of the Northampton Loop Line railway, while remaining within the confines of the M1 motorway to the south and the floodplain of the Wootton Brook to the north. Prospective residents of the three sites would increase the catchment population of local retail and community facilities at the local centre for the NSSUE, increasing the prospect of their delivery and retention; and enhancing footfall and vibrancy in that local centre.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 232/1/6 Name: Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	Chapter 7	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	The development of all three sites (0168, 1009 and 1142) would be an eminently appropriate westerly extension of the NSSUE, extending that development as far west as the clear physical boundary of the Northampton Loop Line railway, while remaining within the confines of the M1 motorway to the south and the floodplain of the Wootton Brook to the north. Prospective residents of the three sites would increase the catchment population of local retail and community facilities at the local centre for the NSSUE, increasing the prospect of their delivery and retention; and enhancing footfall and vibrancy in that local centre.	None.	Noted.
Representation reference: 232/1/7 Name: Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: The development of all three sites (0168, 1009 and 1142) would be an eminently appropriate westerly extension of the NSSUE, extending that development as far west as the clear physical boundary of the Northampton Loop Line railway, while remaining within the confines of the M1 motorway to the south and the floodplain of the Wootton Brook to the north. Prospective residents of the three sites would increase the catchment population of local retail and community facilities at the local centre for the NSSUE, increasing the prospect of their delivery and retention; and enhancing footfall and vibrancy in that local centre.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 233/1/3 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Vistry controls sites 1009 and 1142; and welcomes their proposed allocation for residential development in the draft Plan. Site 0168 is controlled by Lagan, with whom Vistry is collaborating as an adjacent landowner. Both Vistry and Lagan welcome the proposed allocation of that site for residential development in the draft Plan.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Representation reference: 233/1/4 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Vistry controls sites 1009 and 1142; and welcomes their proposed allocation for residential development in the draft Plan. Site 0168 is controlled by Lagan, with whom Vistry is collaborating as an adjacent landowner. Both Vistry and Lagan welcome the proposed allocation of that site for residential development in the draft Plan.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 233/1/5 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: The development of all three sites (0168, 1009 and 1142) would be an eminently appropriate westerly extension of the NSSUE, extending that development as far west as the clear physical boundary of the Northampton Loop Line railway, while remaining within the confines of the M1 motorway to the south and the floodplain of the Wootton Brook to the north.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 233/1/6 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: The development of all three sites (0168, 1009 and 1142) would be an eminently appropriate westerly extension of the NSSUE, extending that development as far west as the clear physical boundary of the Northampton Loop Line railway, while remaining within the confines of the M1 motorway to the south and the floodplain of the Wootton Brook to the north.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 233/1/7 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: The development of all three sites (0168, 1009 and 1142) would be an eminently appropriate westerly extension of the NSSUE, extending that development as far west as the clear physical boundary of the Northampton Loop Line railway, while remaining within the confines of the M1 motorway to the south and the floodplain of the Wootton Brook to the north.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 235/1/3	Chapter 7	and soundness: Plan is legally	The privately owned field in question includes the eastern end of the old flood canal (built in	None.	Noted.
Name: Jane Evans		compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	1926) and Abington Mill Lock, built in the 1m8 century and situated close to the junction of the flood canal with the old course of the river Nene. Local residents north of the old r iver , whose gardens back on to the river bank, have seen on the site numerous animals and bir ds, including muntjac deer. Bats , grass snakes, ne wts, wildfowl, herons , kingfisher and otters . The latter two are protected by the Wildlife and Count rys ide Act 1981. Kingfishers are one of the species for which 'it is an offence to intentionally or recklessly disturb at , on or near an active nest' . Regarding ott		
			ers, 'it is illegal to intentionally or recklessly disturb any otter while it is occupying a structure or place which it uses for shelter or protect ion'. (Schedule 1, Part 1) The Wildlife Trust BCN notes in their comments on the Draft		
			Local Plan Habitats Regulations that an up-to -dat e survey has not yet been pre pared . (Ap pendix E, LUC 189 , 2nd para .) This is a Local Wildlife Site which should clearly be protected from development. In fa ct , the Local Plan of 1997 designated t his area (by the old course of the river Nene) as associated with Polic y L17 which relates to leisure use in associat ion with the river. The Plan stated, 'In order to enhance and maintain the value which the river valley affords, it is essent ial that these open spaces remain undeveloped'. There is no reason to		
			change the truth of this statement. In fact climate change and the dangers to biodiversity make the statement even more valid today than 23 years ago.		
Representation reference: 235/1/4 Name:	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: The current Draft Local Plan Policy 29, Supporting and Enhancing Biodivers it y, (p. 98) states that 'a// major new development proposals (should) offset the loss and secure a	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: All housing sites in the LPP2 have been assessed in the Site
Jane Evans			net gain in biodiversit y through the st rengt hening,		Assessment

		Plan is unsound: - not justified	management and / or creation of new habitat s Development should avoid fragmentation of habitats and links, and address the Northamptonshire Biodiversit y Action Plan local priorities for habitats and s pecies'. The s it e in quest ion here lies between an old orchard and Abington Meadows Nature Reserve to the east and Barnes Meadow Nature Reserve to the west , providing a link in the chain of habitats.		Methodology and Land Availability Assessment which considers all matters relating to the natural enviroment as one of its areas of investigation.
Representation reference: 235/1/5 Name: Jane Evans	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: This green space is further protected by the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy (WNJCS) (p. 113): The design of the Nene Valley Nature Improvement Area will help develop the next phase of environment improvements along the Nene Valley lands cape , enha ncing and reconnecting nature on a significant scale.' With this Strategy in mind, the inclusion of site LAA 1107 is illogical and ill thought out. Policy BNS, The River Nene Strategic River Corridor, in the WNJCS (p. 131) further states that 'the natural and cultural environment of the Nene Corridor through the Plan area, including its t ributaries , will be enhanced and protected in recognition of its important contribution to the area's green infrastructure net work'.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 235/1/6 Name: Jane Evans	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: There is also the obstacle presented by the close proximity of the Washlands RAMSAR site of international significance . The Wildlife Trust BCN has already expressed concern that local residents who walk round the Washlands are disturbing the birds in the Special Protection Area. (Draft Local Plan Habitats Regulations, Append ix E. LUC 189, znd para.). A further 125 households nearby will only add to the problem. The Draft Plan calls for a mitigation strategy, but there seems little likelihood of any strategy being effective in this case.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: There are policies in place in the Local Plan Part 2 and the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy which seek to secure the protection of the SPA. Policy 30 of the LPP2 will be modified to confirm

Representation reference: 235/1/7 Name: Jane Evans	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: While discussing the nature of this Local Wildlife Site it is useful to mention the issue of heritage. Abington Mill Lock was financed by Sir Frederick Montagu MP in about 1760 when the river Nene was being made navigable down to the North Sea. There was a monument stone erected on the island by the lock, commemorating his generos ity . If it is not still there , it may now be in the Northampton Museum. Rendering the river navigable was an important port of Northampton's history and economic deve lopment . The site of the Mill could be o heritage asset comparable to Clifford Hill Lock (funded by Spencer Compton MP), where an information panel explains the hist ory. We would hope the Northampton Borough Council (NBC) would hove the vis ion to see the importance of marking the course of the old river and the now dere lict lock. In fact the Draft Local Plan (Chap. 10 , para. 10.27) supports this vis ion: The natural and man-made corridors along and following the river Nene are valuable natural and historic assets of great importance for biodiversity as well as the town'.s legacy of historic private and civic landscapes Collectively these provide a diverse assembly of green spaces which contribute to Northampton's local character and sense of place.'	Suggested changes: None.	its commitment to the preparation of a Mitigation Strategy. Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 235/1/8	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: The second factor in our objection is the issue of traffic . Road	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Traffic modelling
Telefence: 255/1/8		Plan is legally	access to the deve lopment is via the Rushmere Road. The		has been
Name:		compliant.	situation is bad at present without the addition of another 125		undertaken by
Jane Evans			househo lds. Another group of residents has already explained		Northamptonshire
		Plan is unsound:	this issue in their own Representation, so we shall not go into		County Council on
		- not justified	much detail. At peak times congestion causes queues in both		behalf of the
		· · , · · · · · · ·	directions between the Barnes Meadow roundabout and the		borough on all sites
			traffic lights at the top of Rushmere Rood. One Tanfield Lane		proposed for

			resident has said that it can take ten minutes to pull out on to the Rushmere Road at peak t im es . Another access road further down from Tanfield Lone would make matters worse. Added to which, the proposed entry is on o bend where vis ibili ty is obscure d. We appreciate that the Draft Local Plan requires a developer to provide a mit igat ing Traffic Pla n, but we cannot see how this severe problem of congestion and safety can be solved. Again it seems illogical to include site LAA 1107 in the list of sites for deve lopment . The WNJCS Policy C2, New Developm ents , (p. 67, para. 6.3) states that 'new development s that do not make walking and cycling easy are a reason for Northamptonshire traffic growth being higher than the national average (para. 6.7) Need to locate development where people can access facilities such as retail, education and employment without using the car.' The new development in question would be very isolated - the only road access being at the bottom of the Rushmere Road which is about a quarter of a mile of steep hill. It is highly likely that all travel would be by car.		allocation in the LPP2.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	The third issue is the flood risk. The Environment Agency's	None.	A Strategic Flood
235/1/10		Plan is legally	flood pred ict ion website (flood- warning- info rmat ion.serv		Risk Assessment has
Name:		compliant.	ice.gov.uk) says that a significant area of the site in question is		been conducted for
Jane Evans		Plan is unsound:	at medium to low risk of fl ooding. Many local residents have pointed out that over several decades they have seen flooding		Northampton and development is
		- not justified	or waterlogging in the field here. We appreciate that		directed away from
		not justineu	developers must provide a flood risk assessment that sets out		areas of highest risk.
			mit igat ion meas ures , but in the present situation of climate		Furthermore, all
			change it seems\ particularly foolhardy to risk building on this		development
			flood plain.		proposed in the
					LPP2 has been
			One local res ident , Robert Fu lli love of 26 Tanfield Lane , has		investigated using a
			sa id that an underground watercourse runs from somewhere		robust land
L			near the road br idge , eastwards parallel to the flood canal. It		

			 is only visible in winter and is clearly visible by the contrast ing colour of the grass . Any disturbance by cont ract ors ' activities would upset the natural order of dra inage . The derelict Abington Mill Lock serves the useful purpose of allowing flood water to tumble over from the old course of the r iver . The NPPF (p. 44 , para . 19) warns Councils that 'plans should take a proactive approach to mitigating and adapting to climate change, taking into account the Jong-t erm implication for flood risk'. Site LAA 1107 is surrounded in the north by estates which could be impacted by flooding caused by further buil ding. 		availability assessment process.
Representation reference: 235/1/11 Name: Jane Evans	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: It seems extraordinary to us that in the Sites Allocation Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) (p. 314) the flood risk in LAA 1107 is suggested to be minimal. One important fact which was brought to our attention is that house insurance would not be granted because the site is on a flood plain.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The information used to investigate the sites were from sources such as the Environment Agency. The EA did not have any objections to this allocation.
Representation reference: 235/1/12 Name: Jane Evans	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: The fourth problem is the distance of the site from local amenities . Another group of residents has already analysed in their Representation the positive and negative scoring given in the Sustainability Appraisal , so we do not wish to repeat their arguments . They point out that the site is three kilometres from the nearest co-ed secondary school. (The School for Boys only accepts girls in the 6th fo rm.) The site is also 500 metres from the primary school, 1 km from local shops , and over 1 km from a GP surgery. In the WNJ CS , Policy INF 1 (p. 113, para . 11.9) states that 'new development will be supported by and provide access to	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Every new development brought forward in the LPP2 has been assessed by the Site Assessment Methodology and Land Availability Assessment to take into account a variety of considerations

			in frastruct ure, including phys ical, green and social element s. It will integrate with and complement adjoining communities'. Site LAA 1107 is very isolated in this respect from its adjoining communit ies.		including local amenities.
Representation reference: 235/1/13 Name: Jane Evans	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments:The fifth issue relates to soil contamination and soil instability.The estate on Tanfield Lane north of the site was built on thesite of a tannery where the soil was contaminated by anthrax.The developers had to remove the contaminated soil andimport unaffected soil. One resident, Robert Fullilove of 26Tanfield Lane, who has lived on the Lane since it was built, hastold us that the original Health and Safety report stated thatthe ground should never again be disturbed. It is possible thatthe soil on Abington Mill Farm is also contaminated. TheSAMLAA acknowledges this fact (p. 314).The same resident explains that the soil is unstable: the landbetween the flood canal and the A45 is made up of spoil andredundant fill from the building of the A45 and the re-routingof the river Nene. 'In geotechnica/ terms the make-up of thismaterial is still "loose" - in other words unstable , andtherefore any building foundation would almost certainly haveto sit on piles. This would require a pile driver banging away allday, or as and when the contractor required.' The NPPF (p. 49,para . 170e) requires Councils to prevent 'new and existingdevelopment from contributing to, being put at unacceptablerisk from, or being adversely affected by, unacceptable levelsof soil, air, water or noise pollution or land instability'.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted. Further investigation of the ground conditions of the site will be undertaken at the detailed application stage.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	This brings us to the final factor in our Representation: air and	None.	Noted. Further
235/1/14		Plan is legally compliant.	noise pollution. The Sustainability Appraisal (p. 315) makes light of the low air quality and noise involved for the residents		investigation of the air quality of the site
Name:			of any houses built on Abington Mill Farm, scoring them as 'a		will be undertaken
Jane Evans		Plan is unsound:	minor negative'. The site isadjacent to the very busy three lane		

		- not justified	section of the A45, and the noise is very loud. The site would very likely become an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA), as has a similar location further west along the A45. There is no way to mitigate this risk. The NPPF (p. 52, para. 180a) requires Councils to 'avoid noise (in new developments) giving rise to significant adverse impacts on health and the quality of life'.		at the detailed application stage.
Representation reference: 235/1/15 Name: Jane Evans	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: Something positive could then perhaps emerge from this, if NBC were to undertake the transformation of this land into a public space where Northampton residents could enjoy the wildlife and learn about the heritage of the town.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Any masterplan for this site should mitigate against the impacts of development upon surrounding wildlife.
Representation reference: 244/1/6 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: As Bastion has reinforced in its previous representations into the Local Plan Part 2 and the WNSP, its land at The Farm, Hardingstone (both the proposed allocation site and the land immediately to the north) is capable of not only providing a cohesive extension to the village but also being a suitable, deliverable and achievable site and sustainable development in accordance with guidance provided in the NPPF and Planning Practice Guidance. It would be a high quality, integrated development in a sustainable location with it being located adjacent to Hardingstone Village, the emerging Sustainable Urban Extension (SUE) on land east of Hardingstone and Brackmills Industrial Estate. These locational factors were all instrumental in the Secretary of State allowing an appeal in April 2016 for up to 1,000 dwellings and related development on adjacent land for the SUE (APP/V2825/A/14/2228866). Bastion's land holding is within walking distance of existing amenities, schools, employment and frequent bus services. It is worth noting that both Secretary of State and the Inspector	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

			substantial benefit in the site's sustainability credentials and would improve the operation of Brackmills Industrial Estate by creating a labour pool nearby thereby reducing the need to travel to work by car, minimising travel distances, avoiding long-distance commuting and restricting carbon emissions.		
Representation reference: 244/1/7 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Having now also recently undertaken a baseline technical assessment across the whole landholding including examining transport, drainage, ground conditions, heritage, landscape and ecology considerations, Bastion is in a position to confirm that the site is largely unconstrained. This reinforces the site's deliverability and feasibility to be brought forward for residential development in a timely manner and indicates that there are no factors that would impede the ability or the viability of the site from coming forward for development, as set out in the Plan.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
			The baseline technical assessment covers both the proposed allocation, and in light of the fact that the additional land to the north had the potential to further support and help facilitate the allocated site, and possibly provide some modest further growth, this has also been included in the assessment. The baseline reports also help address queries, comments and concerns highlighted in previous assessments of the site undertaken by NBC and in the current Sustainability Appraisal and SAMLAA. A summary of key issues is set out below and this further helps demonstrate how any minor constraints can be addressed through appropriate design and mitigation as part of the development of the site.		
Representation reference: 244/1/8 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: The submitted Transport Topic Paper examines the key transport, access and highway considerations for the site and proposed development, and confirms that site is very well located to facilitate pedestrian and cycle trips to many key	Suggested changes: Noted.	Officer comments: Noted.

		Plan is sound.	 destinations, including to Northampton town centre, by way of the existing and developing local cycle and walking network. The site is also within easy walking distance of existing bus stops served buy an hourly service or better. It therefore ideally positioned to encourage and facilitate sustainable modes of travel. Furthermore, the transport work undertaken indicates that vehicular access to the site is straightforward through the improvement of an existing access. The required improvements can be achieved using land in the site promoters' control or which is adopted public highway. The potential trip rates for the scale of the allocation means it is unlikely to have a material impact on the operation of the local or wider highway network. Any minor impact could be mitigated through minor improvement works, if deemed necessary through undertaking a Transport Assessment as part of any future planning application. 		
Representation reference: 244/1/9	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: The Ecological Technical Note submitted with the	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
	enapter /	Plan is legally	representations includes the findings of an Extended Phase 1		
Name:		compliant.	Survey undertaken in August 2020 and identifies the likely		
Bastion Group			ecological constraints on the site and the need for further		
		Plan is sound.	surveys, as well as preliminary indications of potential		
			mitigation. This concludes that, subject to the necessary		
			surveys being undertaken and mitigation implemented, there		
			are no significant ecological constraints to bringing the site		
			forward for residential development. Early engagement with		
			Natural England and the Council will help determine		
			appropriate mitigation in relation to the Nene Gravel Pits Special Protection Area and ecological measures within the		
			site, including retention and enhancement of particular		

			hedgerows, mature trees and other boundary features, will help minimise habitat loses.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	Cultural heritage has been identified as a potential constraint	None.	Noted.
244/1/10		Plan is legally	by NBC as part of the assessment of the site through the Local		
		compliant.	Plan process. A Heritage Assessment, undertaken in August		
Name:			2020, is submitted in support of the representations and has		
Bastion Group		Plan is sound.	informed the early illustrative layout work. The site has been		
			assessed from a cultural heritage perspective to identify any		
			constraints and opportunities and in terms of archaeological		
			assets, the evidence to date derived from the HER, LiDAR data		
			and other relevant sources does not suggest the presence of		
			currently unrecorded archaeological remains on the site of a		
			significance that would prohibit or constrain development. In		
			respect of build heritage, the site makes some positive		
			contribution to settings of both Pittam's Farmhouse and the		
			Hardingstone Conservation Area, therefore it may be necessary		
			to preserve these aspects of setting through any potential		
			development. Due to the topography of the study site and the		
			historic development of Hardingstone, the area which most		
			strongly contributes to the setting of both the Conservation		
			Area and particularly Pittam's Farmhouse and its associated		
			buildings is located to the west of the study site. This is		
			considered and accommodated in the emerging illustrative		
			layout. It is considered that the site can be developed in a way		
			which can respond to the setting of the Hardingstone		
			Conservation Area and the heritage assets within it. Green		
			infrastructure will be retained on the western edge of the site		
			to maintain the immediate rural setting of the Conservation		
			Area, with the roads and buildings aligned to retain, in whole		
			or part, the views into and out of the Area. The exact nature of		
			these views and the built form within the study site will be		
			subject to the detailed design process.		

			Therefore, with appropriate mitigation measures in place, it is considered that heritage assets do not present a constraint upon the allocation of the site for residential development.		
Representation reference: 244/1/11 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: LandscapeA Landscape and Visual Appraisal Note has been prepared and is submitted in support of the representations and has informed the initial illustrative layout. The appraisal undertaken demonstrates that there will be no notable long- term effects as a result of the proposals, and that the site could be accommodated into its context without unacceptable effects. The initial illustrative layout has sought to maintain and enhance the site boundaries to help provide further containment and will incorporate green infrastructure throughout the development, aligned with ecological and drainage requirements, to help further integrate the site into its wider context. Therefore, from a preliminary landscape and visual perspective it is not considered that the site presents any significant constraints that cannot be mitigated.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 244/1/12 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Flood Risk and Drainage An initial review of flood risk and drainage options for the site is presented in the submitted Technical Note and this demonstrates the technical deliverability of drainage solutions for the residential development of the site. The site lies within Flood Zone 1 and thus per NPPF guidelines all types of development including residential are suitable for the site. There is a minimal risk of overland/surface water flooding. The risk of overland flooding is minimal due to the relatively small size of the upstream catchment, the presence of highway drainage within The Green and the greenfield land type immediately south of the site and thus the risk of	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

			onsite surface water flooding appears to be caused through onsite retention of rainfall which can be mitigated through reprofiling/a proposed drainage strategy for the proposed development. Mitigation measures incorporated into any future development will reduce the potential impact of flooding within the site and the surrounding area and the development will provide benefits regarding flood risk in comparison to existing conditions. A sustainable drainage solution can be achieved for the site which is likely to include onsite attenuation and discharge to an existing watercourse. As well as accommodating an effective drainage solution, it will provide amenity, landscape and potentially ecological value and will help reduce flood risk onsite and offsite. Therefore, there are no significant flood risk or drainage constraints to the development of the site.		
Representation reference: 244/1/13 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Ground Conditions A Preliminary Contamination Risk Assessment has been undertaken and examines the ground conditions and any potential environmental or ground-related risks associated with the development of the site. The assessment indicates that risk from ground instability is low to very low or does not present a hazard at all. From a contamination perspective, any potential moderate risks are limited to those associated with the agricultural buildings in the southern corner of the site, albeit these are isolated and can be mitigated / remediated. There are therefore, no significant ground conditions constraints associated with the site (neither the proposed allocation or the wider site).	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Representation reference: 244/1/15 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: As Bastion has sole control over the total area of the proposed allocation and additional land within the wider site it is able to bring forward a planning application for residential development as soon as possible in line with the Plan-making process to expedite the delivery of new homes. The land is clearly available, suitable, achievable and deliverable (Paragraph 47 of the NPPF) and the design principles of the Plan can be accommodated in bringing forward the site.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
			The comments made in relation to site capacity and the adjacent land do not have any impact on the deliverability and availability of the proposed allocation.		
Representation reference: 244/1/16 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: As noted above, and to demonstrate that a coordinated design approach can be achieved, as well as to help inform indicative capacity testing, indicative master planning has been undertaken and is submitted with these representations. We hope that this will provide reassurance to NBC and the Inspector that the site is deliverable, can achieve key design and sustainability objectives and, if beneficial to the soundness of the Plan, can provide for additional land to increase housing delivery and meet the quantum indicated in the Plan. Whilst further master planning work will be undertaken as technical assessment of the site is progressed, as part of the Plan-making process and to support a subsequent planning application, this early concept plan and illustrative master plan are presented at this stage to reinforce deliverability and indicate the key design principles that will inform proposals going forward. Bastion are in a position to progress with a planning application for this site within the next 12 months (both proposed allocation and, if there is a positive policy framework in place,	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The additional land was inadvertantly omitted during the land availability assessment process. Additional land can be allocated when the plan is updated or can come forward as a windfall site.

			for the adjacent land). This will align with the site's position in the housing trajectory and will ensure it can contribute to NBC's 5 Year Housing Land Supply.		
Representation reference: 244/1/27 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Chapter 7 and policies map	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In light of the position set out above in respect of the capacity of the wider site and supporting the overall soundness of the housing requirement to be achieved by the Plan, without prejudice to the existing allocation, Bastion suggest that allocation 0204 is reviewed in terms of the extent of land included and shown on the policies map. These representations clearly demonstrate the suitability, availability and deliverability of the wider Bastion landholding for residential development and recommend that the whole landholding, including the 'wider site', as shown on Plan BPG005-004 A, should be allocated and the policies map amended accordingly.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The extended area was omitted in error. It is recommended that the site be considered when the plan is reviewed or it can come froward as a windfall site.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	Bastion support the general conclusions of the SAMLAA and	None.	The extended area
244/1/28		Plan is legally	the resulting recommendation for the allocation of the site.		was omitted in
		compliant.	It is not however clear from the audit trail associated with this		error. It is
Name:			document or the wider Local Plan evidence base why the		recommended that
Bastion Group		Plan is sound.	'wider site' under the control of Bastion, and promoted		the site be
			through the Plan process, has not also been considered and		considered when
			appears to have been discounted from assessment.		the plan is reviewed
			These representations clearly indicate that this wider site is		or it can come
			available and suitable for development and therefore should		froward as a windfall site.
			be considered. Notwithstanding Bastion's position and recommendations set out above in respect of the wider site		windiali site.
			and its potential allocation to further support NBC's housing		
			numbers and as well as the development of the proposed		
			allocation 0204, the current exclusion of this land means that		
			there are incorrect assertions regarding the capacity of site		
			0204. The site shown in the SAMLAA is considerably smaller		
			that Bastion's original submission yet the site capacity of 100		

Representation reference: 247/1/3	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness:	 units has been retained. The 100 unit capacity is reliant on the reinstatement of the wider site, and in the absence of this additional land, the capacity would need to be reduced. From a technical perspective, there are concerns raised regarding land stability, ecology and heritage. As is set out above, none of these represent any significant constraints to the development of the site and the assessment should be revisited and scores amended to reflect this position. Bastion also provide reassurance that the land-owner confirms the site (both the proposed allocation and potential wider site) is available for delivery. This therefore should not be presented in the SAMLAA as an uncertainty to the site's suitability. Comments: The site known as 'Land North of Milton Ham, Northampton' is 	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Name: Bellway Homes		Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	 allocated in the proposed submission version of the Local Plan (Policies 13 and 38) – site reference: 1140 for 224 dwellings. We note that the site capacity has been calculated using the developable area percentage (80% for sites of 0.4ha – 10ha) and density (40dph) as set out in the Council's Sites Allocation Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) (June 2020). Bellway Homes supports the proposed housing allocation on this site. 		
Representation reference: 247/1/10 Name: Bellway Homes	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In terms of the Council's SAMLAA, the only additional comment Bellway Homes wishes to make, relates to 'Access', which is rated as 'amber'. To confirm, Bellway Homes are in the process of investigating this position further through the necessary technical work, and will update the Council in due course in support of this proposed housing allocation.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Representation reference: 248/1/14 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Heavy rail reinstatement would likely need grade separation of the former level crossing at London Road - requiring an embankment to elevate the railway line, which might encroach a few but vital metres onto site LAA1139. Likewise it may also be necessary to lower the current highway 1-2m at the level crossing location, which would effect surrounding site access onto the highway.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 248/1/15 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Heavy rail reinstatement would likely need grade separation of the former level crossing at London Road - requiring an embankment to elevate the railway line, which might encroach a few but vital metres onto site LAA1139. Likewise it may also be necessary to lower the current highway 1-2m at the level crossing location, which would effect surrounding site access onto the highway.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 248/1/16 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Heavy rail reinstatement would likely need grade separation of the former level crossing at London Road - requiring an embankment to elevate the railway line, which might encroach a few but vital metres onto site LAA1139. Likewise it may also be necessary to lower the current highway 1-2m at the level crossing location, which would effect surrounding site access onto the highway.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 248/1/17 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified	Comments: Heavy rail reinstatement would likely need grade separation of the former level crossing at London Road - requiring an embankment to elevate the railway line, which might encroach a few but vital metres onto site LAA1139. Likewise it may also be necessary to lower the current highway 1-2m at the level	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

		Plan is unsound: - not effective	crossing location, which would effect surrounding site access onto the highway.		
Representation reference: 248/1/18 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Heavy rail reinstatement would likely need grade separation of the former level crossing at London Road - requiring an embankment to elevate the railway line, which might encroach a few but vital metres onto site LAA1139. Likewise it may also be necessary to lower the current highway 1-2m at the level crossing location, which would effect surrounding site access onto the highway.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 248/1/21 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: LAA0333 - Northampton Railway Station (railfreight)- residential Development at this site should not restrict the ability to increase the number of passenger platforms at Northampton station – nor the ability to provide a right-sized RFI terminal for sustainable 'final mile' distribution of goods to Northampton.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 250/1/3 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: At the outset, our client commends the efforts of the Borough Council up to this point in progressing their Part 2 Local Plan, particularly in light of the acute housing land supply issues which are faced within the Borough and the significant threat to housing delivery which the ongoing COVID 19 pandemic continues to have.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Welcomed.
Representation reference: 250/1/4 Name:	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: It is refreshing to learn that the Borough Council are continuing to expedite the production of the Part 2 Local Plan and the following comments are framed against the current macro-	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road		Plan is sound.	economic climate, the challenges faced by the aforementioned pandemic and the changes which the organisation faces as it moves towards unitary status along with Daventry and South Northants Districts.		
Representation reference: 250/1/5 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: It is agreed that an important challenge for Northampton, which the emerging LPP2 should seek to meet, is that of housing delivery for all tenures. The document's acknowledgement of the challenges posed by the dense built form within the legislative boundary of Northampton is welcomed and only serves to highlight the significant opportunity which greenfield sites, such as the client's, offer to meeting the existing and future development needs of the Borough.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 250/1/6 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Refers to: The Plan	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Whilst there has been a historic under delivery of housing within the plan area since 2011, this has been further compounded in 2020 whereby almost two quarters of anticipated delivery has been lost due to the aforementioned ongoing global health crisis.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 250/1/17 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: It is clear from Call for Sites submissions and the Council's Land Availability Assessment that the development options available within the Borough have been exhaustively considered and that there are no other sources of supply other than those which have been identified for development within the accompanying proposals map.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 250/1/28	Refers to: Chapter 7	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: It remains our opinion that the site is one of the optimum sustainable locations for residential development in	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road Representation	Refers to:	Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound. Legal compliance	Northampton Borough. Any application for the future development of the site will be supported by a full suite of technical information to demonstrate that there will be no adverse impacts which would weigh against the future development of the site for residential purposes.	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
Representation reference: 250/1/29 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Chapter 7	Plan is legally compliant.	It is therefore considered that there will be significant benefits arising from housing provision coupled with the site's sustainable proximity to services and facilities along with the raft of economic and social benefits associated with housing delivery will serve to outweigh any perceived impacts.	None.	Noted.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 251/1/3 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Chapter 7	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Land at Towcester Road (Site ref: LAA1102) is bound by the railway line to the east, the M1 to the south and Towcester Road to the west. As a result, it is extremely well contained and its development would not lead to an unacceptable protrusion into open countryside. Further, the land is not affected by any environmental designations and development of the site would not cause harm to any heritage assets in the context of the Framework. It is acknowledged that the land is affected by topography and will require noise mitigation measures. However, it is considered these constraints can be satisfactory accommodated through the design and layout of the scheme, particularly in the context of noise mitigation, which can be achieved through a 'buffer' to the railway and/or acoustic treatment. Access is currently achieved from the Towcester Road and there is an existing track under the railway line connecting with land to the east (site ref: LAA1109). Whilst this is not currently suitable for vehicles, it has the potential to provide pedestrian and/or cycle access through to the adjoining land, which would	None.	Noted.

			be a significant benefit should the Collingtree SUE be extended west in the future. This would ensure connectivity in this area of Northampton, linking the SUE with the Towcester Road. The site is in a sustainable location close to existing properties to the north. A bus stop is located next to the site on the Towcester Road providing regular access into Northampton town centre. As such, the site represents a suitable option for development that is both deliverable and developable. Linden Homes as a national housebuilder, and the Developers, has the ability to deliver housing on the site in the short term helping address the current shortfall in housing across Northampton Borough. However, it is recognised that the site may also be suitable for other uses, and the Developers would be willing to consider the potential for this with the Council.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 251/1/17	Chapter 7 and	and soundness: Plan is legally	In particular, the Developers support the inclusion of Site 1102 'Site east of Towcester Roadallocated for residential	None.	Noted.
251/1/1/	policies	compliant.	development, as set out on the Policies Map.		
Name:	map				
Duncan		Plan is unsound:			
Investments Ltd -		- not justified			
Site E of Towcester		- not effective			
Rd		- not consistent			
		with national policy			
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 7	and soundness:	However, it is critical that the Council's assumptions on lapse	None.	Noted.
251/1/18		Plan is legally	rates, non-implementation allowances, lead-in times and		
		compliant.	delivery rates contained within its overall supply, five-year		
Name:		Plan is unsound:	housing land supply and housing trajectory are accurate and realistic.		
Duncan Investments Ltd -		- not justified	In this regard, the Developers would be pleased to provide		
Site E of Towcester		- not effective	further information to the Council demonstrating the		
Rd		- not consistent	deliverability of residential development at Site east of		
		with national policy	Towcester Road.		

reference: 229/1/21	para. 7.15	and soundness:	How will this be impacted by the amendment to the Use Classes Order?	This policy requires significant	Paragraph 7.15 does not mention the use
Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd Representation	Refers to:	 not justified not effective not consistent with national policy Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
Representation reference: 251/1/31 Name: Duncan	Refers to: Chapter 7 and policies map	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound:	Comments: The Developers support the inclusion of Site 1102 'Site east of Towcester Road' allocated foresidential development, as set out on the Policies Map	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
			It is acknowledged that the land is affected by topography and will require noise mitigation measures. However, it is considered these constraints can be satisfactory accommodated through the design and layout of the scheme, particularly in the context of noise mitigation, which can be achieved through a 'buffer' to the railway and/or acoustic treatment. An Indicative Concept Masterplan for the site, enclosed at Appendix 1, has been informed by extensive technical analysis. Access is currently achieved from the Towcester Road and there is an existing track under the railway line connecting with land to the east (site ref: LAA1109). Whilst this is not currently suitable for vehicles, it has the potential to provide pedestrian and/or cycle access through to the adjoining land, which would be a significant benefit should the Collingtree SUE be extended west in the future. This would ensure connectivity in this area of Northampton, linking the SUE with the Towcester Road. The site is in a sustainable location close to existing properties to the north. A bus stop is located next to the site on the Towcester Road providing regular access into Northampton town centre.		

Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes		Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy		amendments in light of the amendment to the Use Classes order.	class order so any changes to it will not alter the guidance within the paragraph. No modification required.
Representation reference: 75/1/9 Name: Town Centre Conservation Area Advisory Committee	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Housing Mix appears to refer only to large new developments, however housing mix is important in existing communities where conversions can create a concentration of single-person or HiMO housing to the detriment of larger households.	Suggested changes: Add a sentence: "Conversions should demonstrate how they contribute to reinstating or maintaining a mix of housing types in the immediate community, meeting the varied needs of different households".	Officer comments: Conversions of properties are required to meet the requirements of Policies 3 and 4. The Borough has an Article 4 Direction in place which seeks to regulate the number of Houses in Multiple Occupation in Northampton. No modification required.
Representation reference: 97/1/17 Name: Clayson Country Homes	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: The respondent welcomes the pragmatic approach taken by the Council within Policy 14 and is refreshed to learn of the flexible approach the Council have taken by not prescribing a housing mix based on the outputs of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment. This approach will allow for housing	Suggested changes: However, in regard to self and custom build housing, whilst the requirements for such housing are	Officer comments: It is accepted that planning permission can take up to 3 years to implement. It is considered reasonable to allow

			delivery to flexibly respond to changing housing requirements over time.	justified, it is considered that the 3-year period (to allow for the reversion to other forms of housing) should be reduced to 1 year to ensure that housing delivery is maintained.	for this same time period for the policy requirements to be met.
Representation reference: 195/1/16 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: The respondent welcomes the pragmatic approach taken by the Council within Policy 14 and is refreshed to learn of the flexible approach the Council have taken by not prescribing a housing mix based on the outputs of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment. This approach will allow for housing delivery to flexibly respond to changing housing requirements over time. However, in regard to self and custom build housing, whilst the requirements for such housing are justified, it is considered that the 3-year period (to allow for the reversion to other forms of housing) should be reduced to 1 year to ensure that housing delivery is maintained.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Planning permissions have a 3 year implementation period and it is considered reasonable to apply this same timeline to the requirements for Policy 14. No modification required.
Representation reference: 200/1/18 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: As set out in 2019 NPPF, the housing needs for different groups should be assessed to justify any policies on the size, type and tenure of housing including a need for affordable housing (paras 61 & 62). All households should have access to different types of dwellings to meet their housing needs. Market signals are important in determining the size and type of homes needed. When planning for an acceptable mix of dwellings types to meet people's housing needs, the Council should focus on ensuring that there are appropriate sites allocated to meet the needs of specifically identified groups of households such as self & custom builders and the elderly without seeking a	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Noted.

			specific housing mix on individual sites. The LPP2 should ensure that suitable sites are available for a wide range of developments across a wide choice of appropriate locations.		
Representation reference: 200/1/19 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Policy 14 supports serviced plots of land for self & custom build housing on other allocated sites or permitted windfall sites provided this would not result in an over-provision of this type of housebuilding when compared to the Council's supply / demand balance. The Council also supports proposals for self & custom build housing, which include the creation of low cost and affordable housing. The HBF is supportive of the Council's policy approach.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 200/1/20 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Policy 14 also requires that on sites of more than 100 dwellings provision should be made for a proportion of serviced plots of land to contribute towards meeting the evidenced demand for self & custom build housing. After 3 years self & custom build plots remaining vacant can revert to other forms of housing provision. There are 19 site allocations for circa 4,329 dwellings (and presumably the SUEs too) potentially impacted by this policy requirement. The HBF object to this policy requirement. The 2019 NPPF states that policies should be clearly written and unambiguous (para 16). A policy requirement for a proportion of serviced plots for self & custom build housing is unclear and ambiguous, which causes uncertainty for both applicants and decision makers. This is inconsistent with national policy. Under the Self Build & Custom Housebuilding Act 2015 the Council has a duty to keep a Register of people seeking to	Suggested changes: Alterations to the requirement self- build plots.	Officer comments: There were 30 people registered on the self build and custom build database at the time of the policy formulation. A formula was put in place to calculate the number of potential plots required. This policy requirement is considered to be a balance between meeting those needs and not placing onerous

acquire self & custom build plots and to grant enough suitable development permissions to meet identified demand. The	demands on housebuilders.
NPPG (ID: 57-025-201760728) sets out ways in which the	
Council should consider supporting self & custom build. These	
are :-	
• developing policies in the LPP2 for self & custom build	
 using Council owned land if available and suitable for 	
self & custom build and marketing such opportunities	
to entrants on the Register	
 engaging with landowners, who own housing sites and 	
encouraging them to consider self & custom build and	
where the landowner is interested facilitating access	
to entrants on the Register ; and	
 working with custom build developers to maximise 	
opportunities for self & custom housebuilding.	
The Council should not move beyond encouraging provision of	
self & custom build plots on residential development sites of	
more than 100 dwellings. The Council should not seek to place	
the burden for delivery of self & custom build plots onto	
developers of sites of more than 100 dwellings contrary to	
national guidance, which outlines that the Council should	
engage with landowners and encourage them to consider self	
& custom build. Furthermore, the Council has provided no	
justification for the selection of 100 or more dwellings as the	
threshold for qualifying development proposals.	
As set out in the 2019 NPPF, all policies should be underpinned	
by relevant and up to date evidence, which should be	
adequate, proportionate and focussed tightly on supporting	
and justifying the policies concerned (para 31). The Council's	
Self & Custom Build Register alone is not a sound basis for	
setting a specific policy requirement. As set out in the NPPG,	
the Council should provide a robust assessment of demand	
including an assessment and review of data held on the	

			Council's Register (ID 2a-017-20192020), which should be supported by additional data from secondary sources to understand and consider future need for this type of housing (ID 57-0011-20160401). The Council should analyse the preferences of entries as often only individual plots in rural locations are sought as opposed to plots on housing sites of 100 or more dwellings. It is also possible for individuals and organisations to register with more than one Council so there is a possibility of some double counting. The Register may indicate a level of expression of interest in self & custom build but it cannot be reliably translated into actual demand should such plots be made available. The number of entries on the Council's Register has not been disclosed.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 14	and soundness:	The Council's policy approach should be realistic to ensure that	Alterations to the	There were 30
200/1/21		Plan is legally	where self & custom build plots are provided, they are	requirement self-	people registered
		compliant.	delivered and do not remain unsold. Without disclosure of	build plots.	on the self build and
Name:			expressions of interest on the Council's Self Build Register,		custom build
HBF		Plan is unsound:	there is a risk of over supply against demand. If demand for		database at the time
		- not positively	plots is not realised, there is a risk of plots remaining		of the policy
		prepared	permanently vacant effectively removing these undeveloped		formulation. A
		- not justified	plots from the Council's HLS. If consents are granted but not		formula was put in
		- not effective	implemented, then this policy cannot be considered effective.		place to calculate
		- not consistent	The Council should consider the application of a non-		the number of
		with national policy	implementation rate to its HLS calculations.		potential plots
					required. This policy
			The co-ordination of self & custom build plots on housing sites		requirement is
			of more than 100 dwellings with the development of the wider		considered to be a
			site will be challenging. At any one time, there are often		balance between
			multiple contractors and large machinery operating on a		meeting those
			housing site. From a practical and health & safety perspective,		needs and not
			it is difficult to envisage the development of single plots by		placing onerous
			individuals operating alongside this construction activity. It is		demands on
			important that plots should not be left empty to the detriment		housebuilders.
			of neighbouring properties or the whole development. Where		

			plots are not sold, it is important that the Council's policy is clear as to when these revert to the original developer. The timescale for reversion of these plots to the original housebuilder should be as short as possible because the consequential delay presents further practical difficulties in terms of co-ordinating their development with construction activity on the wider site. The Council's proposed 3 years vacancy period is too long creating even greater logistical problems if the original housebuilder has completed the development and is forced to return to site to build out plots, which have not been sold to self & custom builders.		
Representation reference: 200/1/22	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally	Comments: As well as on-site practicalities, any adverse impacts on viability should be tested. The Council's Plan Viability Study does not	Suggested changes: Alterations to the requirement self-	Officer comments: There were 30 people registered
200/1/22		compliant.	consider this policy requirement. The Council expects serviced	build plots.	on the self build and
Name:			plots to be provided therefore the financial impacts from		custom build
HBF		Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	delayed delivery or non-delivery of self & custom build should be assessed. There may also be a detrimental impact upon the level of affordable housing provision achieved from sites of 100 or more dwellings because self & custom build dwellings are exempt from infrastructure contributions and affordable home ownership provision as set out in national policy hence a greater burden falls onto fewer market sale dwellings. The Council may wish to adopt an aspirational approach to delivering self & custom build housing, but this should not be pursued at the expense of delivering affordable housing. The requirement for provision of self & custom build plots on sites of 100 or more dwellings should be deleted.		database at the time of the policy formulation. A formula was put in place to calculate the number of potential plots required. This policy requirement is considered to be a balance between meeting those needs and not placing onerous demands on housebuilders.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 14	and soundness:	Policy 14 also requires that an appropriate proportion of	None specified.	Detailed specialist
200/1/23		Plan is legally	residential development must be designed to meet the		housing
		compliant.	requirements of Building Regulations Part M4(2) (accessible &		requirements are
Name:			adaptable dwellings) or its successor standard. 4% of all new		set out in the the
HBF		Plan is unsound:	market dwellings and 8% of affordable dwellings should be		Northampton
		- not positively	constructed to Building Regulations Part M4(3) (wheelchair		Specialist Housing
		prepared	user dwellings) standards, or their successor, to enable		SPD which
		- not justified	wheelchair accessibility.		applicants should
		- not effective			refer to.
		- not consistent	The 2019 NPPF states that policies should be clearly written		This contains the
		with national policy	and unambiguous (para 16). A policy requirement for an		most up to date
			appropriate proportion of residential development to be		evidence and need
			designed to meet M4(2) standards is unclear and ambiguous,		requirements for
			which causes uncertainty for both applicants and decision		specialist housing in
			makers. This is inconsistent with national policy.		Northampton.
			If the Council wishes to adopt the optional standards for		
			accessible & adaptable dwellings, then this should only be		
			done in accordance with the 2019 NPPF (para 127f & Footnote		
			46) and the latest NPPG. Footnote 46 states "that planning		
			policies for housing should make use of the Government's		
			optional technical standards for accessible and adaptable		
			housing where this would address an identified need for such		
			properties". As set out in the 2019 NPPF, all policies should be		
			underpinned by relevant and up to date evidence, which		
			should be adequate, proportionate and focussed tightly on		
			supporting and justifying the policies concerned (para 31). The		
			NPPG sets out the evidence necessary to justify a policy		
			requirement for optional standards. The Council should apply		
			the criteria set out in the NPPG (ID 56-005-20150327 to 56-		
			011- 20150327) to ensure that an appropriate evidence base is		
			available to support any proposed policy requirements. The		
			NPPG sets out that evidence should include identification of :-		
			• the likely future need ;		

 the size, location, type and quality of dwellings needed; 	
 the accessibility and adaptability of the existing stock ; 	
• variations in needs across different housing tenures :	
and	
 viability. 	
In determining the quantum of M4(2) and M4(3) homes the	
Council should focus on the ageing population living in the	
Borough compared to national / regional figures and the	
proportion of older households choosing to live in newly built	
homes. It is noted that Office for National Statistics (ONS)	
Overview of the UK Population dated November 2018	
estimated that 18.2% of the UK population were aged 65 years	
or over in 2017 compared with only 15% in Northampton.	
Optional M4(2) and M4(3) standards should only be introduced	
on a "need to have" rather than a "nice to have" basis. Need is	
generally defined as "requiring something because it is	
essential or very important rather than just desirable".	
Many older households already live in the Borough. Many older	
households will not move from their current home but will	
make adaptations as required to meet their needs, some will	
choose to move to another dwelling in the existing stock rather	
than a new build property and some will want to live in	
specialist older person housing. The existing housing stock is	
considerably larger than the new build sector (circa 97,226	
dwellings as at 2019) so adapting the existing stock is likely to	
form part of the solution. It is also important to note that not	
all health problems affect a household's housing needs	
therefore not all health problems require adaptations to	
homes.	
All new homes are built to Building Regulation Part M4(1)	
standards, which include level approach routes, accessible	
front door thresholds, wider internal doorway and corridor	
widths, switches and sockets at accessible heights and	

			downstairs toilet facilities usable by wheelchair users. These standards are not usually available in the older existing housing stock and benefit less able- bodied occupants. If the Government had intended that evidence of an ageing population alone justified adoption of optional standards then such standards would have been incorporated as mandatory in the Building Regulations, which is not the case. M4(1) standards are likely to be suitable for most residents.		
Representation reference: 200/1/24 Name:	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: It is noted that Policy H4 of the adopted WNJCS already requires Lifetime Homes standards (para 5.15).	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
HBF		Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy			
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 14	and soundness:	Detailed evidence should be gathered to determine whether	None specified.	Northampton
200/1/25		Plan is legally compliant.	there is a need for optional standards in Northampton and to justify setting appropriate policy requirements in the LPP2. The		Borough Council adopted the
Name:			West Northamptonshire Housing Market - Northampton		Specialist Housing
HBF		Plan is unsound:	Summary by ORS (September 2017) and the Study of Housing		SPD in November
		- not positively	& Supporting Needs of Older People across Northamptonshire		2019. It provides
		prepared	2017 by Three Dragons (March 2017) do not provide an		evidence, and sets
		 not justified not effective 	evidential basis to justify the Council's proposed policy		out the need, for
		- not effective - not consistent	requirement. Furthermore, this data is now somewhat dated.		optional specialist
		- not consistent with national policy	The recently published Planning Inspectorate Guidance for Local Plan Examination (para 1.11) sets out that evidence base		housing standards in Northampton.
			documents dating from two or more years before the		Northampton.
		1	accuments during norm two of more years before the		

			of having been overtaken by new data. Such documents should be updated as necessary to incorporate the most recent available information.		
Representation reference: 200/1/26 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: It is noted that the Council acknowledges that further work needs to be carried out to establish the proportion of M4(2) dwellings that would be most appropriate (para 7.20 of LPP2) and the Housing & Support Older People in Northamptonshire Report confirms that adopting M4(2) requires evidence of need (para 4.15). The policy provides no flexibility for site specific factors (including topography, risk of flooding, etc), which may justify a departure from these proposed policy requirements.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Northampton Borough Council adopted the Specialist Housing SPD in November 2019. It provides evidence, and sets out the need, for optional specialist housing standards in Northampton.
Representation reference: 200/1/27 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: As set out in the NPPG (ID 56-008) the requirement for M4(3) should only be required for dwellings over which the Council has housing nomination rights.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Para 009 – 56-009 of the PPG relates to Part M of the Building Regulations. It outlines the difference between wheelchair accessible homes and wheelchair adaptable homes which fall into Cat M4(2) of Document M. Policies can be applied to only Cat M4(2) wheelchair accessible homes

					only where the LA is
					responsible for
					allocating or
					nominating a person
					to live in that
					dwelling. Therefore
					it is acceptable to
					maintain the policy
					as it is, and require
					all developers to
					provide 'adaptable'
					dwellings. The need
					is evidenced in the
					Specialist Housing
					SPD. Cat M4(3)
					relates to
					wheelchair user
					dwellings and the
					need in
					Northampton is
					evidenced in the
					Housing Market
					Evidence. PPG does
					not limit policy
					ability, as it does for
					wheelchair
					accessible.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 14	and soundness:	The Council's viability testing should take full account of	The requirements	The Local Plan
200/1/28		Plan is legally	additional costs for any policy requirements for optional M4(2)	for optional M4(2)	Viability Assessment
		compliant.	and M4(3) standards. The costs of both M4(2) and M4(3)	and M4(3) should	was undertaken to
Name:			should be included in viability testing. The Council's Plan	be deleted.	assess the viability
HBF		Plan is unsound:	Viability Study only includes a cost of £521 per dwellings for		of policies, and was
		- not positively	M4(2) on a baseline assessment of 10% provision and £10,307		prepared using the
		prepared	per dwelling for M4(3). In September 2014, the Government's		latest market
		- not justified	Housing Standards Review included cost estimates by EC		

		- not effective - not consistent with national policy	Harris, which for M4(3) were £15,691 per apartment and £26,816 per house respectively, which are higher than the costs used by the Council. Furthermore, any inflationary cost increases since 2014 should be included and M4(3) compliant dwellings are larger than NDSS therefore larger sizes should be used when calculating additional build costs for M4(3) and any other input based on square meterage. The requirements for optional M4(2) and M4(3) should be deleted.		information obtained both through desk top study/ market intelligence and a workshop with developers/ landowners/ agents.
Representation reference: 201/1/9 Name: Persimmon Homes	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Draft Policy 14 states that on sites of more than 100 dwellings, provision should be made for a proportion of serviced plots of land to contribute towards meeting evidenced demand for self-build and custom build housing in Northampton. We generally welcome the approach of the overall quantum of self and custom build provision being linked to the "evidenced demand" that exists at the time as per the register of self-build and custom build projects.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 201/1/10 Name: Persimmon Homes	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: In order for such a policy to be justified, however, we would expect at least some analysis of the existing register in the area supported by necessary additional data from secondary sources in line with the PPG (paragraph 011 Reference ID: 57-011-20160401). There does not appear to be any part of the evidence base that deals with understanding the demand for self-build and custom housing in Northampton Borough and would we note that the Housing Market Evidence paper by Opinion Research Services (September 2017) does not appear to deal with the need for custom or self-build housing at all.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: There were 30 people registered on the self build and custom build register. A formula was used to assess the potential number of sites required. This policy was formulated to ensure that there is a balance between supply and demand

					of such requirements.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 14	and soundness:	In light of this apparent lack of evidence, we do not see how a	None specified.	There were 30
201/1/11	,	Plan is legally	requirement to incorporate custom and self-build provision is		people registered
		compliant.	justified. Notwithstanding this point, we would emphasise our		on the self build and
Name:			general objection to requiring the provision of custom and self-		custom build
Persimmon Homes		Plan is unsound:	build plots in standard housing schemes.		register. A formula
		- not justified	Firstly, these plots are slow to come forward and are		was used to assess
		- not effective	vulnerable to the economic circumstances of the individual		the potential
		- not consistent	builder.		number of sites
		with national policy			required. This policy
					was formulated to
					ensure that there is
					a balance between
					supply and demand
					of such
					requirements.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 14	and soundness:	Whilst we would support planning for some self and custom	None.	There were 30
201/1/14		Plan is legally	build sites over the plan period, it is necessary that any		people registered
		compliant.	corresponding requirements in this regard are proportionate to		on the self build and
Name:			demand and we cannot see from the evidence base how this		custom build
Persimmon Homes		Plan is unsound:	existing demand has been considered to arrive at the wording		register. A formula
		- not justified	of draft Policy 14. In general, we would advocate an approach		was used to assess
		- not effective	that saw custom and self-build exception sites or land		the potential
		- not consistent	specifically allocated for this type of housing in a manner that		number of sites
		with national policy	corresponds to the evidenced demand rather than custom and		required. This policy
			self-build plots being required through the delivery of large		was formulated to
			sites.		ensure that there is
					a balance between
					supply and demand
					of such
					requirements.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 14	and soundness:	We note that if plots for self-build and custom build remain	We would suggest	It takes 3 years for a
201/1/15		Plan is legally	vacant for three years, then draft Policy 14 allows them to	that this is a	planning permission
		compliant.	revert to other forms of housing provision. The ability to	reasonable period	to be implemented.
Name:			respond to a lack of uptake is welcome but requiring	in which to gauge	It is considered
Persimmon Homes		Plan is unsound:	developers to market custom and self-build plots for three	demand following	reasonable to allow
		- not justified	years is an excessive amount of time and we would note that in	which the plots	the same time line
		- not effective	other authorities (e.g. Kettering Borough Council) the figure is	should be allowed	to be applied to this
		- not consistent	closer to six months.	to revert to a	requirement.
		with national policy		typical residential	
				use.	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 14	and soundness:	Finally, we would object to the manner in which the	For the reasons	There were 30
201/1/16		Plan is legally	requirement to incorporate custom and self-build plots has	above, Persimmon	people registered
		compliant.	been tested in the Plan Viability Study (June 2020). We do not	OBJECTS to draft	on the self build and
Name:			see how this could be considered without an indication of the	Policy 14 insofar as	custom build
Persimmon Homes		Plan is unsound:	number of custom and self-build units to be delivered over the	it requires the	register. A formula
		- not justified	plan period recognising that requiring developers to hold	delivery of custom	was used to assess
		- not effective	custom nd self-build plots on their books for up to three years	and self-build units	the potential
		- not consistent	will have obvious cash flow implications.	on sites of more	number of sites
		with national policy		than 100 units and	required. This policy
				this element of the	was formulated to
				policy should be	ensure that there is
				deleted for plan	a balance between
				soundness for lack	supply and demand
				of justification.	of such
					requirements.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 228/1/5	Policy 14	and soundness:	I'm also concerned about access to this planned area.	None.	Any proposals that
		Plan is not legally	Rushmere road is extremely busy morning and evenings and		come forward will
Name:		compliant:	this addded amount of housing with likely only one way in and		need to comply with
David Russell		- reason not	out is only going to add to that.		the relevant policies
		specified			on highways safety
					and sustainable
		Plan is unsound:			travel. The
					Highways authority

Representation reference: 228/1/6 Name: David Russell	Refers to: Policy 14	 not consistent with national policy Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: reason not specified Plan is unsound: not consistent with national policy 	Comments: The Former Abington Mill Farm, land of Rushmere Road is regualrly flooded and even listed at medium and high risk on thje local county council land. Im concerned a a resident who lives close to this land that work here may merely move the flood risk to areas next to this with my house and my neighbours very close by.	Suggested changes: None.	will also be consulted on any proposals that are submitted through the development management process. Officer comments: The site was considered for development allocation following a land availability assessment which took into account the land's potential for flooding. Any development proposals will need to conform with flood related policies in the Local Plan and implement, if necessary, flood mitigation measures.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 14	and soundness:	Paragraph 7.15 refers to the Council and their duty to keep a	None.	The number of plots
229/1/18		Plan is not legally compliant:	self-build and custom build register to provide the Council with evidence when making provision for serviced plots of land.		on the register will change throughout
Name:		- not compliant	However, the paragraph is not explicit in terms of the number		the lifetime of the
Barratt David		with duty to	of plots on the current register of self-build or custom build		Plan. The applicant
Wilson Homes		cooperate	register, nor is there reference within the paragraph as to		should liaise with
			other documents and studies that would form the evidence		the Council during
		Plan is unsound:	base for projecting the number of self-build and custom build		the application
			plots over the plan period. It is, therefore, questionable as to		stage to determine

		 not positively prepared not justified not effective not consistent with national policy 	how a trigger of 100 dwellings where provision of self-build and custom build housing will be sought. Furthermore the policy is not explicit as to the mechanisms by which a proportion of self- build or custom build can be negotiated between the Council and the Applicant.		the proportion of self-build and custom build housing required. No modification required.
Representation reference: 229/1/19 Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Justification has not been given as to why a plot needs to remain vacant for 3 years. Presumably, as it is captured in the S106 obligation, there would be additional requirement to provide evidence of marketing and marketing at a price that would be of an appropriate value to revert to other forms of housing. The policy should provide the ability to demonstrate there is no need for a self-build or custom build plot within the 3 year period by submitting an up to date Housing Need Survey.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The 3 years relates to the timeline of planning consent being implemented. It is reasonable to allow the same time line for this policy requirement to be met. No modification required.
Representation reference: 229/1/20 Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective	Comments: The housing mix is very prescriptive which does not build in any form of flexibility that could accommodate market demand. Given my comments to policy 13, there might be the need for a different size, type and tenure of house as a result of predictable events. The policy would be more effective in stating percentage ranges. Furthermore, as a result of being too prescriptive, more housing market assessments will be submitted by applicants, which will increase the time taken to determine applications, thus further eroding the Council's ability to meet the required housing delivery targets.	Suggested changes: Modification "Derived from xxx and xx study, proposals of over 100 dwellings or more will be required to provide a proportion of self-build and custom build, through negotiations	Officer comments: The 3 years relates to the timeline of planning consent being implemented. The Council's most recent evidence supports the mix set out in Policy 14. If evidence suggests the mix should be altered, there is flexibility in the

		- not consistent	It is pleasing to see that an "appropriate proportion" of	between the	policy to be able to
		with national policy	residential development is required to comply with M4(2) of the building regulation rather than a set %, however, the policy	Council and the Applicant, which	demonstrate that.
			needs to make explicit that an "appropriate proportion" will	takes into account	
			not only take into account the needs of the Borough but also	the site's ability to	
			the site's characteristics and viability.	provide such plots	
			At present, BDW are working with a neighbouring Authority, in	through a viability	
			the North Northants Joint Core Strategy area, where the site's	assessment".	
			characteristics do not lend itself to providing policy 30 of the	"To meet the needs	
			NNJCS requirements for M4(2) and M4(3) housing,	of the Borough's	
			notwithstanding any viability test.	residents and to	
			The policy is therefore not justified into how the trigger to	deliver dwellings	
			provide self-build and custom build plots has been derived and	capable of meeting	
			nor is it effective in this regard to allow for negotiation	their occupants'	
			between the Council and applicant in terms of the number of	changing	
			self-build and custom build plots are required.	circumstances over	
			The policy does not justify why a plot needs to remain vacant	their lifetime, an	
			for 3 years.	appropriate	
			The policy is not effective by virtue of the very prescriptive	proportion of	
			housing mix which will lead to increased viability assessments	residential	
			being submitted and it is not effective in so far as to the	development,	
			considerations to be taken into account such as the sites	based on the latest	
			characteristics. It is worth nothing that Policy H08, Daventry does allow for consideration of the sites characteristics.	available evidence,	
			does allow for consideration of the sites characteristics.	including the site's characteristics and	
				viability, should be	
				designed to meet	
				the requirements	
				of Building	
				Regulations Part	
				M4(2).	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 14	and soundness:	Bastion support Policy 14 and the need for developments to	Bastion support	Local housing need
244/1/23		Plan is legally	respond to local housing need. There is clarity required,	Policy 14 and the	is addressed
		compliant.	however, in terms of where such evidence on the latest need	need for	through the WNJCS
Name:			should be sourced from. Details are included in paragraphs	developments to	and the LPP2 must

Bastion Group		Plan is sound.	 7.14 – 7.20 of the Local Plan, however, it is not clear if it is this that should be applied or if the latest evidence should be obtained from the Strategic Housing Market Assessment, for example. A clear policy position on this is required. In terms of the provision of affordable housing, Bastion support the principle of this but suggest that in order to improve the soundness of this policy there should be reference to the ability to provide commuted sums or off-site provision in exceptional circumstances. Furthermore, there should be a policy requirement for schemes that cannot provide the necessary 35% affordable housing to be supported by a viability assessment. 	respond to local housing need. There is clarity required, however, in terms of where such evidence on the latest need should be sourced from.	comply with this requirement. There will be new evidence for the spatial plan.
Representation reference: 251/1/19 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: Policy 14	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Policy 14 requires the following in respect of Specialist and Accessible Housing: 'To meet the needs of the Borough's residents and to deliver dwellings capable of meeting their occupants' changing circumstances over their lifetime, an appropriate proportion of residential development, based on the latest available evidence, must be designed to meet the requirements of Building Regulations Part M4(2) (Accessible and adaptable dwellings) or its successor standard. 4% of all new market dwellings and 8% of affordable dwellings should be constructed to Building Regulations Part M4(3) (Wheelchair user dwellings) standards, or their successor, to enable wheelchair accessibility.' NPPF Paragraph 16 states that policies should be 'clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals'. A policy requirement for an 'appropriate proportion of residential development' to be designed to meet M4(2) standards is unclear and ambiguous, which causes uncertainty for both applicants and decision makers. This element fails the test of soundness and is therefore inconsistent with the Framework.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Detailed specialist housing requirements are set out in the Northampton Specialist Housing SPD which applicants should refer too. This contains the most up to date evidence and need requirements for specialist housing in Northampton.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 14	and soundness:	Should the Council wish to apply the optional NDSS to new	None specified.	Northampton
251/1/20		Plan is legally	build dwellings, this should be done in accordance with		Borough Council
		compliant.	Footnote 46 of NPPF Paragraph 127(f):		adopted the
Name:			'Planning policies for housing should make use of the		Specialist Housing
Duncan		Plan is unsound:	Government's optional technical standards for accessible and		SPD in November
Investments Ltd -		- not justified	adaptable housing, where this would address an identified		2019. It provides
Site E of Towcester		- not effective	need for such properties. Policies may also make use of the		evidence, and sets
Rd		- not consistent	nationally described space standard, where the need for an		out the need, for
		with national policy	internal space standard can be justified.'		optional specialist
					housing standards i
			As set out under Paragraph 31, all policies should be		Northampton.
			'underpinned by relevant and up-to-date evidence', and		
			'should be adequate, proportionate and focussed tightly on		
			supporting and justifying the policies concerned.' In this		
			respect, the PPG15 sets out the evidence required to		
			demonstrate a need to set higher accessibility, adaptability and		
			wheelchair housing standards:		
			'Based on their housing needs assessment and other available		
			datasets it will be for local planning authorities to set out how		
			they intend to approach demonstrating the need for		
			Requirement M4(2) (accessible and adaptable dwellings),		
			and/or M4(3) (wheelchair user dwellings), of the Building		
			Regulations. There is a wide range of published official		
			statistics and factors which local planning authorities can		
			consider and take into account, including:		
			 the likely future need for housing for older and 		
			disabled people (including wheelchair user dwellings).		
			 size, location, type and quality of dwellings needed to 		
			meet specifically evidenced needs (for example		
			retirement homes, sheltered homes or care homes).		
			 the accessibility and adaptability of existing housing 		
			stock.		
			 how needs vary across different housing tenures. 		
			 the overall impact on viability.' 		1

reference: 251/1/21	Policy 14	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Moreover, the Council's viability testing should take full account of additional costs for any policy requirements for optional M4(2) and M4(3) standards.	Resultingly, the requirements for optional M4(2) and	The Local Plan Viability Assessment was undertaken to
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Northamptonshire Joint Planning Unit – Housing Market Evidence: Executive Summary for Northampton Borough' (ORS, September 2017) and 'Study of Housing and Support Needs of Older People Across Northamptonshire' (Three Dragons and Associates, March 2017) do not provide an evidential basis to justify the Council's proposed policy requirement. Furthermore, this data is now somewhat dated: the 'Procedure Guidance for Local Plan Examination' (The Planning Inspectorate, June 2019) confirms the evidential requirements of submitted plan16: 'Is the evidence base sufficiently up-to-date? Evidence base documents, especially those relating to development needs and land availability, that date from two or more years before the submission date may be at risk of having been overtaken by events, particularly as they may rely on data that is even older. As a minimum, any such documents should be updated as necessary to incorporate the most recent available information. But this may not be necessary for evidence documents on topics that are less subject to change over time, such as landscape character assessments.' [Emphasis added] It is noted the Council acknowledges that 'further work needs to be carried out to establish the proportion of Category 2 dwellings that would be most appropriate'17. Equally, the Study of Housing and Support Needs of Older People Across Northamptonshire' (Three Dragons and Associates, March 2017) confirms that adopting M4(2) requires evidence of need.	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
			The Developers consider the Council has failed to robustly demonstrate the need for optional standards nor justified setting appropriate policy requirements through Policy 14. The relevant evidence-base documents comprise 'The West		

Name:			In this regard, the Council's Plan Viability Study (Aspinall Verdi,	M4(3) should be	assess the viability
Duncan		Plan is unsound:	June 2020) only includes a cost of £521 per dwellings for M4(2)	deleted from this	of policies, and was
Investments Ltd -		- not justified	on a baseline assessment of 10% provision and £10,307 per	policy.	prepared using the
Site E of Towcester		- not effective	dwelling for M4(3).		latest market
Rd		- not consistent	In September 2014, the Government's Housing Standards		information
		with national policy	Review included cost estimates by EC Harris: for Category 2		obtained
			access this was estimated at a range between £520 to £940 per		both through desk
			dwelling, and for Category 3 between £7,764 to £23,05219.		top study/ market
			Both estimates are significantly higher than the costs used by		intelligence and a
			the Council.		workshop with
					developers/
					landowners/ agents.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 43/1/3	Policy 15	and soundness:	For Information - The Northampton Local Plan Part 2 June	None	Noted. Paragraph
	,	Plan is legally	2020 has updated the HiMO policy now 15 (was 14) to include		5.30 of the LPP2
Name:		compliant.	some wording on the need to ensure that ground floor		sets out that Policy
Environment		••••••	bedrooms mitigation flood risk.		BN7 of the West
Agency		Plan is sound.	We are happy with this wording but wish to highlight that in		Northamptonshire
			some instances the flood depths in the hazard mapping areas		Joint Core Strategy
			are too great to mitigate and therefore ground floor bedroom		supports
			would not be supported.		development that
			The West Northants SFRA update December 2017 has some		complies with the
			good advice on HiMO and floor levels. The SFRA states in 9.36		flood risk
			"all planning applications for the conversion of dwellings into		assessment and
			homes of multiple occupation, must be accompanied by a site-		management
			specific flood risk assessment demonstrating that the		requirements set
			accommodation (and indeed the whole development) is safe		out in NPPF, the
			from the risk of flooding from all sources and includes safe		West
			refuge".		Northamptonshire
			-		Strategic Flood Risk
					Assessments and
					the Environment
					Agency hazard
					maps.
					No modification
					required.

Representation reference: 228/1/7	Refers to: Policy 15	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally	Comments: I'm also concerned about access to this planned area. Rushmere road is extremely busy morning and evenings and	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Any proposals that come forward will
Name: David Russell		compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not consistent with national policy	this addded amount of housing with likely only one way in and out is only going to add to that.		need to comply with the relevant policies on highways safety and sustainable travel. The Highways authority will also be consulted on any proposals that are submitted through the development management process.
Representation reference: 228/1/8 Name: David Russell	Refers to: Policy 15	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The Former Abington Mill Farm, land of Rushmere Road is regualrly flooded and even listed at medium and high risk on thje local county council land. Im concerned a a resident who lives close to this land that work here may merely move the flood risk to areas next to this with my house and my neighbours very close by.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The site was considered for development allocation following a land availability assessment which took into account the land's potential for flooding. Any development proposals will need to conform with flood related policies in the Local Plan and implement, if necessary, flood mitigation measures.

Representation reference: 148/1/17 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: Chapter 8	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: The respondent welcomes the positive and robust approach to economic development as set out within Chapter 8 of the document.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 239/1/3 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Houghton Gate	Refers to: Chapter 8	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments:Duncan Investments Ltd considers that the policy approach in Chapter 8 of the NLP2 would not meet the tests of soundness because:1.It is not positively prepared; 2.2.It is not justified; 3.3.It is not effective; and 4.4.It is not consistent with national policy.In order to ensure that the Policy approach taken in Chapter 8 is sound it is considered that: The NLP2 should allocate sufficient employment land to address the very significant quantitative and qualitative shortfall of industrial and warehousing land; and	Suggested changes: The NLP2 should allocate sufficient employment land to address the very significant quantitative and qualitative shortfall of industrial and warehousing land.	Officer comments: Policy 18 of the LPP2 states that proposals outside of the safeguarded employment sites will be supported provided they meet certain criteria. No change.
Representation reference: 239/1/4 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Houghton Gate	Refers to: Chapter 8	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The Houghton Gate site should be identified as an employment allocation to help meet this shortfall. The Houghton Gate site has the potential to help support a range of economic aspirations at the local and sub-regional level, particularly in terms of meeting Northampton Borough's future growth needs.	Suggested changes: The Houghton Gate site should be identified as an employment allocation to help meet this shortfall.	Officer comments: Policy 18 of the LPP2 states that proposals outside of the safeguarded employment sites will be supported provided they meet certain criteria. No change.

Representation reference: 239/2/4 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Houghton Gate	Refers to: Chapter 8	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Chapter 8 proposes two economic policies (17 and 18), the first of which seeks to safeguard existing employment sites and the second of which supports new employment developments and schemes outside of safeguarded sites. The NLP2 does not allocate any additional sites for employment development.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 38 allocates sites for employment development. No modification required.
Representation reference: 123/1/4 Name: Henry Martin Ltd	Refers to: Policy 17	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Policy 17- Safeguarding Existing Employment Sites Paragraph 80 of the NPPF reques planning policies to "help create the conditions in which businesses can invest, expand and adapt'. It also establishes that "Significant weight should be placed on the need to support economic growth and productivity, taking into account both local business needs and wider opportunities for development." The principle of safeguarding all existing empfoyment sites within the Borough for employment uses is therefore considered to be consistent with the NPPF in respect of supporting economic growth, productivity and business development.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 123/1/5 Name: Henry Martin Ltd	Refers to: Policy 17	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Policy 17 is also considered to support tine overall aims of Policy S8(1)(a) of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core trategy which sets out an ambition to deliver job growth through the renewal and regeneration of existing employment sites. Likewise, the policy approach set out in Policy 17 is deemed to broadly accord with Policy1 of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy as it seeks to retain existing employment	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

			sites and industrial estates to help support a vibrant, successful and developing local economy.		
Representation reference: 123/1/6 Name: Henry Martin Ltd	Refers to: Policy 17	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: The provisions set out in Policy 17 are also welcomed as they will help to support the future renewal and improvement of the existing business premises at Martin's Yard Business Park. This will enable the owners of the site to respond to the demands of the existing businesses for modern and larger workspaces. Ultimately, this will help to facilitate business growth and thus has the potential to create new job opportunities and protect existing jobs.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 200/1/15 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 17	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: National policy only permits an allowance for windfall sites if there is compelling evidence that such sites have consistently become available and will continue to be a reliable source of supply. The Council should re-consider the continuing likelihood of 300 dwelling per annum from windfalls where 71 sites for housing development are allocated in the LPP2 and Policy 17 safeguards all existing employment sites.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: There is a criteria in bullet point 2 of Policy 17 that allows for Change of Use. Although not specifically for housing, this is also permitted via Permitted Development.
Representation reference: 234/1/6 Name: Diversified Property Fund For Charities	Refers to: Policy 17	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective	Comments: Firstly, the requirement to demonstrate a continuous (and lengthy) period of vacancy of 6-12 months is counterintuitive and may do more harm to economic activity than good in preventing the swift re-occupation with an active use and reducing vacancy periods. It is preferable to have an active economic use of a site (even if an alternative use) than it remain vacant just to satisfy a minimum period specified in policy. DPFC therefore suggest that Policy 17 should be broadened to include additional criteria that could be satisfied	Suggested changes: Policy 17 should be broadened to include additional criteria that could be satisfied as an alternative to allow for the alternative use of units within	Officer comments: These criteria are already included in the wording of policy 17.

		- not consistent with national policy	as an alternative to allow for the alternative use of units within an Existing Employment Area. These criteria should allow the introduction of non-employment uses where the land or premises are no longer well located or where there is no need to retain the land or premises for business, industrial or warehousing use, having regard to the demand for such land and premises and the requirement to provide for a range and choice of sites available for such use.	an Existing Employment Area.	
Representation reference: 234/1/7 Name: Diversified Property Fund For Charities	Refers to: Policy 17	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Secondly, Policy 17 as currently drafted fails to provide a policy framework for the wholesale redevelopment of an Existing Employment site. Grafton Trade Park's location is highly sustainable (as the ELA concluded) whilst it is set within a mix of surrounding uses which will likely become more varied as other land and buildings are released from the employment land designation. Taken together, and depending on the market for units of this type and size in this location, the redevelopment of the Trade Park for a range of high quality uses could represent sustainable development. DPFC therefore suggest there is a need for Policy 17 to be amended so that it supports the wholesale redevelopment of Existing Employment Areas, subject to certain criteria being met. Such criteria could relate to the proportion of units that are vacant across the site, the beneficial impact on amenity that alternative uses could deliver, the requirement for the retention of the existing use with regards to alternative existing premises, and the requirement for the use proposed. The approach that is proposed in the Intend to Publish London Plan (which supports proposals that introduce alternative uses within a designated employment area so long as the level of employment floorspace or job provision is maintained) warrants consideration as an approach.	Suggested changes: DPFC therefore suggest there is a need for Policy 17 to be amended so that it supports the wholesale redevelopment of Existing Employment Areas, subject to certain criteria being met.	Officer comments: Policy 17, as drafted, does not preclude redevelopment for employment uses. No change.

			This is a general retailing policy contained within the NLLP2. Its scope is broad, identifying a requirement for the provision of a certain amount of retail floorspace over the plan period, outlining the retail hierarchy, re- iterating a town centre first approach, and setting the planning policy context for proposals in defined retail frontages. It also, in line with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (February 2019), reiterates that a sequential test is to be undertaken for all proposals for main town centre uses outside of designated centres and a retail impact assessment for proposals in excess of 500sqm (substantially lower than the default threshold of 2,500m2 set in the NPPF2).		
Representation reference: 234/1/8 Name: Diversified Property Fund For Charities	Refers to: Policy 17	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: There are a number of town centre uses (as defined in the NPPF) that, due to the requirement for large floorplates, onsite car parking or high ceilings are increasingly located (and arguably better suited) within warehouse units within industrial estates. Gyms are a classic example but there are other leisure and retailing uses that would fit into this category and the "light industrial" nature of operations by the existing occupiers within Grafton Trade Park would make it an attractive location for such uses. Whilst Policy 17 recognises that such uses are likely to be ancillary to, and support the function of, employment areas, at present, if they are characterised as main town centre uses (as defined in the NPPF) there would be a requirement for a sequential test and retail impact assessment. DPFC therefore recommend that the policy wording and accompanying subtext is amended to recognise this and note, in line with the Retail and Leisure Study (Nexus Planning, September 2018) which forms part of the evidence base for the NLLP2, that any retail impact assessment must be proportionate to the proposals.	Suggested changes: DPFC therefore recommend that the policy wording and accompanying subtext is amended to recognise this and note, in line with the Retail and Leisure Study (Nexus Planning, September 2018) which forms part of the evidence base for the NLLP2, that any retail impact assessment must be proportionate to the proposals.	Officer comments: These criteria are already included in the content of policy 17.

Representation reference: 123/1/7 Name: Henry Martin Ltd	Refers to: Policy 18	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Policy 18 - Supporting New Employment Developments and Schemes Outside Safeguarded Sites The approach set out in Policy 18 towards new employment provision outside safeguarded employmet sites is supported in principle.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 148/1/3 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: Policy 18	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: The inclusion of the site (Ref: 1101) as an employment allocation within emerging Policy 18 of the DPD has afforded the landowner a level of confidence to progress technical work in respect of the future development of the site.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 148/1/18 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: Policy 18	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Policy 18 is considered to provide a robust and flexible approach to supporting the development of new employment sites. Furthermore, it is considered that this approach will lend itself to the flexible development of the client's land at Waterside Way which is a significant site which could be a key contributor towards meeting the Council's economic development strategy.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 239/2/6 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Houghton Gate	Refers to: Policy 18	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Duncan Investments Ltd objects to the overall policy approach taken in Chapter 8 as it fails to adequately and positively plan for new employment investment in line with the recommendations of the Council's own evidence base. Duncan Investments Ltd considers that employment land should be allocated in the NLP2 to ensure that future economic growth needs are adequately met. The demand for B-Class development is recognised within the NLP2 [para 8.10] which notes that: "Property market evidence shows that the distribution and general industrial sectors remain the main thrust of the	Suggested changes: Duncan Investments Ltd considers that employment land should be allocated in the NLP2 to ensure that future economic growth needs are adequately met.	Officer comments: Allocations for employment are included in Policy 38. Policy 17 safeguards existing employment land and Policy 18 supports employment provision outside of safeguarded

			commercial market in Northampton, with the office market weaker in comparison. Class B uses (offices, general industrial, storage and warehousing) provide a substantial proportion of Northampton's employment, but an increasing proportion of employment occurs in other uses, such as retail, leisure and the construction industry. The ongoing demand for the more traditional employment land within the B Use Classes Order therefore needs to continue to be accommodated to ensure that there is a balance in the economy in terms of job supply across the sectors."		employment sites that meet certain criteria. Employment land is also expected to come forward on Sustainable Urban Extensions around Northampton. No modification required.
Representation reference: 239/2/7 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Houghton Gate	Refers to: Policy 18	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: 2.5 In order to meet this demand, the NLP2 is relying principally upon extensions, intensification, redevelopments and churn on safeguarded employment sites. However, it recognises that additional employment land will be required in order to support additional job creation. With regard to this matter it states: "To support net job creation, it is important to ensure that employment schemes outside the designated employment sites, but which are compatible with their surrounding uses, are considered positively. For example, mixed uses which generate jobs can operate in residential areas and/ or in neighbourhood parades. These can be supported because they provide locally based employment which could reduce the need for travelling, whilst contributing towards jobs growth. This accords with the Government's aspiration to ensure that planning policies are flexible" 2.6 Whilst Duncan Investments Ltd welcomes the support in Policy 18 for new employment developments and schemes outside of safeguarded site to assist in meeting this requirement, this policy approach does not provide enough certainty or flexibility to ensure that job creation targets will be met.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Allocations for employment are included in Policy 38. Policy 17 safeguards existing employment land and Policy 18 supports employment provision outside of safeguarded employment sites that meet certain criteria. Employment land is also expected to come forward on Sustainable Urban Extensions around Northampton. No modification required.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 239/2/8	Policy 18	and soundness:	We consider that the policy approach in the NLP2 is	None specified.	Allocations for
		Plan is legally	fundamentally flawed as it does not seek to allocate any new		employment are
Name:		compliant.	employment sites., despite the evidence base supporting such		included in Policy
Duncan			allocations. The NLP2 fails to identify strategic sites, for local		38. Policy 17
Investments Ltd -		Plan is unsound:	and inward investment to match the strategy and to meet		safeguards existing
Houghton Gate		- not positively	anticipated needs over the plan period as required by the		employment land
		prepared	Framework.		and Policy 18
		- not justified			supports
		- not effective	Unless the position is addressed through the preparation of the		employment
		- not consistent	NLP2, there will be serious implications for growth and it will		provision outside of
		with national policy	potentially restrict the potential for economic growth and job		safeguarded
			creation. This is a matter of key significance that Duncan		employment sites
			Investments Ltd considers should be addressed through the		that meet certain
			preparation of the NLP2 if it is to create the right policy		criteria.
			framework to drive economic growth.		Employment land is
					also expected to
					come forward on
					Sustainable Urban
					Extensions around
					Northampton. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 18	and soundness:	Duncan Investments Ltd considers that Policy 18 would not	In order to ensure	A comprehensive
239/2/11		Plan is legally	meet the tests of soundness because:	that Policy 18 is	assessment for
		compliant.	1. It is not positively prepared: clarification is required on the	sound it is	proposed new
Name:			detail which would be required in order to satisfy the	considered that:	employment
Duncan		Plan is unsound:	provisions of the policy.	1. Explanatory text	provision should
Investments Ltd -		- not positively		should be provided	address points i) and
Houghton Gate		prepared		with the policy to	ii) of Policy 18. No
		- not justified		confirm what	modification
		- not effective		details would need	required.
		- not consistent		to be provided in	
		with national policy		the comprehensive	
				assessment	

Representation reference: 229/1/22 Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes	Refers to: Policy 19	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: How will this be impacted by the amendment to the Use Classes Order?	required to demonstrate the suitability of sites for employment. Suggested changes: This policy requires significant amendments in light of the amendment to the Use Classes order.	Officer comments: It is agreed that the policy will need to be updated. Modify Policy 19 to reflect changes to the use class order.
Representation reference: 237/1/3 Name: Universities Superannuation Scheme	Refers to: Policy 19	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Draft Policy 19 "New Retail Developments and Retail Impact Assessment" of the Northampton Local Plan Part 2 (Proposed Submission) currently proposes that Retail Impact Assessments (RIA) are required for any proposals exceeding 500 sq m outside designated town centres, in order to demonstrate the proposals will not have an unacceptable impact on existing centres in the retail hierarchy. Paragraph 89 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2019) (NPPF) sets a default threshold of 2,500 sq m for RIAs for retail proposals outside designated town centres, if there is not a locally set threshold.	Suggested changes: Whilst it is important to protect established town centres, for the following reasons, it is recommended that the threshold set in draft Policy 19 is amended to 2,500 sq m, in line with the NPPF.	Officer comments: Northampton Borough Council is allowed to set a local threshold and the Nexus study concluded that the 500sqm threshold was required.
Representation reference: 237/1/5	Refers to: Policy 19	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: As of 1 September 2020, an amendment to the Use Classes Order Regulations has been brought into effect, which	Suggested changes: If the Council is minded to retain	Officer comments: Policy 19 is clear that all relevant

Name:		Plan is legally	fundamentally changes the approach to the flexibility of uses,	the existing RIA	applications that
Universities		compliant.	and how town centres can expected to be used in the future. It	threshold, then the	meet this threshold
Superannuation			is recognised that Local Planning Authorities and the Planning	draft Policy 19	will need to provide
Scheme		Plan is unsound:	Inspectorate are considering how these changes will be	wording should be	a Retail Impact
		- not positively	accommodated in emerging local plans. Following these	amended in order	Assessment. No
		prepared	changes, some planning inspectors (South Oxfordshire) have	to make it clear	modifications
		- not effective	advised that a RIA threshold of 500 sq m may turn out to be	that RIA	required.
		- not consistent	ineffective and that this is a new situation that needs to be	requirements will	
		with national policy	monitored. The approach sought is amended policy wording	be undertaken in a	
			that provides the Council with flexibility to adjust the RIA	proportionate and	
			threshold in light of future circumstances.	appropriate way,	
				commensurate to	
			The full implications of the changes made to the Use Classes	the scale of the	
			Order Regulations are currently uncertain, however it is	development	
			necessary that the draft Policy 19 wording takes a positive and	proposed, in line	
			forward looking approach to how buildings and land will be	with Government	
			used in future. In order to provide flexibility for landlords to	guidance.	
			respond to market and consumer changes, and to minimise	guiuance.	
			levels of vacancy across the whole of the authority, including		
			existing out of town locations, it is recommended that the Plan		
			is consistent with the threshold contained in the NPPF.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 245/1/3	Policy 19	and soundness:	It is noted that the policy states they support the provision of	This part of the	The policy is
		Plan is legally	between 7,000sqm and 8,900sqm net convenience retail	policy should	intended to
Name:		compliant.	floorspace and between 5,300sqm and 7,300sqm net	therefore be	demonstrate and
Drapery Property			comparison retail floorspace to meet forecast retail	amended to	quantify the
Northampton Ltd		Plan is sound.	expenditure to 2029. However, it is considered that such a	remove any	capacity of retail
			policy requirement is overly restrictive on retail provision	quantifiable	floorspace required
			within suitable town, district and local centre locations, which	provision of	during the lifetime
			are deemed the most appropriate locations for retail	floorspace with a	of the plan, in
			development.	more flexible	accordance with the
			By imposing such a strict requirement for the total retail	approach adopted	evidence base
			floorspace within the hierarchy of retail centres, which are	directing new retail	provided in the
			considered the most suitable location for retail provision, it will	development to the	Retail Study (Nexus,
			prevent the Council from adapting to evolving circumstances		2018).

			within town centres and the retail sector, particularly considering the impacts of COVID-19 are not yet known. Elsewhere in the Proposed Plan, the Council encourage a mix of uses within town centres (Policy 9, 12 and 21). Insisting that the above floorspace requirements are met may prevent suitable alternative uses coming forward preventing a mix of uses in town centres and inadvertently, negatively impacting on the vibrancy and vitality of these centres.	hierarchy of centre locations.	
Representation reference: 245/1/4 Name: Drapery Property Northampton Ltd	Refers to: Policy 19	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In terms of the remaining parts of the policy, the last bullet point states that the "change of use of vacant units into alternative main town centre uses or upper floor residential use will be supported if evidence shows that there is a continuous period of vacancy and marketing for 12 to 18 months and that there are no realistic prospects of the unit being occupied for its previous use". This part of the policy is once again considered overly restrictive and could potentially lead to numerous vacant units within town centres lying empty for a considerable period of time. Moreover, this could potentially exacerbate any concerns regarding town centres in a post-COVID situation.	Suggested changes: A more flexible approach [to policy 19] by removing the need for a specific period of marketing (which appears to be excessive in a recovering economy) will ensure that the Council can support proposals for alternative uses at vacant properties or properties due to become vacant without properties potentially sitting vacant for more than 2 years (to allow for 18 months vacancy and marketing, obtaining planning permission and	Officer comments: This policy seeks to provide a balanced approach between ensuring that there remains an acceptable supply of retail spaces within the Primary Shopping Area but at the same time allow for change of use subject to evidence of marketing. Combining the outcome of both the consultation exercises and the evidence base provided by Nexus (Retail Study 2018), this timescale is considered to be acceptable.

For example, this requirement is proposals which considered to be

ground floor retail
in a vacant
property and
provide upper floor
alternative/residen
tial use should not
be required to
achieve the same
marketing/vacancy
criteria as a vacant
ground floor unit
which seeks a
change of use from
retail. The former
will ensure that
primary retail
frontages within
town centres
remain active while
introducing new
housing/residential
accommodation on
upper floors which
is both supported
by other policies
and will enhance
the vitality and
viability of town
centres. If such
proposals at retail
units comprising
multiple floors are
required to
demonstrate that
the unit has
remained

	Т				
				vacant/been	
				marketed it may	
				not only result in	
				long-standing	
				vacant units at key	
				parts of the town	
				centre, but sites	
				which are	
				considered suitable	
				for residential	
				accommodation	
				not coming forward	
				for a significant	
				period exacerbating	
				both town centre	
				and housing issues	
				in the city.	
				The policy	
				therefore should be	
				amended to note	
				that proposals	
				which seek to	
				change the use of	
				upper floors to	
				residential uses	
				while retaining	
				ground floor retail	
				will be supported	
				by the Council.	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 62/1/6	Policy 20	and soundness:	We fully support the inclusion of policy 20 in relation to hot	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	food takeaways. While it will not solve the Borough's obesity		
Name:		compliant.	problems on its own, this is an important policy that will		
Northamptonshire			support the local approach to addressing poor diet and obesity,		
County Council /		Plan is sound.	which are formally acknowledged by health sector partners as		
			significant health and wellbeing problems.		

North Northants JPU			It responds directly to the specific problems that have been identified in Northampton Borough in relation to people being overweight / obese, including approx. one third of children being overweight or obese by school year 6 and over 60% of the adult population being overweight or obese. It will form a small but important part of the 'whole systems approach to obesity' being taken by Public Health and its partners to address the many factors that influence whether people and communities are able to maintain a healthy diet and a healthy weight. This has been an effective approach taken in other parts of the country and has been accepted as an appropriate policy approach to respond to local challenges in relation to this key health and wellbeing issue.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 23	and soundness:	We do not believe the Plan to be justified by evidence or	None.	The LPP2 seeks to
113/1/21		Plan is legally	effective in dealing with Sports pitches and amenity space,		encourage healthy
		compliant.	specifically in relation to the following policies:		lifestyles through a
Name:			Policy 6 – Health and Wellbeing, Policy 23 – Sports facilities,		variety of policies (6,
East Hunsbury		Plan is unsound:	and playing pitches Policy 28 – Providing open space		23 and 28). Policy 23
Parish Council		- not justified	East Hunsbury is an area without sports pitches and future		sets out that
		- not effective	developments should be required to make provision for		development
			community access.		resulting in the loss
			There are many in our community who are, or have been,		the loss of existing
			members of Welland Valley Football Club over the years, and		sports related
			the parish council are concerned that the location of the		community facilities,
			football pitches (off of Ladybridge Drive (Wootton Brook) is not		which is well used
			classified as Amenity Green Space. The land has been used as		and valued, will only
			pitches for a number of years and is a prime example of an		be acceptable if
			area of land which should be maintained as amenity green		adequate alternative provision
			space. The Parish Council would expect that any planning application		exists. Policy 28 of
			for development of sites within East Hunsbury would include		the LPP2 requires
			provision of open space, sports and recreation facilities, and		new major
			suitable measures to ensure the maintenance of these spaces		development to

			for the future. The use of management companies has created		sustain or enhance
			an unnecessary burden for residents in other areas, and other		open spaces, and
			ways of managing open space should be encouraged.		contribute to open
					space provision as
					set out in the
					standards in Policy
					28.
					It is agreed that
					there is evidence to
					suggest that the
					area in Welland
					Valley FC (Off
					Ladybridge Drive)
					should be
					designated as
					Amenity Green
					Space. Modify the
					Policies Map
					accordingly.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 23	and soundness:	The policy states "The loss of an existing sports related	Modification of	The first part of
229/1/23		Plan is not legally	community facility will only be acceptable if there is existing	policies.	Policy 23 relates to
		compliant:	adequate provision and all reasonable efforts have been made	"For larger scale	'sports facilities and
Name:		- not compliant	to preserve the facility, but it has been proven that it is not	SUEs, the level and	playing pitches' and
Barratt David		with duty to	economically viable to be retained." This element of the policy	type of open space,	the second part to
Wilson Homes		cooperate	is inconsistent with the approach given in part c) of Policy 23	sport and	'an existing sports
			Sports Facilities and Playing Pitches, which promotes the idea	recreational	related community
		Plan is unsound:	of providing alternative provision. The loss of an existing sports	facilities will be the	facility'.
		- not positively	related community facility, which is well used and valued, does	subject to	The policy goes onto
		prepared	not afford the idea of replacing an existing sports related	agreement	state that 'major
		- not justified	community facility to provide at least an adequate alternative	between the	developments are
		- not effective	provision, as referred to in paragraph 97 of the NPPF.	Council and the	expected to
		- not consistent		Applicant" and	contribute to
		with national policy	There is no mention in the policy that a major Development	within policy 27 "A	towards providing
			can contribute to upgrading existing facilities.	site of equivalent	facilities'; this could
					be on or off-site.
				quality and	be on or off-site.

			There is also no mention of relocating facilities that could actually place them in a better catchment area to serve the existing and future occupants of sites in Northampton. Where it is not appropriate to create new on-site open space, where viable and in accordance with an obligations SPD, consideration should be given to the potential for new housing Developments to contribute towards the enhancement of nearby off- site open space and recreational facilities. The policy is inconsistent with national policy and is not positively prepared by virtue of not considering that an adequate alternative provision can be provided elsewhere and acknowledging that major development can contribute to the upgrading of existing facilities proportionately within S106 agreements.	accessibility can be provided, prior to the use of the existing site ceasing"	No modification required.
Representation reference: 249/1/2 Name: Sport England	Refers to: Policy 23	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: To be consistent with national planning policy framework paragraph 97 the policy should refer to playing field not playing pitches. It is also unclear why the types of pitch sports have been listed as this is restrictive with other sports considered to be capable of being a pitch sport, which should be considered as part of Policy 23. The policy is also not effective as it is unclear as to which types of facilities the second bullet point would relate to and whether it would be consistent with NPPF paragraph 97. It should also be noted that the Plan makes references to the a Playing Pitch Strategy which was completed in early 2018. The document should be kept up to date to be considered to be a sound.	Suggested changes: Proposed change to to change "playing pitches" to "playing fields".	Officer comments: Minor modification of Policy 23 proposed to change "playing pitches" to "playing fields".

Representation reference: 249/1/3 Name: Sport England	Refers to: Policy 23	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Policy 23 should refer to playing fields and not playing pitches. Possibly define types of facilities. It should also be noted that the Plan makes references to the a Playing Pitch Strategy which was completed in early 2018. The document should be kept up to date to be considered to be a sound.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 197/1/4 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 25	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: The County Council welcomes inclusion of Policy 25 – Childcare Provision which seeks to ensure the sufficient supply of childcare, including for pupils of Early Years education age, is strategically managed. The background to the policy notes that whilst some areas indicate a current sufficiency of supply, this is likely to be impacted as housing delivery accelerates throughout the plan period. Furthermore, as a result of parents opting to select providers closer to workplaces than their homes for convenience, the pattern of demand may not always relate directly to the location of new housing development, but may also be attributed to new commercial schemes coming forward.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 197/1/5 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 25	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound:	Comments: It will therefore be necessary for all new major development to be assessed in relation to impact on Early Years provision, and in cases where there is an expected shortfall in places as a result of development, then s106 developer contributions may be necessary to ensure sufficient additional places can be provided. The text of Policy 21 should therefore be amended to recognise this.	Suggested changes: The text of Policy 21 should therefore be amended to recognise this.	Officer comments: This requirement is covered in policy 37.

		- reason not specified			
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 163/1/3	Policy 26	and soundness:	Although the revised policy recognises the need for an	The following	There is no evidence
		Plan is legally	ecological assessment, it does not recognise the need for a	change is proposed:	to suggest that a
Name:		compliant.	management plan to protect/ enhance the biodiversity interest	'Ecological	management plan is
Ann Plackett			of the Kingsthorpe site, as set out in my original	assessments should	essential to the
		Plan is unsound:	representation. This will need to address the protection of the	be carried out	production of an
		- not effective	badger set and fox den, as well as the retention of some of the	ahead of any	ecological
		- not consistent	old grassland. The preparation of a management plan would be	applications on	assessment. No
		with national policy	in line with the NPPF 2019 paragraphs 174b) and 175d). As well	these sites due to	modification
			as protecting the biodiversity interest of the proposed	local wildlife sites	required.
			extension, there is scope for improving the biodiversity of the	and habitats	
			previous extension onto the former allotments.	present / in close	
				proximity to the	
				sites. Proposals for	
				extended	
				cemeteries should	
				be sensitive to	
				ensure there is no	
				harm to	
				biodiversity	
				and	
				management plans	
				should be prepared	
				to protect and	
				achieve a net gain	
				in biodiversity on	
				the proposed	
				extensions and	
				within the existing	
				burial sites.'	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 241/1/7	para. 10.4	and soundness:	Historic landscapes - Public Rights of Way are largely historical	None.	Noted.
			routes which require maintenance and enhancement for the		

Name:		Plan is legally	benefit of all user groups. Determining under-recorded routes		
British Horse		compliant.	before the 1 January 2026 cut-off date is imperative to improve		
Society			the network and opportunities for walkers, cyclists and		
		Plan is sound.	pedestrians to choose active leisure pursuits.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 75/1/11	Policy 27	and soundness:	We note that the NPPF (170(d)) requires planning policies and	We therefore feel	Policy 27 of the
		Plan is legally	decisions to enhance the local and natural environment by	that this policy	LPP2 requires
Name:		compliant.	minimising impacts and providing net gains in biodiversity.	should be	development to
Town Centre				strengthened, by	contribute to Green
Conservation Area		Plan is unsound:		amending the first	Infrastructure
Advisory		- not effective		sentence of	projects.
Committee		- not consistent		paragraph 2 to: "All	Policy 29 of the
		with national policy		housing	LPP2 requires
				developments of 15	development of
				dwellings or more	offset the loss and
				will be expected to	secure a net gain in
				deliver a net gain in	biodiversity.
				biodiversity, and to	No modification
				deliver and / or	required.
				contribute to the	
				green	
				infrastructure	
				projects."	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 27	and soundness:	The policy does not afford the ability to consider large scale	The policy needs to	The NPPF is clear
229/1/24		Plan is not legally	Sustainable Urban Extension's need to provide	be more explicit in	throughout that
		compliant:	bespoke/tailored open spaces that form Green Infrastructure.	what forms of	Green Infrastructure
Name:		 not compliant 	There may well be the need to provide more quantum of one	connectivity are	(GI) is required to
Barratt David		with duty to	particular type of open space compared to other typologies on	expected from an	mitigate impacts of
Wilson Homes		cooperate	large SUEs. For example, there maybe a need to provide	application to	climate change and
			general amenity open space above the quantum required in	"improve	to promote health
		Plan is unsound:	order to avoid occupants of the Development travelling to	connectivity" as	and wellbeing.
		- not positively	wooded areas that are covered under SPAs, but the amount of	this can undermine	Specific GI Projects
		prepared	play space could be offset if there is an abundance of playing	the viability of a	are outlined in the
		- not justified	fields close by.	project.	Northampton GI

Representation reference: 229/1/25 Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes	Refers to: Policy 27	 not effective not consistent with national policy Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: not positively prepared not justified not consistent with national policy 	Comments: The policy states that all housing Developments of 15 dwellings or more will be expected to deliver and/or contribute to the green infrastructure projects. A brownfield site of 15 or more dwellings within an urban area could not be expected to deliver a green infrastructure project and a question of reasonableness will need to be applied if it needs to contribute towards GI projects. The policy is not effective as it doesn't have the ability to consider what bespoke/tailored open spaces that form GIs might be required for large scale SUEs. The policy has not justified why there needs to be a contribution to green infrastructure projects at a trigger of 15 or more dwellings and is also not effective in this regard, because it hasn't considered the likelihood that brownfield sites could occur within an urban area where physical provision would be difficult.	Suggested changes: Modification to the policy: " Will be expected to deliver and or contribute to the green infrastructure, where required to do so, through negotiations with the Council and the Applicant. "A green infrastructure strategy/plan to illustrate how green	Plan. On developments of 15 or above applicants should demonstrate how they will deliver / contribute to GI projects. No modification required. Officer comments: The NPPF is clear throughout that Green Infrastructure (GI) is required to mitigate impacts of climate change and to promote health and wellbeing. Specific GI Projects are outlined in the Northampton GI Plan. On developments of 15 or above applicants should demonstrate how they will deliver / contribute to GI
		with national policy	the likelihood that brownfield sites could occur within an urban	strategy/plan to illustrate how green infrastructure is integrated within	should demonstrate how they will deliver / contribute to GI projects. No modification
				the Development can include x,y,z, types of open spaces. The Council will assess the merits of	required.

Representation reference: 113/1/22 Name: East Hunsbury Parish Council	Refers to: Policy 28	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: We do not believe the Plan to be justified by evidence or effective in dealing with Sports pitches and amenity space, specifically in relation to the following policies: Policy 6 – Health and Wellbeing, Policy 23 – Sports facilities, and playing pitches Policy 28 – Providing open space East Hunsbury is an area without sports pitches and future developments should be required to make provision for community access. There are many in our community who are, or have been, members of Welland Valley Football Club over the years, and the parish council are concerned that the location of the football pitches (off of Ladybridge Drive (Wootton Brook) is not classified as Amenity Green Space. The land has been used as pitches for a number of years and is a prime example of an area of land which should be maintained as amenity green space.	this strategy, in relation to:- • the physical ability to connectivity to the existing Local Green Infrastructure • the landownership requirements to connect to existing Local Green Infrastructure • the scale of development and • the implications upon the viability of the scheme" Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The LPP2 seeks to encourage healthy lifestyles through a variety of policies (6, 23 and 28). Policy 23 sets out that development resulting in the loss the loss of existing sports related community facilities, which is well used and valued, will only be acceptable if adequate alternative provision
--	-------------------------	---	--	--	---

			The Parish Council would expect that any planning application		exists. Policy 28 of
			for development of sites within East Hunsbury would include		the LPP2 requires
					new major
			provision of open space, sports and recreation facilities, and		
			suitable measures to ensure the maintenance of these spaces		development to
			for the future. The use of management companies has created		sustain or enhance
			an unnecessary burden for residents in other areas, and other		open spaces, and
			ways of managing open space should be encouraged.		contribute to open
					space provision as
					set out in the
					standards in Policy
					28.
					It is agreed that
					there is evidence to
					suggest that the
					area in Welland
					Valley FC (Off
					Ladybridge Drive)
					should be
					designated as
					Amenity Green
					Space. Modify the
					Policies Map
					accordingly.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 226/1/3	The Plan,	and soundness:	I would request that the land on the Policies Map immediately	1 would request	The policies map
	policies	Plan is legally	adjacent to Policies LAA 1140, LAA 1025, and LAA 1122, should	that the land on the	will be updated
Name:	map and	compliant.	be registered as Classified and not Unclassified as the map	Policies Map	accordingly.
Brian Oldham	new	complianti	illustrates.	immediately	accordingly
Bhan Olanam	classificati	Plan is sound.	mustrates.	adjacent to Policies	
	on		This piece of land has football pitches on it which are still in	LAA 1140, LAA	
	011		use, and is also used as a Community Space for sporting events	1025, and LAA	
			for the immediate and wider Community at large and should	1122, should be	
			be protected as such.	registered as	
				Classified and not	
				Unclassified as the	
				map illustrates.	

			Therefore I would strongly urge that the Local Plan - Part 2 for this piece of land is modified to read as Classified and not Unclassified.		
Representation reference: 244/1/24 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Policy 28	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Bastion support this policy and the provision of requisite open space as part of development given the value green infrastructure provides for sustainability, ecology, landscape integration, drainage as well as for health and well-being. However, Bastion also acknowledge that different scales of development and sites will better accommodate different types of open space. From a management and maintenance perspective, higher order public open spaces need to be of a certain scale to facilitate effective management regimes. In this context, Bastion fully support the reference to contributing	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
			towards offsite provision where standards cannot be met on site and consider this is vital to the soundness of this policy. It is also important to ensure that accessibility standards and considered alongside quantity standards as Northampton has a wealth of excellent parks and open spaces and it is essential that their use is maximised to support their ongoing maintenance and management. Accessibility to existing spaces should be taken into account in determining whether on-site provision is necessary for small / medium scale development.		
Representation reference: 30/1/2	Refers to: Policy 29	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally	Comments: Section 2: I was pleased to note that the policy describes a hierarchy of sites and biodiversity assets. However the list does	Suggested changes: Section 2: I was pleased to note	Officer comments: It is agreed Policy 29 should include
Name: Northamptonshire County Council		compliant: - not in accordance with SCI	not include Potential Wildlife Sites (PWS) or Local Geological Sites. Some PWS are former Local Wildlife Sites (LWS) which have deteriorated and at last survey date no longer qualified.	that the policy describes a hierarchy of sites	reference to Potential Wildlife Sites and there
		Plan is unsound: - not justified	Others are sites which are suspected to have some biodiversity value but have not been surveyed. PWS are not usually of the same ecological quality as LWS but occasionally surveys have revealed them to be of as much as SSSI quality. Applicants need	and biodiversity assets. However the list does not include Potential	should be an alteration to wording of Policy 29 to remove

- not consistent	to know PWS exist and may have significant value so should be	Wildlife Sites (PWS)	'undesignated sites'
with national policy	included in Policy 29.	or Local Geological	and replace with
		Sites. Some PWS	'Other biodiversity
	The term 'undesignated sites' is not particularly meaningful in	are former Local	assets'.
	biodiversity terms (unlike undesignated heritage assets). I	Wildlife Sites (LWS)	
	would suggest the term 'other biodiversity assets', which	which have	
	would reflect terminology used in the Biodiversity SPD.	deteriorated and at	
		last survey date no	
		longer qualified.	
		Others are sites	
		which are	
		suspected to have	
		some biodiversity	
		value but have not	
		been surveyed.	
		PWS are not usually	
		of the same	
		ecological quality as	
		LWS but	
		occasionally	
		surveys have	
		revealed them to	
		be of as much as	
		SSSI quality.	
		Applicants need to	
		know PWS exist	
		and may have	
		significant value so	
		should be included	
		in Policy 29.	
		The term	
		'undesignated sites'	
		is not particularly	
		meaningful in	
		biodiversity terms	

				(unlike undesignated heritage assets). I would suggest the term 'other biodiversity assets', which would reflect terminology used in the Biodiversity SPD.	
Representation reference: 30/1/3 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 29	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not in accordance with SCI Plan is unsound: - not justified - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Section 3: This section refers to cumulative impacts, which must be considered in development subject to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and the Habitats Regulations. I question whether the council has the authority to require consideration of cumulative impacts for development which is not subject to the EIA or Habitats Regulations.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: It is agreed the wording of Policy 29 should be altered to include wording in brackets () and removal of wording in []: All applicants are expected to assess the impacts of their proposals on biodiversity, (and) [including] indirect impacts such as recreational activities, [in combination impact of developments and any potential effects on functionally linked land to the respective site].

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 30/1/4	Policy 29	and soundness:	Similarly, this section also refers to impacts on functionally	None.	It is agreed the
		Plan is not legally	linked land, which is specific to the Special Protection Area and		wording of Policy 29
Name:		compliant:	should not be included in a general biodiversity policy.		should be altered to
Northamptonshire		- not in accordance			include wording in
County Council		with SCI			brackets () and
					removal of wording
		Plan is unsound:			in []:
		- not justified			All applicants are
		- not consistent			expected to assess
		with national policy			the impacts of their
					proposals on
					biodiversity, (and)
					[including] indirect
					impacts such as
					recreational
					activities, [in
					combination impact
					of developments
					and any potential
					effects on
					functionally linked
					land to the
					respective site].
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 30/1/5	Policy 29	and soundness:	The remainder of this section is confusing, and I would	The remainder of	It is agreed that the
		Plan is not legally	reiterate my comments on the previous draft local plan:	this section is	wording of Policy 29
Name:		compliant:	applicants are not all expected to conduct ecological surveys as	confusing, and I	should be altered to
Northamptonshire		- not in accordance	the wording implies. Applicants are expected to consult the	would reiterate my	include wording in
County Council		with SCI	Biodiversity SPD to find out whether and what surveys might	comments on the	brackets ():
			be necessary. This requirement could be included in the policy	previous draft local	Applicants will be
		Plan is unsound:	as has been done in the North Northamptonshire Joint Core	plan: applicants are	required to
		- not justified	Strategy (Policy 4), which states that 'development proposals	not all expected to	(consider the the
		- not consistent	will need to take account of the Northamptonshire Biodiversity	conduct ecological	Northamptonshire
		with national policy	Supplementary Planning Document' If this section is intended	surveys as the	Biodiversity SPD or
			to refer to development affecting the SPA then this should	wording implies.	sucessor document

clearly be indicated in the policy wording. As currently worded	Applicants are	and where
section 3 appears to apply to all development.	expected to consult	necessary)
·····	the Biodiversity	undertake up to
	SPD to find out	date,
	whether and what	comprehensive
	surveys might be	ecological surveys in
	necessary. This	accordance with
	requirement could	industry guidelines
	be included in the	and standards.
	policy as has been	
	done in the North	
	Northamptonshire	
	Joint Core Strategy	
	(Policy 4), which	
	states that	
	'development	
	proposals will need	
	to take account of	
	the	
	Northamptonshire	
	Biodiversity	
	Supplementary	
	Planning	
	Document' If this	
	section is intended	
	to refer to	
	development	
	affecting the SPA	
	then this should	
	clearly be indicated	
	in the policy	
	wording. As	
	currently worded	
	section 3 appears	
	to apply to all	
	development.	

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 30/1/12	Policy 29	and soundness:	Overall while I believe the biodiversity policies have been	Noted.	The formulation of
		Plan is not legally	improved they do appear to have been developed with limited		policies within the
Name:		compliant:	ecological/natural environment input; they read as if they were		Local Plan have
Northamptonshire		- not in accordance	written by non-specialists. It is crucial that these policies – and		been formulated in
County Council		with SCI	all policies in the local plan – are able to be used and delivered		partnership with
			by officers. The wording must be clear and precise, and in my		neighbouring
		Plan is unsound:	view Policies 29 and 30 in particular are not quite there yet.		authorities,
		- not justified			statutory bodies,
		- not consistent			comments from the
		with national policy			general public and
					from the Council's
					evidence base.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 30/1/15	Policy 29	and soundness:	Policy 29 Supporting and enhancing biodiversity	See other	See responses to
			Section 1: The proposed wording is not consistent with the	comments.	individual
Name:		Plan is unsound:	wording or principle of paragraph 175 of the NPPF. Paragraph		comments.
Northamptonshire		- not justified	175 establishes the mitigation hierarchy which requires		
County Council		- not consistent	development to 'avoid, adequately mitigate, or, as a last resort,		
		with national policy	compensate' biodiversity impacts. Policy 29 1) would require		
			development to 'offset the loss', which presupposes		
			biodiversity impacts and skips the 'avoid' and 'mitigate' stages		
			of the mitigation hierarchy.		
			Section 2: The list of designated sites does not include Potential		
			Wildlife Sites (PWS) or Local Geological Sites. Some PWS are		
			former Local Wildlife Sites (LWS) which have deteriorated and		
			at last survey date no longer qualified. Others are sites which		
			are suspected to have some biodiversity value but have not		
			been surveyed. PWS are not usually of the same ecological		
			quality as LWS but occasionally surveys have revealed them to		
			be of as much as SSSI quality. Applicants need to know PWS		
			exist and may have significant value so should be included in		
			Policy 29.		
			Section 3: This section refers to cumulative impacts, which		
			must be considered in development subject to Environmental		
			Impact Assessment (EIA) and the Habitats Regulations. I		

Representation reference: 53/1/8 Name: Anglian Water Services Limited	Refers to: Policy 29	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	 question whether the council has the authority to require consideration of cumulative impacts for development which is not subject to the EIA or Habitats Regulations. Similarly, this section also refers to impacts on functionally linked land, which is specific to the Special Protection Area and should not be included in a general biodiversity policy. Policy 30 Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protection Area The commitment to prepare a mitigation strategy for the SPA is included in the supporting text only (paragraph 10.17): this should be included in the actual policy text. Policy 38 Development allocations As described above it appears that at least some of the proposed allocations were not informed by the ecological evidence base, which includes designated sites Policy 41 The Green, Great Houghton (LAA1098) The seventh bullet refers to a buffer but does not indicate what is to be buffered, only where the buffer is to be located. I think some clarification is needed on this point for the policy to be deliverable by case officers. Comments: Policy 29 - Supporting and enhancing biodiversity Anglian Water welcomes the reference to development proposals providing a net gain in biodiversity. 	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 172/1/6 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Policy 29	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound:	Comments: As currently drafted the policy and supporting table refer to proposal offsetting loss of biodiversity. 'Offsetting' implies an offsite solution, yet it is often possible to deliver net gain on the same site.	Suggested changes: The policy and table should be reworded as follows: POLICY 29	Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification to the plan will clarify the policy. Modify Policy

- reason not	As such, the policy and supporting table in the plan do not	SUPPORTING AND	29 to remove 'offset
specified	meet the test of soundness through inconsistency with	ENHANCING	the loss and':
	national policy which requires plans planning policies and	BIODIVERSITY	The Council will
	decisions to contribute to and enhance the natural and local	1. The Council will	require all major
	environment including by minimising impacts on and providing	require all major	development
	net gains for biodiversity (NPPF, 2019 Paragraph 170d).	development	proposals to secure
		proposals [to	a net gain in
		secure a net gain]	biodiversity through
		in biodiversity	the strengthening,
		through the	management and /
		strengthening,	or creation of new
		management and /	habitats. This should
		or creation of new	be measured
		habitats. This	through the use of a
		should be	recognised
		measured through	biodiversity
		the use of a	calculator. Proposals
		recognised	will be expected to
		biodiversity	incorporate
		calculator.	measures to
		Proposals will be	enhance
		expected to	biodiversity within
		incorporate	or around a
		measures to	development site,
		enhance	and to contribute to
		biodiversity within	the consolidation
		or around a	and development of
		development site,	local ecological
		and to contribute	networks, including
		to the	beyond the
		consolidation and	borough's
		development of	boundary.
		local ecological	Development
		networks, including	should avoid the
		beyond the	fragmentation of
		borough's	habitats and links

				boundary.	and address the
				Development	Northamptonshire
				should avoid the	Biodiversity Action
				fragmentation of	Plan local priorities
				habitats and links	for habitats and
				and address the	species.
				Northamptonshire	
				Biodiversity Action	Amend Table 12 to
				Plan local priorities	include wording in
				for habitats and	brackets:
				species.	Indicator:
				Table 12:	Biodiversity net gain
				Indicator:	Target: Major
				Biodiversity net	developments must
				gain	secure a net gain in
				Target: [Major	biodiversity
				developments must	Main Policy
				secure a net gain in	delivered: 29
				biodiversity]	
				Main Policy	
				delivered: 29	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 29	and soundness:	Policy 29 requires all major development proposals to offset	None.	The Viability
200/1/42		Plan is legally	the loss and secure a net gain in biodiversity through the		Assessment of the
		compliant.	strengthening, management and / or creation of new habitats.		LPP2 has considered
Name:		•	This should be measured through the use of a recognised		the requirements of
HBF		Plan is unsound:	biodiversity calculator. Proposals will be expected to		Policy 29. No
		- not positively	incorporate measures to enhance biodiversity within or around		modification
		prepared	a development site, and to contribute to the consolidation and		required with
		- not justified	development of local ecological networks, including beyond		regards to this
		- not effective	the Borough's boundary.		matter.
		- not consistent			It is agreed that a
		with national policy	The 2019 NPPF states that policies should be clearly written		proposed
			and unambiguous (para 16) so that both applicants and		modification to the
		1		1	plan will clarify
			decision makers know how to react. The Council's policy		plan will clarify

			biodiversity gain set out the Environment Bill. The Government will use the DEFRA Biodiversity Metric to measure changes to biodiversity under net gain requirements established in the Environment Bill. The mandatory requirement offers		Policy 29 to include DEFRA Biodiversity Metric.
			developers a level playing field nationally and reduced risks of unexpected costs and delays.		
			The Government is committed to continued engagement with the housebuilding industry to address concerns and risks. The Government has confirmed that more work needs to be		
			undertaken to address viability concerns raised by the housebuilding industry in order that net gain does not prevent, delay or reduce housing delivery. The significant additional costs for biodiversity gain should be fully accounted for in the		
			Council's viability assessment. The DEFRA Biodiversity Net Gain & Local Nature Recovery Strategies : Impact Assessment Table 14 : Net Gain Delivery Costs (Residential) sets out regional		
			costs (based on 2017 prices) in East Midlands of £19,951 per hectare of development based on a central estimate but there are significant increases in costs to £69,522 per hectare for off-		
			site delivery under Scenario C. These costs are not included in the Council's viability assessment. There may also be an impact on gross / net site acreage ratio.		
			The Government will make provision in the Environment Bill to set a transition period of two years. The Government will work with stakeholders on the specifics of this transition period, including accounting for sites with outline planning permission, and will provide clear and timely guidance on understanding what will be required and when.		
Representation reference:	Refers to: Policy 29	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: By the time the Local Plan Part 2 becomes adopted, the	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 29 does not
229/1/26 Name:	, 25	Plan is not legally compliant:	Environmental Bill will be made.		state at what stage in the planning process an applicant

	with duty to			
	with duty to	impending Environmental Bill, which makes provision for		a net gain in
	cooperate	biodiversity gain to be a condition of a planning permission		bioidiversity, only
		(planning permission taken in the sense of s.57 of the Town		that all major
	Plan is unsound:	and Country Planning Act). It would, therefore, be questionable		development
	- not positively	as to whether the information required in this policy is		proposals will need
	prepared	necessary at application stage. There is the ability in the		to offset the loss
	- not justified	Environmental Bill for the Secretary of State to make		and secure a net
	- not effective	arrangements in legislation for the Applicant to purchase a		gain in biodiversity.
	- not consistent	credit from the SOS for the purpose of meeting the biodiversity		As the Environment
	with national policy	gain.		Bill progresses and
				further guidelines
		Will NBC publish a Bio-diversity Land Register to which bio-		are produced NBC
		diversity offsetting can occur?		will make decisions
				on Biodiversity Land
				Registers at that
				stage.
				No modification
				required.
Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
Policy 29	and soundness:	The Bill also refers to a local habitat map s.93(i) which will	Modification	NBC has an adopted
	Plan is not legally	cover the whole strategy area or two or more local habitat	The policy needs to	Biodiversity SPD for
	compliant:	maps. Has there been any cross boundary work on identifying	incorporate the	Northamptonshire
	 not compliant 	what area the maps will cover?	principles of the	and Habitat
	with duty to	Presumably there will be a Bio-diversity SPD to accompany the	Environmental Bill	Opportunity maps
	cooperate	Local Plan.	and refer to the	that link across
		The policy is not consistent with the impending legislation and	ability of bio-	boundaries.
	Plan is unsound:	no commentary has been provided which refers to cross	diversity offsetting	The LPP2 reflects
	 not positively 	boundary working and co-operation with neighbouring	and for the	the provisions of the
	prepared	authorities with regard to bio-diversity.	Applicant to	Environment Bill.
	- not justified		purchase credits for	No modification
	- not effective		the purposes of	required.
	- not consistent		meeting bio-	
	with national policy		diversity gain.	
		 not positively prepared not justified not effective not consistent with national policy Refers to: Policy 29 Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: not positively prepared not justified not gestively prepared not justified not effective not consistent 	Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not consistent with national policyand Country Planning Act). It would, therefore, be questionable as to whether the information required in this policy is necessary at application stage. There is the ability in the Environmental Bill for the Secretary of State to make arrangements in legislation for the Applicant to purchase a credit from the SOS for the purpose of meeting the biodiversity gain.Refers to: Policy 29Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to coperateComments: The Bill also refers to a local habitat map s.93(i) which will cover the whole strategy area or two or more local habitat maps. Has there been any cross boundary work on identifying what area the maps will cover? Presumably there will be a Bio-diversity SPD to accompany the Local Plan. 	Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not consistent with national policyand Country Planning Act). It would, therefore, be questionable as to whether the information required in this policy is necessary at application stage. There is the ability in the Environmental Bill for the Secretary of State to make arrangements in legislation for the Applicant to purchase a credit from the SOS for the purpose of meeting the biodiversity gain.Suggested changes: Modification The policy 29Refers to: Policy 29Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperateComments: The Bill also refers to a local habitat map s.93(i) which will cover the whole strategy area or two or more local habitat maps. Has there been any cross boundary work on identifying what area the maps will cover? Presumably there will be a Bio-diversity SPD to accompany the Local Plan. The policy is not consistent with the impending legislation and no commetary has been provided which refers to cross boundary working and co-operation with neighbouring authorities with regard to bio-diversity.Suggested changes: Modification The policy needs to incorporate the principles of the Environmental Bill and refer to the ability of bio- diversity offsetting and for the Applicant to purchase credits for the purposes of meeting bio-

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 246/1/3	Policy 29	and soundness:	Policy 29 wording requires revision and strengthening. Point 1	We would	It is agreed that the
		Plan is not legally	and 2 within the policy are confusing.	therefore	following changes
Name:		compliant:		recommend that	should be made to
Natural England		- reason not	Whilst we welcome the inclusion of biodiversity net gain, for	the mitigation	Policy 29 to include
		specified	clarity we recommend a separate point within the policy	hierarchy is	the following:
			addressing biodiversity net gain.	detailed within this	The Council will
		Plan is unsound:	Please note that biodiversity net gain compliments the	policy, please refer	require all major
		- not effective	mitigation hierarchy, it does not replace it.	to paragraph 175 of	development
		- not consistent	We would also recommend a reference to using the DEFRA	the NPPF.	proposals to avoid,
		with national policy	biodiversity metric.		adequately mitigate,
			It is important that the policy clearly distinguishes the		or, as a last resort,
			mitigation hierarchy from any biodiversity net gain		compensate
			requirements. This will help to set a transparent and auditable		biodiversity impact
			context within which developers can both assess their impacts		and secure a net
			and provide net gain.		gain in biodiversity
					through the
					strengthening,
					management and /
					or creation of new
					habitats.
					Biodiversity net gain
					should be measured
					through the use of a
					recognised
					biodiversity
					calculator such as
D					the Defra metric.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 246/1/4	Policy 29	and soundness:	We also suggest the policy directs developers to the	We also suggest the	Policy 29 has been
Nama		Plan is not legally	Northamptonshire Biodiversity SPD.	policy directs	amended to include
Name:		compliant:		developers to the	reference to the
Natural England		- reason not		Northamptonshire	Northamptonshire
		specified		Biodiversity SPD.	Boiodiversity SPD.
		Plan is unsound:			

Representation reference: 251/1/22Refers to: Policy 29 and soundness: Policy 29Legal compliance Policy 29(1) proposed the following requirement: The Council will require all major development proposals to offset the loss and secure a net gain in biodiversity through the strengthening, management and / or creation of new habitats. DuncanSuggested changes: Biodiversity Metric.Officer comment It is agreed that reference to the Biodiversity Metric.Name: DuncanPlan is unsound: - not justifiedThis should be measured through the use of a recognised biodiversity calculator. Proposals will be expected to - not effectiveModify Policy 29 accordingly.Modify Policy 29 accordingly.Rd- not consistenta development site, and to contribute to the consolidation and a development site, and to contribute to the consolidation and- not consistent- not consistent	Representation reference: 246/1/5 Name: Natural England	Refers to: Policy 29	 not effective not consistent with national policy Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: reason not specified Plan is unsound: not effective not consistent with national policy 	Comments: The policy does not reflect the wording referred to within the Habitat Regulations Assessment Report, June 2020, "Development, whether individually or in combination, having an adverse effect on the integrity of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits will be refused" This wording would be welcomed within Policy 29 and Policy 30.	Suggested changes: The policy does not reflect the wording referred to within the Habitat Regulations Assessment Report, June 2020, "Development, whether individually or in combination, having an adverse effect on the integrity of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits will be	Officer comments: The following wording in brackets [] has been removed from Policy 29. All applicants are expected to assess the impacts of their proposals on biodiversity, including indirect impacts such as recreational activities, [the cumulative impact of developments] and any potential
reference:Policy 29and soundness:Policy 29(1) proposed the following requirement:Make reference to251/1/22Plan is legally compliant.'The Council will require all major development proposals to offset the loss and secure a net gain in biodiversity through the strengthening, management and / or creation of new habitats.Make reference to the DEFRAIt is agreed that reference to the Biodiversity Metric.Name:Plan is unsound:This should be measured through the use of a recognisedBiodiversity Metric.Biodiversity Metric.Biodiversity Metric.DuncanPlan is unsound:This should be measured through the use of a recognisedModify Policy 29Investments Ltd not justifiedbiodiversity calculator. Proposals will be expected toModify Policy 29Site E of Towcester- not consistenta development site, and to contribute to the consolidation andA					welcomed within Policy 29 and Policy	effects on the functionally linked land.
251/1/22Plan is legally compliant.'The Council will require all major development proposals to offset the loss and secure a net gain in biodiversity through the strengthening, management and / or creation of new habitats.the DEFRA Biodiversity Metric.reference to the Biodiversity Metric.Name: DuncanPlan is unsound: - not justified Site E of TowcesterPlan is unsound: - not consistentThis should be measured through the use of a recognised biodiversity calculator. Proposals will be expected to incorporate measures to enhance biodiversity within or around a development site, and to contribute to the consolidation andthe DEFRA Biodiversity Metric.reference to the Biodiversity Metric.	•					Officer comments:
Name:compliant.offset the loss and secure a net gain in biodiversity through the strengthening, management and / or creation of new habitats.Biodiversity Metric.Biodiversity Metric.DuncanPlan is unsound:This should be measured through the use of a recognised biodiversity calculator. Proposals will be expected to site E of TowcesterPlan is unsound:This should be measures to enhance biodiversity within or around a development site, and to contribute to the consolidation andBiodiversity Metric.Biodiversity Metric.		Policy 29				-
Name:Image: Strengthening, management and / or creation of new habitats.is acceptable.DuncanPlan is unsound:This should be measured through the use of a recognisedModify Policy 29Investments Ltd not justifiedbiodiversity calculator. Proposals will be expected toaccordingly.Site E of Towcester- not effectiveincorporate measures to enhance biodiversity within or arounda development site, and to contribute to the consolidation andis acceptable.	251/1/22					
DuncanPlan is unsound:This should be measured through the use of a recognisedModify Policy 29Investments Ltd not justifiedbiodiversity calculator. Proposals will be expected toaccordingly.Site E of Towcester- not effectiveincorporate measures to enhance biodiversity within or arounda development site, and to contribute to the consolidation andModify Policy 29	•		compliant.		Biodiversity Metric.	
Investments Ltd not justifiedbiodiversity calculator. Proposals will be expected to incorporate measures to enhance biodiversity within or around a development site, and to contribute to the consolidation andaccordingly.			Dian in une e constru			•
Site E of Towcester- not effectiveincorporate measures to enhance biodiversity within or aroundRd- not consistenta development site, and to contribute to the consolidation and						
Rd - not consistent a development site, and to contribute to the consolidation and						accordingly.
with national policy	Rd			a development site, and to contribute to the consolidation and		

			development of local ecological networks, including beyond the borough's boundary.' NPPF Paragraph 16 states that policies should be 'clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals'. The Council's policy approach should reflect the Government's proposals on biodiversity gain as set out the Environment Blil which proposes to utilise the DEFRA Biodiversity Metric to measure changes to biodiversity under net gain requirements. Such a mandatory requirement offers applicants a level playing field nationally and reduces the risk of unexpected cost and delay.		
Representation reference: 185/1/3 Name: Wildlife Trust for Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire & Northamptonshire	Refers to: para. 10.16	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: This section of the Local Plan Part 2 highlights the importance of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protected Area (SPA) as well as the existing and potential threats to it which could occur as a result of the Plan. It has been much improved from the previous version. A key part of the measures which are required to protect the SPA is the production and subsequent adoption of a mitigation strategy. Paragraph 10.17 (along with the Habitats Regulations Assessment Report) states that this will be produced within 12 months of the adoption of the Local Plan Part 2 with the broad principles and a draft agreed with Natural England before adoption. Whilst we are satisfied with this approach; we would like to stress that we do not consider the Local Plan Part 2 to be sound without the mitigation strategy in place. None of the allocations within 3km of the SPA should come forward until it is adopted. The mitigation strategy is a key document and we would urge that it is completed as soon as possible.	Suggested changes: The mitigation strategy is a key document and we would urge that it is completed as soon as possible.	Officer comments: The timetable for the production and adoption of the Mitigation Strategy for the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits has been agreed with Natural England. No modification required.
Representation reference: 185/1/4	Refers to: para. 10.17	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: This section of the Local Plan Part 2 highlights the importance of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protected Area	Suggested changes: The mitigation strategy is a key	Officer comments: The timetable for the production and

Name: Wildlife Trust for Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire & Northamptonshire		Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	(SPA) as well as the existing and potential threats to it which could occur as a result of the Plan. It has been much improved from the previous version. A key part of the measures which are required to protect the SPA is the production and subsequent adoption of a mitigation strategy. Paragraph 10.17 (along with the Habitats Regulations Assessment Report) states that this will be produced within 12 months of the adoption of the Local Plan Part 2 with the broad principles and a draft agreed with Natural England before adoption. Whilst we are satisfied with this approach; we would like to stress that we do not consider the Local Plan Part 2 to be sound without the mitigation strategy in place. None of the allocations within 3km	document and we would urge that it is completed as soon as possible.	adoption of the Mitigation Strategy for the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits has been agreed with Natural England. No modification required.
Representation reference: 185/1/5 Name: Wildlife Trust for Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire & Northamptonshire	Refers to: para. 10.18	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	of the SPA should come forward until it is adopted. Comments: This section of the Local Plan Part 2 highlights the importance of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protected Area (SPA) as well as the existing and potential threats to it which could occur as a result of the Plan. It has been much improved from the previous version. A key part of the measures which are required to protect the SPA is the production and subsequent adoption of a mitigation strategy. Paragraph 10.17 (along with the Habitats Regulations Assessment Report) states that this will be produced within 12 months of the adoption of the Local Plan Part 2 with the broad principles and a draft agreed with Natural England before adoption. Whilst we are satisfied with this approach; we would like to stress that we do not consider the Local Plan Part 2 to be sound without the mitigation strategy in place. None of the allocations within 3km of the SPA should come forward until it is adopted.	Suggested changes: The mitigation strategy is a key document and we would urge that it is completed as soon as possible.	Officer comments: The timetable for the production and adoption of the Mitigation Strategy for the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits has been agreed with Natural England. No modification required.
Representation reference: 185/1/7	Refers to: para. 10.20	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally	Comments: This section of the Local Plan Part 2 highlights the importance of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protected Area	Suggested changes: The mitigation strategy is a key	Officer comments: The timetable for the production and
Name:	10.20	compliant.	(SPA) as well as the existing and potential threats to it which could occur as a result of the Plan. It has been much improved	document and we would urge that it is	adoption of the Mitigation Strategy

Wildlife Trust for Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire & Northamptonshire		Plan is sound.	from the previous version. A key part of the measures which are required to protect the SPA is the production and subsequent adoption of a mitigation strategy. Paragraph 10.17 (along with the Habitats Regulations Assessment Report) states that this will be produced within 12 months of the adoption of the Local Plan Part 2 with the broad principles and a draft agreed with Natural England before adoption. Whilst we are satisfied with this approach; we would like to stress that we do not consider the Local Plan Part 2 to be sound without the mitigation strategy in place. None of the allocations within 3km of the SPA should come forward until it is adopted.	completed as soon as possible.	for the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits has been agreed with Natural England. No modification required.
Representation reference: 185/1/8 Name: Wildlife Trust for Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire & Northamptonshire	Refers to: para. 10.21	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: This section of the Local Plan Part 2 highlights the importance of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protected Area (SPA) as well as the existing and potential threats to it which could occur as a result of the Plan. It has been much improved from the previous version. A key part of the measures which are required to protect the SPA is the production and subsequent adoption of a mitigation strategy. Paragraph 10.17 (along with the Habitats Regulations Assessment Report) states that this will be produced within 12 months of the adoption of the Local Plan Part 2 with the broad principles and a draft agreed with Natural England before adoption. Whilst we are satisfied with this approach; we would like to stress that we do not consider the Local Plan Part 2 to be sound without the mitigation strategy in place. None of the allocations within 3km of the SPA should come forward until it is adopted.	Suggested changes: The mitigation strategy is a key document and we would urge that it is completed as soon as possible.	Officer comments: The timetable for the production and adoption of the Mitigation Strategy for the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits has been agreed with Natural England. No modification required.
Representation reference: 30/1/6 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 30	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not in accordance with SCI	Comments: The commitment to prepare a mitigation strategy for the SPA is included in the supporting text only (paragraph 10.17): this should be included in the actual policy text.	Suggested changes: The commitment to prepare a mitigation strategy for the SPA is included in the supporting text	Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification would confirm the Council's commitment to the

		Plan is unsound:		only (paragraph	mitigation strategy.
		- not justified		10.17): this should	Amend Policy 30 to
		- not consistent		be included in the	include:
		with national policy		actual policy text.	The Local Planning
					Authority or
					successor authority
					will prepare a
					Mitigation Strategy
					document
					concerning the
					Upper Nene Valley
					Gravel Pits Special
					Protection Area with
					a view to its
					subsequent
					adoption as an
					Addendum to the
					Upper Nene Valley
					Gravel Pits Special
					Protection Area
					Supplementary
					Planning Document
					within 12 months of
					the adoption of the
					Part 2 Plan.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 30/1/7	Policy 30	and soundness:	I question the inclusion of pet predation in the second	None.	It is agreed that a
		Plan is not legally	paragraph of this policy. As stated in the updated HRA report,		minor modification,
Name:		compliant:	pet predation is a separate issue from the off-lead dogs which		removing the
Northamptonshire		- not in accordance	are thought to be the primary source of disturbance to the		reference to ped
County Council		with SCI	site's birds. Pet predation is more likely a problem for nesting		predation, will
			birds than it is for overwintering birds. As the SPA was		clarify the policy.
		Plan is unsound:	classified for overwintering birds I am not convinced that		Amend Policy 30 to
		- not justified	references to pet predation belong in this policy. However I will		remove wording in
		- not consistent	of course defer to Natural England's judgement on this matter.		[]:
		with national policy			
		,			

Representation reference: 30/1/8 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 30	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not in accordance with SCI Plan is unsound: - not justified - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The list of possible significant effects in the third paragraph is not policy and in my view does not belong here; I would suggest moving it to the supporting text.	Suggested changes: The list of possible significant effects in the third paragraph is not policy and in my view does not belong here; I would suggest moving it to the supporting text.	Applications comprising a net gain in residential units within 3 km of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits SPA and Ramsar site will need to demonstrate that the impact of any increased recreational activity [or pet predation] (indirect or direct) on the SPA and Ramsar site will not have a detrimental impact. Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification to remove the wording in [] in Policy 30 and place in supporting text would help clarify the policy: Proposals for major developments within close proximity of the SPA will need to demonstrate through the development management
--	-------------------------	---	---	--	--

		1
		process that there
		will be no adverse
		effects on the
		integrity of the
		Special Protection
		Area and the species
		for which the land is
		designated.
		[Significant effects
		could include the
		loss or
		fragmentation of
		supporting habitat,
		non-physical
		disturbance (noise,
		vibration or light),
		and impacts due to
		water runoff, water
		abstraction or
		discharges from the
		foul drainage
		system either as a
		direct result of the
		development alone
		or in combination].
		Applicants should
		Applicants should refer to Table 2 of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protection Area Supplementary Planning Document for guidance on when to consult with Natural England.

Representation reference: 30/1/13 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 30	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not in accordance with SCI Plan is unsound: - not justified - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Overall while I believe the biodiversity policies have been improved they do appear to have been developed with limited ecological/natural environment input; they read as if they were written by non-specialists. It is crucial that these policies – and all policies in the local plan – are able to be used and delivered by officers. The wording must be clear and precise, and in my view Policies 29 and 30 in particular are not quite there yet.	Suggested changes: Noted.	Officer comments: The formulation of policies within the Local Plan have been formulated in partnership with neighbouring authorities, statutory bodies, comments from the general public and from the Council's evidence base.
Representation reference: 53/1/9 Name: Anglian Water Services Limited	Refers to: Policy 30	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Policy 30 Upper Nene Valley Pits Special Protection Area - OBJECT (in part) - EFFECTIVE We note that Policy 30 has been amended to require major development proposals demonstrating that there would be no significant adverse effects on Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protection Area and Ramsar site as a result of development proposals or cumulatively including from (additional) water abstraction and discharge from the foul drainage system. Anglian Water is supportive of the objective of the request for the modification to protecting the water environment particularly in relation to Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protection area.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 53/1/10 Name: Anglian Water Services Limited	Refers to: Policy 30	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Water abstraction: we consider the reference to water abstraction is ineffective and unnecessary as water abstractions for public water supply are licensed by the Environment Agency and form part of the Water Resource Management Plan process rather than the determination of individual planning applications.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

	1
Anglian Water is the water undertaker for Northampton	
Borough administrative area and is responsible for preparing as	
part of a statutory process a Water Resources Management	
plan (WRMP) at least once every 5 years and reviewed	
continuously which outlines how we will manage the	
supply/demand balance so that we can continue to serve our	
customers now and in the future in respect of population	
growth, climate change and environmental protection. Anglian	
Water's current WRMP 2019 covers the period 2020 to 2045	
and has been approved by Defra.	
The WRMP is supported by a Habitats Regulation Assessment	
report and where necessary an Appropriate Assessment and is	
available to view at the following address:	
https://www.anglianwater.co.uk/about-us/our-strategies-and-	
plans/water-resources-management-plan/	
Water resources are managed on a larger geographical scale	
than Councils and individual development proposals. For	
example the Ruthamford North Water Resource Zone (WRZ)	
includes Northampton Borough together with the supply	
systems for Peterborough, Wellingborough, Corby, Daventry	
and Kettering.	
The Environment Agency issues licenses to Anglian Water for	
the abstractions from both groundwater and surface water	
sources used for public water supply. As such the Environment	
Agency is the decision maker in respect of any changes to	
abstraction licenses in accordance with separate legislation	
(environmental permitting regulations) and directs Anglian	
Water where it is necessary to limit abstractions to protect	
water environment.	
Anglian Water is working closely with the Environment Agency	
(and Natural England) to ensure that our abstractions are	
sustainable. Abstraction in all areas where there is any risk of	
environmental deterioration will be capped at recent	
abstraction rates, and hence abstraction will not increase. This	
includes the Northampton Borough area.	

			Water resources are sourced from across Anglian Water company area and water is conveyed between different areas by existing infrastructure. It is proposed that we will build on existing infrastructure by developing a more integrated strategic grid so that we can continue to serve our customers as part of the WRMP proposals. As part of which there will be a new potable water transfer is proposed from Lincolnshire to Ruthamford North WRZ. Anglian Water is also actively working with Water Resource East a partnership which brings together a range of organisations with an interest in water, energy, retail environment, land management and agriculture to develop a long-term, multi-sector water resource strategy for the East of England.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 53/1/11	Policy 30	and soundness:	There is a robust regulatory framework in place to ensure that	It is therefore	It is agreed that the
		Plan is legally	abstractions are effectively managed. New development, or	proposed that	proposed
Name:		compliant.	rather population change, is one of many drivers for water	Policy 30 is	modification will
Anglian Water			resource management.	amended as follows	clarify the policy. It
Services Limited		Plan is unsound:	The Council's HRA report also concludes that there will be no	- remove wording	is recommended
		- not justified	adverse effects on the integrity of Upper Nene Valley Gravel	in brackets:	that Policy 30 be
		- not effective	Pits SPA and Ramsar site, as a result of changes to water supply	'Proposals for	modified as follows -
			and water level management either alone or in combination	major	remove wording in
			with other plans or projects (para 5.137 of the report).	developments	brackets [] and
			We also have concerns about requiring major development	within close	include wording in
			proposals located within close proximity to Upper Nene Valley	proximity of the	{}.
			SPA in relation to foul drainage.	SPA will need to	'Proposals for major
			All new development proposals in Northampton Borough	demonstrate	developments
			would be expected to be drained to Northampton (Great	through the	within close
			Billing) Water Recycling Centre and the related discharge point	development	proximity of the SPA
			for this site is managed by Anglian Water in accordance with	management	will need to
			the permit issued by the Environment Agency.	process that there	demonstrate
			Policy BN7A of the adopted West Northamptonshire Core	will be no adverse	through the
			Strategy states 'that new development proposals will ensure	effects on the	development
			that adequate and appropriatewastewater infrastructure is	integrity of the	management

available to meet the additional requirements placed upon it	Special Protection	process that there
and ensure that water quality is protected, and as far as	Area and the	will be no adverse
practicable, improved.' As the Development Plan will be read	species for which	effects on the
as a whole there is an existing local plan policy that requires	the land is	integrity of the
developers to demonstrate that there is adequate capacity	designated.	Special Protection
available to ensure water quality is protected.	Significant effects	Area and the species
	could include the	for which the land is
	loss or	designated.
	fragmentation of	Significant effects
	supporting habitat,	could include the
	non-physical	loss or
	disturbance (noise,	fragmentation of
	vibration or light),	supporting habitat,
	and impacts due to	non-physical
	water runoff,	disturbance (noise,
	(water abstraction	vibration or light),
	or discharges from	and impacts due to
	the foul drainage	water runoff, [water
	system) either as a	abstraction or
	direct result of the	discharges from the
	development alone	foul drainage
	or in combination.	system] either as a
	Applicants should	direct result of the
	refer to Table 2 of	development alone
	the Upper Nene	or in combination.
	Valley Gravel Pits	{Major
	Special Protection	developments will
	Area	also be required to
	Supplementary	demonstrate that
	Planning Document	there is sufficient
	for guidance on	capacity at the
	when to consult	receiving Water
	with Natural	Recycling Centre to
	England.	ensure water quality
	_	is protected
	INCLUDE:	consistent with the

Representation reference: 185/1/9 Name: Wildlife Trust for Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire & Northamptonshire	Refers to: Policy 30	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: This section of the Local Plan Part 2 highlights the importance of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protected Area (SPA) as well as the existing and potential threats to it which could occur as a result of the Plan. It has been much improved from the previous version. A key part of the measures which are required to protect the SPA is the production and subsequent adoption of a mitigation strategy. Paragraph 10.17 (along with the Habitats Regulations Assessment Report) states that this will be produced within 12 months of the adoption of the Local Plan Part 2 with the broad principles and a draft agreed with Natural England before adoption. Whilst we are satisfied with this approach; we would like to stress that we do not consider the Local Plan Part 2 to be sound without the mitigation strategy in place. None of the allocations within 3km of the SPA should come forward until it is adopted.	Major developments will also be required to demonstrate that there is sufficent capacity at the receiving Water Recycling Centre to ensure water quality is protected consistent with the requirements of the Habitats Directive. Suggested changes: The mitigation strategy is a key document and we would urge that it is completed as soon as possible.	requirements of the Habitats Directive.} Applicants should refer to Table 2 of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protection Area Supplementary Planning Document for guidance on when to consult with Natural England. Officer comments: The timetable for the production and adoption of the Mitigation Strategy for the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits has been agreed with Natural England. No modification required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 30	and soundness:	Duncan Investments Ltd would like to take this opportunity to	None specified.	Policy 30 of the
239/2/12		Plan is legally	note that the implications of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits		LPP2 relates to the
		compliant.	SPA on the Houghton Gate site are currently being assessed by		Upper Nene Valley
Name:			the site promoters in consultation with Natural England but		Gravel Pits SPA and

Duncan		Plan is unsound:	there is no in principle objection to the development of the site		Ramsar site and sets
Investments Ltd -		- not positively	subject to agreement on development scale and mitigation.		out how proposals
Houghton Gate		prepared	Furthermore, migrating bird surveys carried out over the		will need to
-		- not justified	winter of 2018/2019 show that the site does not constitute		demonstrate no
		- not effective	supporting habitat.		adverse impact on
		- not consistent			the SPA. In
		with national policy	As the northern part of the site falls within the 250m SPA		particular, new
			Buffer Zone, Natural England has advised that building heights		development within
			in this northern area would be restricted and therefore this		a 250m zone of the
			area will be the focus of open storage uses, site infrastructure,		SPA must undertake
			landscaping, drainage and biodiversity enhancement measures.		an assessment to
					demonstrate that it
					will not have a
					significant adverse
					effect on birds
					within the area or, if
					directly adjacent to
					existing buildings,
					should reflect
					building heights.
					NBC requests to see
					any assessment(s)
					undertaken so far
					that have been
					shared with Natural
					England including
					overwintering bird
					surveys.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 30	and soundness:	4.5 The explanatory text to Policy 30 notes that new housing	For the above	The site was
239/2/13		Plan is legally	within 3km of the SPA has increased recreational pressure,	reasons, it is	assessed as not
		compliant.	contributing to disturbance and decline in bird species which	considered that the	being suitable for
Name:			form the SPA qualifying features. As such, there is a need to	Houghton Gate site	allocation. However,
Duncan		Plan is unsound:	ensure that increased recreational pressure on the SPA	can be delivered in	if a proposal comes
Investments Ltd -		- not positively	resulting from housing growth is addressed [para 10.18]. The	accordance with	forward, this will be
Houghton Gate		prepared	allocation of the Houghton Gate site for employment	the requirements	determined through

		 not justified not effective not consistent with national policy 	development will help to assist in addressing this recreational pressure by reducing opportunities for residential development in close proximity to the site.	of Policy 30. A full assessment of the impacts of any scheme on the site and appropriate mitigation would be undertaken and submitted with a planning application.	the normal dveelopment management process to assess if it conforms to the relevant policies in the development plan.
Representation reference: 244/1/25 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Policy 30	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: The protection of the SPA is of fundamental importance and is supported by Bastion. It is important however, that this policy recognises that developments should not cause a detrimental impact, including taking account of the provision of appropriate mitigation. It will be necessary for developments with the 3km distance of the SPA to demonstrate that they do not have a detrimental impact under this policy, however, it is acknowledged that this may require securing appropriate mitigation. It is therefore important that the impact on the SPA is assessed as a residual impact, taking account potential mitigation. This should be clarified in the wording of the policy.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Any proposal that comes forward which could potentially affect the SPA will need to demonstrate comformity to Policy 30 of the Plan. No modification required.
Representation reference: 246/1/6 Name: Natural England	Refers to: Policy 30	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The policy does not reflect the wording referred to within the Habitat Regulations Assessment Report, June 2020, "Development, whether individually or in combination, having an adverse effect on the integrity of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits will be refused" This wording would be welcomed within Policy 29 and Policy 30.	Suggested changes: The policy does not reflect the wording referred to within the Habitat Regulations Assessment Report, June 2020, "Development, whether individually or in combination, having an adverse	Officer comments: Agreed. Policy 30 has been modified to include the wording in brackets (): Proposals must ensure that there is no adverse impact either alone (or in combination) on the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits SPA and Ramsar site.

Representation reference: 246/1/7 Name: Natural England	Refers to: Policy 30	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The policy has not included a commitment to produce a mitigation strategy concerning the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits SPA. As stated within our previous advice, the mitigation strategy must be agreed prior to the adoption of the plan to satisfy Habitat Regulations requirements.	effect on the integrity of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits will be refused" This wording would be welcomed within Policy 29 and Policy 30. Suggested changes: This should be written within the policy.	Officer comments: Agreed. Suggested inclusion at the end of Policy 30: The Local Planning Authority or successor authority will prepare a Mitigation Strategy document concerning the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protection Area with a view to its subsequent adoption as an Addendum to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protection Area Supplementary Planning Document within 12 months of
					within 12 months of the adoption of the Part 2 Plan.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 246/1/8	Policy 30	and soundness:	The policy states "major development within close proximity	The policy states	Agreed. Suggested
	-	Plan is not legally	of the SPA" All projects and plans within close proximity of	"major	addition to Policy 30
Name:		compliant:	the SPA, are required to demonstrate no significant effect on	development	in brackets() and
Natural England		- reason not	the SPA, in accordance with the legal requirements of the	within close	removal of wording
		specified	Habitats Regulations.	proximity of the	in brackets []:
			The policy does not reference the Habitat Regulations or the	SPA" All projects	Proposals for (all)
		Plan is unsound:	HRA process. There is also no reference to Upper Nene Valley	and plans within	[major]
		- not effective	Gravel Pits SPD.	close proximity of	developments
		- not consistent		the SPA, are	within close
		with national policy		required to	proximity of the SPA
				demonstrate no	will need to
				significant effect on	demonstrate
				the SPA, in	through the
				accordance with	development
				the legal	management
				requirements of	process that there
				the Habitats	will be no adverse
				Regulations.	effects on the
				The policy does not	integrity of the
				reference the	Special Protection
				Habitat Regulations	Area and the species
				or the HRA process.	for which the land is
				There is also no	designated (in
				reference to Upper	accordance with the
				Nene Valley Gravel	Habitats Regulations
				Pits SPD.	and the Upper Nene
					Valley Gravel Pits
					Supplementary
					Planning
					Document).
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 30	and soundness:	At times the policy introduces additional unnecessary wording,	At times the policy	It is seeking to
246/1/10		Plan is not legally	such as in the third paragraph 'and the species for which the	introduces	protect the SPA and
		compliant:	land is designated' where the paragraph has already included	additional	its associated
Name:				unnecessary	protected species.

Natural England		 reason not specified Plan is unsound: not effective not consistent with national policy 	the test of adverse effects on site integrity (it is not clear what that wording is seeking to achieve).	wording, such as in the third paragraph 'and the species for which the land is designated' where the paragraph has already included the test of adverse effects on site integrity (it is not clear what that wording is seeking	No modification required.
Representation reference: 246/1/11 Name: Natural England	Refers to: Policy 30	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Also we assume that reference to 'close proximity' is meant to mean 'within 3km'.	to achieve). Suggested changes: Also we assume that reference to 'close proximity' is meant to mean 'within 3km'.	Officer comments: It is agreed that the policy should be modified to clarify the Council's position. Modify Policy 30 to state that close proximity means that it should be within 3 km.
Representation reference: 246/1/12 Name: Natural England	Refers to: Policy 30	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Finally, the policy uses the term 'supporting habitat' where in our view the term 'functionally linked land' would be more appropriate to refer to land outside the SPA boundary which supports SPA notified species (such as lapwing and golden plover).	Suggested changes: Finally, the policy uses the term 'supporting habitat' where in our view the term 'functionally linked land' would be more appropriate to refer to land outside the SPA	Officer comments: Agree: Change wording in Policy 30 from 'supporting habitat' to 'functionally linked land'.

				boundary which	
				supports SPA	
				notified species	
				(such as lapwing	
				and golden plover).	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 30	and soundness:	Natural England would like to remind your Authority that	Natural England	The issue of SANG
246/1/15		Plan is not legally	mitigation measures must offer certainty that they will be	would like to	can be addressed in
		compliant:	successful, and that they are in place and created ahead of the	remind your	the Mitigation
Name:		- reason not	anticipated adverse effect arising. This will ensure that there is	Authority that	Strategy.
Natural England		specified	no temporal shortfall in habitat availability.	mitigation	
-				measures must	
		Plan is unsound:	Without the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits SPA mitigation	offer certainty that	
		- not effective	strategy in place to address recreational pressure by the time	they will be	
		- not consistent	of Plan adoption, associated with the lack of detail regarding	successful, and that	
		with national policy	the provision of a SANG, Natural England currently is not	they are in place	
			confident that mitigation measures will be able to address	and created ahead	
			recreational pressure impacts to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel	of the anticipated	
			Pits SPA.	adverse effect	
				arising. This will	
			Due to the number of houses proposed and the proximity to	ensure that there is	
			the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits SPA a Suitable Area Natural	no temporal	
			Greenspace (SANG), will be required to provide an area for	shortfall in habitat	
			residents to use and in particular provide for dog walkers to	availability.	
			include provision for a substantial "off-lead" area and a	,	
			sufficient circular route (approx.3km distance, Footprint	Without the Upper	
			Ecology SPA Visitor Survey). Further information regarding	Nene Valley Gravel	
			SANGS can be provided if necessary, but the Council should	Pits SPA mitigation	
			take its steer from the Thames Basin Heaths SPA SANG	strategy in place to	
			approach which establishes key principles.	address	
				recreational	
				pressure by the	
				time of Plan	
				adoption,	
				associated with the	
				lack of detail	

	regarding the	
	provision of a	
	SANG, Natural	
	England currently is	
	not confident that	
	mitigation	
	measures will be	
	able to address	
	recreational	
	pressure impacts to	
	the Upper Nene	
	Valley Gravel Pits	
	SPA.	
	Due to the number	
	of houses proposed	
	and the proximity	
	to the Upper Nene	
	Valley Gravel Pits	
	SPA a Suitable Area	
	Natural Greenspace	
	(SANG), will be	
	required to provide	
	an area for	
	residents to use	
	and in particular	
	provide for dog	
	walkers to include	
	provision for a	
	substantial "off-	
	lead" area and a	
	sufficient circular	
	route (approx.3km	
	distance, Footprint	
	Ecology SPA Visitor	
	Survey). Further	

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	information regarding SANGS can be provided if necessary, but the Council should take its steer from the Thames Basin Heaths SPA SANG approach which establishes key principles. Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 246/1/16 Name: Natural England	Policy 30	and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	The policy wording quoted within the HRA is not reiterated within the Northampton Borough Council 2011-2029 Proposed Submission – Round 2, June 2020 document. The HRA concludes no adverse effects on the integrity of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits SPA, however Natural England does not support this conclusion at this stage.	Noted.	To mitigate potential effects on the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits SPA and Ramsar site, the policy wording within the HRA at para 5.88 is contained within Policy 30 of the LPP2. No modification required.
Representation reference: 75/1/10 Name: Town Centre Conservation Area Advisory Committee	Refers to: Policy 31	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: In our experience, many applications do not recognise and promote the characteristic vernacular and features of conservation areas, which create and maintain the character which the designation seeks to protect. Therefore, add a new bullet point "Conforms to design codes and distinctive features identified for conservation areas, e.g. in each Conservation Area appraisal".	Suggested changes: - Add "views" to the important aspects listed under the fourth bullet point.	Officer comments: Policy 31 of the LPP2 seeks to protect and enhance the designated and non- designated heritage assets, including understanding their settings, within Northampton.

Representation reference: 240/1/3 Name: Spring Boroughs Neighbourhood Voice	Refers to: Policy 31 and general	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: With reference to the Castle House site. We note this is not referenced in the Local Plan. We would like it to be, with reference to the Spring Boroughs Neighbourhood plan. We have a vision that this area is opened up in such a way as to integrate the heritage sites surrounding it, while incorporating much needed housing. The housing we envision would be in keeping with the rest of Spring Boroughs, that is social housing, possibly for older residents as a move on, thus freeing up larger family homes for the next generation.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Northampton Borough Council has Conservation Area Appraisals and Management Plans to guide development within each respective CA. Policy BN5 of the West Northamptonshire joint Core Strategy also requires developments to contribute to the character of the area, including CA's. No modification required. Officer comments: The Local Plan Part 2 refers to neighbourhood plans. Any proposal that comes forward will need to comply with all the policies contained in the relevant development plans
			family homes for the next generation.		
					related policies in all development plans.

					It is not therefore considered necessary to include a rederence to the Castle House site.
Representation reference: 68/1/12 Name: Highways England	Refers to: para. 11.3	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: In relation to further transport infrastructure improvements the plan states that the Council will work together with Highways England and other relevant bodies to design and fund improvements to transport infrastructure where these are necessary to support growth or to improve existing centres, employment areas and community facilities. We welcome this approach and will engage with the Council on developments which impact the SRN.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 248/1/8 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: para. 11.4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: 11.4 Clearly the county level transportation plan (2012) is out of date and does not reflect current sustainability objectives. The borough should ensure new West Northamptonshire unitary council prioritises review of the transport plan to include current sustainability targets, once the vital work of deciding the colour of the new authority's logo is complete.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 230/1/4 Name: Moulton Parish Council	Refers to: para. 11.5	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not effective	Comments: No mention of Northern Orbital Route. This should be cross- referenced to Appendix C.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The Northern Orbital Route is mentioned in paragraph 11.15 of the LPP2.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 230/1/3	para. 11.7	and soundness:	Expansion of Northampton's population to the north and	None.	Policy 32 of the
		Plan is legally	further housing is likely to lead to expansion of through-traffic		LPP2 requires
Name:		compliant.	in Moulton. What mitigation is planned to reduce through		developers to fund
Moulton Parish			traffic in Moulton? (the only reference we can find is obliquely		and financially
Council		Plan is unsound:	via points 11.7 and 11.8). Specifically, where Northampton's		contribute towards
		- not positively	plans impact areas outside Northampton Borough (as in this		a range of transport
		prepared	case) a clear commitment should be made to addressing any		schemes. Policy 37
		- not effective	consequential impact. Please also note other comments made		of the LPP2 also
			elsewhere about Northern Orbital Route; NOR is unlikely alone		requires major
			to be sufficient to prevent Moulton being used as a rat run for		development to
			through traffic.		contribute towards
					the delivery of and
					where necessary
					provide land /
					suitable sites for any
					new infrastructure.
					A list of transport
					schemes can be
					found in the
					Infrastructure
					Delivery Plan 2019
					and at Appendices C
					- H of the LPP2.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 241/1/8	para. 11.7	and soundness:	Walking and cycling routes - this excludes equestrians. Most	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	walking and cycling activity is for leisure, as is equestrian		
Name:		compliant.	activity. Provision should be made for all modes of Active		
British Horse			Travel and no barriers should be created to prevent public		
Society		Plan is sound.	routes being enjoyed by as many user groups as possible. The		
			creation of shared cycle / pedestrian or cycle paths on the		
l			carriageway or adjacent to the carriageway creates additional		
			danger for equestrians. They are left sandwiched between fast		
			moving traffic on their outside and then additional fast-moving		
			cycle traffic on their inside – sometimes that cycle traffic is two		
			directional. The lack of links between settlements and parishes		

			is highlighted in the RoWIP for Northamptonshire.		
			Northamptonshire committed to involve user groups to		
			identify improvements to routes, create new routes where		
			necessary and prioritise Definitive Map anomalies to join up		
			the network.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 248/1/9	para. 11.8	and soundness:	11.8 commitment to modal shift target is extremely welcome.	None.	Noted.
		Plan is not legally			
Name:		compliant:			
		- reason not			
Welland Valley Rail					
		specified			
		Plan is unsound:			
		- not effective			
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 241/1/9	para.	and soundness:	Walking and cycling is included in the design of transport	None.	Noted.
, ,	11.12	Plan is legally	schemes -equestrians are excluded. To reiterate, horse riders		
Name:		compliant.	have access to only 22% of the public rights of way network		
British Horse		compliant.	and carriage drivers to just 5%. Invariably equestrians have to		
		Diam in an und			
Society		Plan is sound.	use the road network to access their nearest bridleway or		
			byway and it is important that they are able to do this safely		
			and are provided with safe routes just as walkers and cyclists		
			are. Including equestrians provides even better value for the		
			public purse. The creation of shared cycle / pedestrian or cycle		
			paths on the carriageway or adjacent to the carriageway		
			creates additional danger for equestrians. They are left		
			sandwiched between fast moving traffic on their outside and		
			then additional fast-moving cycle traffic on their inside –		
			sometimes that cycle traffic is two directional.		
Dennegentation	Defensets	Legal compliants	Commontes	Currented above	Officer commenter
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 62/1/7	Policy 32	and soundness:	We are very supportive of policies that create a shift towards	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	more sustainable and active forms of travel. The benefits to the		
Name:		compliant.	community / population of higher levels of active / sustainable		

Northamptonshire County Council / North Northants JPU		Plan is sound.	travel are many and well documented, including less congestion, better health and wellbeing, reduced pollution and economic benefits of improved access to businesses / shops. Despite many years of policy objectives of achieving a modal shift to active travel, progress has been limited. This is even more pertinent now given the massive health and wellbeing challenges we face and the importance of being active in addressing those. A shift towards more sustainable travel patterns is likely to be crucial for the success of the town and Borough of Northampton in the future. This is particularly the case given the substantial amount of housing growth likely to take place in Northampton in the coming years and the likely increase in car traffic that this will bring. There are a number of reasons why we think this policy is so important:		
Representation reference: 65/1/6 Name: English Regional Transport Association	Refers to: Policy 32	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Movement – The trackbeds of the old railway lines between Northampton and Market Harborough and Northampton Castle – Brackmills must be protected for restoration for railway use; there should also be provision for eventual re- opening of that line to Bedford, improving more connectivity. There must be new stations/halts at Watermills (new University campus) and at Brackmills (industrial estate); furthermore the old Bridge Street station should also be re- opened and the ERTA also wishes to see the Northampton – Wellingborough line re-opened. Northampton North –West and Northern Relief Roads - These will both shift traffic rather than removing it and the new road space will soon fill up with traffic. Furthermore it will also obliterate the track-bed of the old Northampton-Market Harborough railway line which the ERTA also wishes to see re- opened. St.James Inner Relief Road - This is a short-term project	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The route of the former Northampton to Market Harborough railway line is safeguarded in Policy 34 and on the Policies Map. It is proposed to modify the plan to include the railway corridor to Brackmills for future transport use.

			will shift traffic rather than removing it and at best, given there is a considerable amount of commercial and domestic development in Northampton Borough, the new road space will soon fill up with traffic. It will also obliterate the old track- bed of the old Northampton - Bedford railway. There must be provision for a potential level crossing where the road crosses the old track-bed (also see APPENDIX D - Transport and Infrastructure Schedule).		
Representation reference: 113/1/14 Name: East Hunsbury Parish Council	Refers to: Policy 32	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: We do not believe the plan to be positively prepared or effective on the issue of Traffic and Pollution, specifically in relation to the following policies: Policy 32 – Designing sustainable transport and travel Policy 33 – Highway network and safety The proposed remodelling of the Rowtree Road approach to the A45 will do little to mitigate the impact of increased traffic, and there is no consideration for the congestion that occurs in East Hunsbury due to issues on the A45 or the M1.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The LPP2 has undergone traffic modelling and analysis. It has identified highway infrastructure improvements needed to accommodate the cumulative scale of growth. This is outlined in Appendix C. Transport Assessments or Statements will be required for development proposals and these may indicate the need for localised
					improvement works, particularly around access to sites. No

					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 32	and soundness:	We do not believe the plan to be positively prepared or	None.	The LPP2 has
113/1/16		Plan is legally	effective on the issue of Traffic and Pollution, specifically in		undergone traffic
		compliant.	relation to the following policies:		modelling and
Name:			Policy 32 – Designing sustainable transport and travel		analysis. It has
East Hunsbury		Plan is unsound:	Policy 33 – Highway network and safety		identified highway
Parish Council		- not justified	We do not consider the identified highway infrastructure		infrastructure
		- not effective	improvements robust enough to accommodate the cumulative		improvements
			scale of growth proposed. The opportunity should be taken to		needed to
			review traffic impact on Northampton as a whole, including the		accommodate the
			proposal for a Northern Orbital road which will serve other		cumulative scale of
			SUEs and reduce pressure on the A45.		growth. This is
					outlined in
					Appendix C.
					Transport
					Assessments or
					Statements will be
					required for
					development
					proposals and these
					may indicate the
					need for localised
					improvement
					works, particularly
					around access to
					sites. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 32	and soundness:	We do not believe the plan to be positively prepared or	None.	Policy 32 of the
113/1/18		Plan is legally	effective on the issue of Traffic and Pollution, specifically in		LPP2 requires
		compliant.	relation to the following policies:		developments to
Name:			Policy 32 – Designing sustainable transport and travel		fund and financially
		Plan is unsound:	Policy 33 – Highway network and safety		contribute towards

East Hunsbury		- not justified	The cumulative impact of the development proposed in East		a range of transport
Parish Council		- not effective	Hunsbury on traffic density and air pollution will be significant,		schemes and to
			particularly as two Air Quality Management Areas abut the		demonstrate that
			parish boundary. The Local Plan should address facilities for		they can mitigate
			walking, cycling and public transport and creating a joined-up		the proposal's
			approach across Northampton, rather than concentrating		transport impact
			efforts on new developments. A reduction in car use will only		either on or off-site.
			be achievable with access to reliable public transport services		The policy requires
			(including the rail network), with additional services and good		developments to
			connections. Linking existing cycle routes with clear, safe, and		achieve sustainable
			well-maintained cycle paths to create a proper network is		transport principles
			essential.		including promoting
					modal shift away
					from and reduction
					of car usage.
					Policy 35 of the
					LPP2 requires new
					development to
					provide facilities for
					electric vehicle
					charging points.
					Both policies are
					expected to improve
					air quality. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 197/1/6	Policy 32	and soundness:	3. The County Council supports inclusion within the Draft Plan	None.	Noted.
		Plan is not legally	Policy 32 to design and support sustainable transport and		
Name:		compliant:	travel, and in particular the requirement for development to be		
Northamptonshire		- reason not	designed to incorporate, demonstrate and achieve provision of		
County Council		specified	electric vehicle re-charging points. Inclusion of this as a policy		
			will help to encourage modal shift away from standard modes		
		Plan is unsound:	of transport towards more sustainable methods and meet the		
		- reason not	rising demand for such provision as demonstrated through		
		specified			

Representation reference: 200/1/43 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 32	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	significant increase in sales of electric plug-in and low emission vehicles across the UK. 4. In addition to highway improvements, provision may also be sought towards facilities enabling improved traffic and travel information in the Borough, sometimes as part of a travel plan, to help mitigate the impact of development. Even in areas where the car is the primary mode of travel, live information can help to promote the availability of alternative travel modes and reduce congestion by providing helpful journey information. Comments: Under Policy 32 developments should be designed to provide electric vehicle re-charging points (EVCPs) in line with Policy 35.	Suggested changes: Under Policy 32 developments should be designed to provide electric vehicle re-charging points (EVCPs) in line with Policy 35.	Officer comments: Modify the plan by remove reference to Policy 34 from Policy 32.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 234/1/5	Policy 32	and soundness: Plan is legally	Whilst it is not believed that this is their intention, the implication of these policies, as presently worded, is that a	DPFC therefore suggest that these	It is important that these requirements
Name:		compliant.	proposal for the change of use of a unit in excess of 1,000sqm	policies are	apply to all
Diversified Property			would require the submission of a Sustainability Statement,	amended to clarify	developments
Fund For Charities		Plan is unsound:	Health Impact Assessment and Travel Plan. Applied to Grafton	that they apply	because they all
		- not positively	Trade Park, this could mean that a straightforward change of	solely to proposals	have impacts on
		prepared	use application for one of the larger units (for example, to	for new build	sustainability, health
		- not justified	allow another car rental operator within the Trade Park) would	floorspace over	and transport
		- not effective	trigger the need for such burdensome documents. Such	1,000sqm and not	related matters. No
			requirements would appear to be inappropriate and	to changes of use	

		- not consistent with national policy	disproportionate for such modest changes of use and ought to be removed.	of existing floorspace.	modification required.
Representation reference: 244/1/26 Name: Bastion Group	Refers to: Policy 32	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	 Comments: This is considered to be an important policy in terms of securing necessary sustainable travel principles within developments and Bastion support the majority of components within the policy. The reference to the need to upgrade and improve the existing street scene is however, considered ambiguous and lacks clarity in terms of what it actually requires. It also risks not being consistent with national policy and is not effective as it is unlikely that all schemes would be able to demonstrate that such a requirement is compliant with Regulation 122 of Community Infrastructure Regulations (2019, as amended), the NPPF and the PPG Paragraph: 002 Reference ID: 23b-002-20190901 in terms of being: necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms; directly related to the development; and fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development. it is therefore recommended that this component of the policy is deleted and the wider policy is reviewed in this same context. 	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 251/1/24 Name:	Refers to: Policy 32	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: Under Policy 32 developments should be designed to provide electric vehicle re-charging points (EVCPs) in line with Policy 35.	Suggested changes: Under Policy 32 developments should be designed to provide electric	Officer comments: Modify the Policy and remove reference to Policy 34 from Policy 32.
Duncan Investments Ltd -		Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective		vehicle re-charging points (EVCPs) in line with Policy 35.	

Site E of Towcester		- not consistent			
Rd		with national policy			
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 113/1/15	Policy 33	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	We do not believe the plan to be positively prepared or effective on the issue of Traffic and Pollution, specifically in relation to the following policies:	None.	The LPP2 has undergone traffic modelling and
Name:			Policy 32 – Designing sustainable transport and travel Policy 33		analysis. It has
East Hunsbury		Plan is unsound:	– Highway network and safety The proposed remodelling of		identified highway
, Parish Council		- not justified	the Rowtree Road approach to the A45 will do little to mitigate		infrastructure
		- not effective	the impact of increased traffic, and there is no consideration		improvements
			for the congestion that occurs in East Hunsbury due to issues		needed to
			on the A45 or the M1.		accommodate the
					cumulative scale of
					growth. This is
					outlined in
					Appendix C.
					Transport
					Assessments or
					Statements will be
					required for
					development
					proposals and these
					may indicate the
					need for localised
					improvement
					works, particularly
					around access to
					sites. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 33	and soundness:	We do not believe the plan to be positively prepared or	None.	The LPP2 has
113/1/17		Plan is legally	effective on the issue of Traffic and Pollution, specifically in		undergone traffic
•		compliant.	relation to the following policies:		modelling and
Name:					analysis. It has

East Hunsbury		Plan is unsound:	Policy 32 – Designing sustainable transport and travel Policy 33		identified highway
Parish Council		- not justified	– Highway network and safety		infrastructure
		- not effective	We do not consider the identified highway infrastructure		improvements
			improvements robust enough to accommodate the cumulative		needed to
			scale of growth proposed. The opportunity should be taken to		accommodate the
			review traffic impact on Northampton as a whole, including the		cumulative scale of
			proposal for a Northern Orbital road which will serve other		growth. This is
			SUEs and reduce pressure on the A45.		outlined in
					Appendix C.
					Transport
					Assessments or
					Statements will be
					required for
					development
					proposals and these
					may indicate the
					need for localised
					improvement
					works, particularly
					around access to
					sites. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 33	and soundness:	We do not believe the plan to be positively prepared or	None.	Policy 32 of the
113/1/19		Plan is legally	effective on the issue of Traffic and Pollution, specifically in		LPP2 requires
		compliant.	relation to the following policies:		developments to
Name:			Policy 32 – Designing sustainable transport and travel Policy 33		fund and financially
East Hunsbury		Plan is unsound:	 Highway network and safety 		contribute towards
Parish Council		- not justified	The cumulative impact of the development proposed in East		a range of transport
		- not effective	Hunsbury on traffic density and air pollution will be significant,		schemes and to
			particularly as two Air Quality Management Areas abut the		demonstrate that
			parish boundary. The Local Plan should address facilities for		they can mitigate
			walking, cycling and public transport and creating a joined-up		the proposal's
			approach across Northampton, rather than concentrating		transport impact
			efforts on new developments. A reduction in car use will only		either on or off-site.

			be achievable with access to reliable public transport services		The policy requires
			(including the rail network), with additional services and good		developments to
			connections. Linking existing cycle routes with clear, safe, and		achieve sustainable
			well-maintained cycle paths to create a proper network is		transport principles
			essential.		including promoting
					modal shift away
					from and reduction
					of car usage.
					Policy 35 of the
					, LPP2 requires new
					development to
					provide facilities for
					electric vehicle
					charging points.
					Both policies are
					expected to improve
					air quality. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 65/1/3	para.	and soundness:	Movement – The trackbeds of the old railway lines between	None specified	The route of the
	11.15	Plan is not legally	Northampton and Market Harborough and Northampton		former
Name:		compliant:	Castle – Brackmills must be protected for restoration for		Northampton to
English Regional		- reason not	railway use; there should also be provision for eventual re-		Market Harborough
Transport		specified	opening of that line to Bedford, improving more connectivity.		railway line is
Association			There must be new stations/halts at Watermills (new		safeguarded in
		Plan is unsound:	University campus) and at Brackmills (industrial estate);		Policy 34 and on the
		 not positively 	furthermore the old Bridge Street station should also be re-		Policies Map. It is
		prepared	opened and the ERTA also wishes to see the Northampton –		proposed to modify
		- not justified	Wellingborough line re-opened.		the plan to include
		- not effective	Northampton North –West and Northern Relief Roads - These		the railway corridor
		- not consistent	will both shift traffic rather than removing it and the new road		to Brackmills for
		with national policy	space will soon fill up with traffic. Furthermore it will also		future transport
			obliterate the track-bed of the old Northampton-Market		use.
			Harborough railway line which the ERTA also wishes to see re-	1	
			opened.		

			St.James Inner Relief Road - This is a short-term project (apparently currently put on hold due to funds)and again, this will shift traffic rather than removing it and at best, given there is a considerable amount of commercial and domestic development in Northampton Borough, the new road space will soon fill up with traffic. It will also obliterate the old track- bed of the old Northampton - Bedford railway. There must be provision for a potential level crossing where the road crosses the old track-bed (also see APPENDIX D - Transport and Infrastructure Schedule).		
Representation reference: 230/1/5 Name: Moulton Parish Council	Refers to: para. 11.15	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not effective	Comments: Safeguarding route of former Northampton to Market Harborough railway as a potential transport corridor implies loss/degredation of Brampton Valley way foot/cycle path. Surely, for wellbeing, a commitment should be made to no loss of habitat or environment on this route?	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: It is accepted that the route is identified as an important green infrastructure corridor and this is referenced in the Green Infrastructure (GI) Study 2016. The GI study includes this corridor within its Brampton Arm of River Nene component, from which several GI projects have been identified to support the corridor. Any proposal that comes forward on the former Northampton to Market Harborough railway line will

Representation reference: 230/1/6 Name: Moulton Parish Council	Refers to: para. 11.15	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not effective	Comments: mention of Northern Orbital Route, but this is not referenced in Appendix C.	Suggested changes: None.	need to take the GI study into account, as outlined in Policy 27 Sustaining and enhancing existing, and supporting the creation of, Northampton's green infrastructure. Officer comments: The Northern Orbital Route is not referenced in Appendix C as there is no approved scheme yet.
Representation reference: 248/1/4 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: para. 11.15	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: It is extremely welcome to see a council considering new rail destinations, so often councils limit themselves to meekly asking for a couple of extra services on existing routes, so to see new destinations being both considered and route for them protected is extremely welcome.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 248/1/10 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: para. 11.15	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified	Comments: 11.15 The council then lets itself down by casually presuming that a number of major road projects WILL take place, which clearly run completely counter to pollution, modal shift and decarbonisation targets, and gives the impression that the council will not be holding all development to the same	Suggested changes: Suggest changing language in 11.15 from "will" to "may" and adding "all planned and potential transport	Officer comments: The dualling of the A43 from Northampton to Kettering is a Northamptonshire County Council

		Plan is unsound: - not effective	sustainability scrutiny. E.g. "will""the dualling of the A43 from Northampton to Kettering"	projects will be reviewed against current sustainable transport & travel policy". Clearly if a road is busy enough to consider dualing, and there is not currently a corresponding rail link along that route - it is a prime candidate for provision of a sustainable rail link. Whilst this particular road project may not fall within the council's current geographical boundary, it is still in a good position to influence it, which will be further increased when the new unitary authority	scheme which is currently in their Local Transport Plan to come forward within and beyond the Local Plan period. No modification required.
				unitary authority comes into effect.	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 65/1/4	para.	and soundness:	Movement – The trackbeds of the old railway lines between	None specified.	The route of the
	11.16	Plan is not legally	Northampton and Market Harborough and Northampton		former
Name:		compliant:	Castle – Brackmills must be protected for restoration for		Northampton to
		- reason not	railway use; there should also be provision for eventual re-		Market Harborough
		specified	opening of that line to Bedford, improving more connectivity.		railway line is

English Regional			There must be new stations/halts at Watermills (new		safeguarded in
Transport		Plan is unsound:	University campus) and at Brackmills (industrial estate);		Policy 34 and on the
Association		- not positively	furthermore the old Bridge Street station should also be re-		Policies Map. It is
		prepared	opened and the ERTA also wishes to see the Northampton –		proposed to modify
		- not justified	Wellingborough line re-opened.		the plan to include
		- not effective	Northampton North – West and Northern Relief Roads - These		the railway corridor
		- not consistent	will both shift traffic rather than removing it and the new road		to Brackmills for
		with national policy	space will soon fill up with traffic. Furthermore it will also		future transport
		with national policy	obliterate the track-bed of the old Northampton-Market		use.
			Harborough railway line which the ERTA also wishes to see re-		use.
			opened.		
			St.James Inner Relief Road - This is a short-term project		
			(apparently currently put on hold due to funds)and again, this		
			will shift traffic rather than removing it and at best, given there		
			is a considerable amount of commercial and domestic		
			development in Northampton Borough, the new road space		
			will soon fill up with traffic. It will also obliterate the old track-		
			bed of the old Northampton - Bedford railway. There must be		
			provision for a potential level crossing where the road crosses		
			the old track-bed (also see APPENDIX D - Transport and		
			Infrastructure Schedule).		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 65/1/5	para.	and soundness:	Movement – The trackbeds of the old railway lines between	None specified.	The route of the
	11.17	Plan is not legally	Northampton and Market Harborough and Northampton	None specified.	former
Name:	11.17	compliant:	Castle – Brackmills must be protected for restoration for		Northampton to
English Regional		- reason not	railway use; there should also be provision for eventual re-		Market Harborough
Transport		specified	opening of that line to Bedford, improving more connectivity.		railway line is
Association		specified	There must be new stations/halts at Watermills (new		safeguarded in
Association		Plan is unsound:	University campus) and at Brackmills (industrial estate);		Policy 34 and on the
		- not positively	furthermore the old Bridge Street station should also be re-		Policies Map. It is
		prepared	opened and the ERTA also wishes to see the Northampton –		proposed to modify
		- not justified	Wellingborough line re-opened.		the plan to include
		- not effective	Northampton North –West and Northern Relief Roads - These		the railway corridor
		- not consistent	will both shift traffic rather than removing it and the new road		to Brackmills for
		with national policy	space will soon fill up with traffic. Furthermore it will also		
			space win soon mi up with trame. Furthermore it will also		

			obliterate the track-bed of the old Northampton-Market		future transport
			Harborough railway line which the ERTA also wishes to see re-		use.
			opened.		
			St.James Inner Relief Road - This is a short-term project		
			(apparently currently put on hold due to funds)and again, this		
			will shift traffic rather than removing it and at best, given there		
			is a considerable amount of commercial and domestic		
			development in Northampton Borough, the new road space		
			will soon fill up with traffic. It will also obliterate the old track-		
			bed of the old Northampton - Bedford railway. There must be		
			provision for a potential level crossing where the road crosses		
			the old track-bed (also see APPENDIX D - Transport and		
			Infrastructure Schedule).		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	para.	and soundness:	11.17 It is also extremely welcome to see the Brackmills	Include Brackmills	This was omitted in
248/1/11	11.17	Plan is not legally	corridor being protected for transport use, however despite	corridor between	error. This is being
		compliant:	being mentioned in the text it does not appear on the maps, so	Northampton	recommended for
Name:		- reason not	we would like to see it defined on the map to avoid ambiguity	Station and	inclusion in Policy 34
Welland Valley Rail		specified	over it's definition.	Brackmills on the	and the Policies
			We would expect that "Brackmills" would include both former	policies map.	Map as part of the
		Plan is unsound:	routes which went onto Bedford and Wellingborough.		minor modifications.
		- not effective			
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	para.	and soundness:	11.17 It is also extremely welcome to see the Brackmills	Include Brackmills	This was omitted in
248/1/12	11.17 and	Plan is not legally	corridor being protected for transport use, however despite	corridor between	error. This is being
	policies	compliant:	being mentioned in the text it does not appear on the maps, so	Northampton	recommended for
Name:	map	- reason not	we would like to see it defined on the map to avoid ambiguity	Station and	inclusion in Policy 34
Welland Valley Rail		specified	over it's definition.	Brackmills on the	and the Policies
			We would expect that "Brackmills" would include both former	policies map.	Map as part of the
		Plan is unsound:	routes which went onto Bedford and Wellingborough.		minor modifications.
		- not effective			

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 65/1/7	Policy 34	and soundness:	Movement – The trackbeds of the old railway lines between	None specified.	The route of the
		Plan is not legally	Northampton and Market Harborough and Northampton		former
Name:		compliant:	Castle – Brackmills must be protected for restoration for		Northampton to
English Regional		- reason not	railway use; there should also be provision for eventual re-		Market Harborough
Transport		specified	opening of that line to Bedford, improving more connectivity.		railway line is
Association			There must be new stations/halts at Watermills (new		safeguarded in
		Plan is unsound:	University campus) and at Brackmills (industrial estate);		Policy 34 and on the
		- not positively	furthermore the old Bridge Street station should also be re-		Policies Map. It is
		prepared	opened and the ERTA also wishes to see the Northampton –		proposed to modify
		- not justified	Wellingborough line re-opened.		the plan to include
		- not effective	Northampton North –West and Northern Relief Roads - These		the railway corridor
		- not consistent	will both shift traffic rather than removing it and the new road		to Brackmills for
		with national policy	space will soon fill up with traffic. Furthermore it will also		future transport
			obliterate the track-bed of the old Northampton-Market		use.
			Harborough railway line which the ERTA also wishes to see re-		
			opened.		
			St.James Inner Relief Road - This is a short-term project		
			(apparently currently put on hold due to funds)and again, this		
			will shift traffic rather than removing it and at best, given there		
			is a considerable amount of commercial and domestic		
			development in Northampton Borough, the new road space		
			will soon fill up with traffic. It will also obliterate the old track-		
			bed of the old Northampton - Bedford railway. There must be		
			provision for a potential level crossing where the road crosses		
			the old track-bed (also see APPENDIX D - Transport and		
			Infrastructure Schedule).		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 75/1/12	Policy 34	and soundness:	It is not enough to minimise pollution issues, developments	In the second	Policy 34 of the
	,	Plan is legally	must not create or exacerbate pollution issues and should	paragraph of this	LPP2 relates to
Name:		compliant.	ideally reduce existing pollution issues. This is clearly important	policy, replace	transport schemes
Town Centre			for health, but it is also a heritage issue within the remit of the	"provide	and requires
Conservation Area		Plan is unsound:	TCCAAC because pollution can have a catastrophic effect on	opportunities to	proposals for future
Advisory		- not effective	historic buildings (e.g. destroying carved stonework).	minimise and	transport schemes
Committee				where possible	to state how they

		- not consistent with national policy		reduce pollution issues" to "demonstrate that they will not create or exacerbate pollution issues and if possible will reduce pollution issues".	wll contribute to lowering emissions and contribute to the aim of achieving net-zero emissions by 2030. This is in line with Northampton's declaration of a Climate Emergency in 2019. Along with other policies within the plan, it is expected to assist Northampton in reducing pollution and adapting to climate change by the end of the plan period. No modification required.
Representation reference: 197/1/7	Refers to: Policy 34	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: Policy 34, which includes the safeguarding of the former	Suggested changes: Policy 34, which	Officer comments: This is an omission.
, - , -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,	, .	Plan is not legally	Northampton – Market Harborough railway line for future	includes the	Modify the Plan to
Name:		compliant:	transport use is supported by the County Council. It is	safeguarding of the	include reference to
Northamptonshire		- reason not	suggested however that in addition to this, a new Policy be	former	the railway line in
County Council		specified	included within the Draft Plan which acknowledges the	Northampton –	Policy 34.
		Plan is unsound:	importance of the former Northampton – Bedford railway line,	Market Harborough	
		- reason not	and which seeks to safeguard this as a potential future transport route, catering for existing and future workforce	railway line for future transport	
		specified	travel whilst also providing additional capacity and travel	use is supported by	
			choices to meet the needs of the growth of Northampton and	the County Council.	
			surrounding areas.	It is suggested however that in	

Representation reference: 227/1/1 Refers to: Policy 34 Legal compliance and soundness: Harborough District Council Comments: Policy 34 Comments: The plan is not strategic and therefore has limited impact on specified Differe comments Suggested changes: North-South rail is an indexing providing miles of further consideration. Ne would like to be included in any furture discussions regarding this project. Officer comments Officer comments Suggested changes: Noted.	reference: 227/1/1 P Name: Harborough District
---	--

Representation reference: 248/1/13 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: Policy 34	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Policy 34 - in line with 11.17, it would be preferable to see the Brackmills-Castle station corridor given the same degree of definition and protection as the Market Harborough corridor.	Suggested changes: Policy 34 - in line with 11.17, it would be preferable to see the Brackmills- Castle station corridor given the same degree of definition and protection as the Market Harborough corridor.	Officer comments: This was omitted in error. This is being recommended for inclusion in Policy 34 and the Policies Map as part of the minor modifications.
Representation reference: 97/1/18 Name: Clayson Country Homes	Refers to: Policy 35	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: It is considered that Policy 35 and its reference to the Parking Standards SPD is the appropriate approach to dealing with standards in new development and allows for future revisions to the corresponding SPD. However, the implications for housing delivery as a result of changing standards should be seriously considered and understood before any updated SPD is adopted. The most recent iteration of the NCC Parking Standards sought an increased level of parking from residential developments, over and above that which was planned for at the time when the JCS was adopted. The result of an increased land take for parking spaces is less land for residential development and it is urged that through any future consideration of parking standards that this relationship be fully explored and understood.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Northampton Borough Council has adopted its own Parking Standards SPD. No modification required.
Representation reference: 195/1/17 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: Policy 35	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: It is considered that Policy 35 and its reference to the Parking Standards SPD is the appropriate approach to dealing with standards in new development and allows for future revisions to the corresponding SPD. However, the implications for housing delivery as a result of changing standards should be seriously considered and understood before any updated SPD	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

			is adopted. The most recent iteration of the NCC Parking Standards sought an increased level of parking from residential developments, over and above that which was planned for at the time when the JCS was adopted. The result of an increased land take for parking spaces is less land for residential development and it is urged that through any future consideration of parking standards that this relationship be fully explored and understood.		
Representation reference: 200/1/44 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 35	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Under Policy 35 new development must meet adopted parking standards and accord with the principles set out in the Parking Standards Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) including the provision of facilities for EVCPs. The HBF is supportive of encouragement for the use of electric and hybrid vehicles via a national standardised approach implemented through the Building Regulations to ensure a consistent approach to future proofing the housing stock. Recently, the Department of Transport held a consultation on Electric Vehicle Charging in Residential & Non-Residential Buildings (ended on 7th October 2019). This consultation set out the Government's preferred option to introduce a new functional requirement under Schedule 1 to the Building Regulations 2010, which is expected to come into force in 2020. The inclusion of EVCP requirements within the Building Regulations 2010 will introduce a standardised consistent approach to EVCPs in new buildings across the country. The requirements proposed apply to car parking spaces in or adjacent to buildings and the intention is for there to be one charge point per dwelling rather than per parking space. It is proposed that charging points must be at least Mode 3 or equivalent with a minimum power rating output of 7kW (expected increases in battery sizes and technology developments may make charge points less than 7 kW obsolete	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

			for future car models, 7 kW is considered a sufficiently future- proofed standard for home charging) fitted with a universal socket to charge all types of electric vehicle currently on the market and meet relevant safety requirements. All charge points installed under the Building Regulations should be un- tethered and the location must comply with the Equality Act 2010 and the accessibility requirements set out in the Building Regulations Part M. The Government has estimated installation of such charging points add on an additional cost of approximately £976.		
Representation reference: 200/1/45 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 35	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The Government has also recognised the possible impact on housing supply, where the requirements are not technically feasible. The Government's recent consultation proposed introducing exemptions for such developments. The costs of installing the cables and the charge point hardware will vary considerably based on site-specific conditions in relation to the local grid. The introduction of EVCPs in new buildings will impact on the electricity demand from these buildings especially for multi-dwelling buildings. A requirement for large numbers of EVCPs will require a larger connection to the development and will introduce a power supply requirement, which may otherwise not be needed. The level of upgrade needed is dependent on the capacity available in the local network resulting in additional costs in relation to charge point installing charge points will be higher in areas where significant electrical capacity reinforcements are needed. In certain cases, the need to install charge points could necessitate significant grid upgrades, which will be costly for the developer. Some costs would also fall on the distribution network operator. Any potential negative impact on housing supply should be mitigated with an appropriate exemption from the charge point installation requirement based on the grid connection cost. The consultation proposes that the threshold for the	Suggested changes: The requirement for EVCPs should be deleted because of the Government's proposed changes to Building Regulations.	Officer comments: The requirements for EVCPs are required to ensure that the Council continues to address climate change. The Government's guidance has not yet been finalised.

			exemption is set at £3,600. In the instances when this cost is exceptionally high, and likely to make developments unviable, it is the Government's view that the EVCP requirements should not apply and only the minimum Energy Performance of Buildings Directive requirements should be applied. The Council's viability evidence set out in Plan Viability Study by Aspinall Verdi dated June 2020 includes a cost allowance of £1,000 per space but the sufficiency of this allowance cannot be assessed because of the vagueness of the Council's policy wording.		
Representation reference: 200/1/46	Refers to: Policy 35	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally	Comments: Furthermore, the Regulations are clear that development management policies, which are intended to guide the	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 35 sets out that new
200/1/40		compliant.	determination of applications for planning permission should		development must
Name:			be set out in the Local Plan. The Council should not devolve		meet adopted
HBF		Plan is unsound:	fundamental policy matters to its Parking Standards SPD.		parking standards.
		- not positively	Where SPDs are prepared, they should be used to provide		In the case of
		prepared	more detailed advice and guidance on the policies in the LPP2		Northampton
		- not justified	and not as an opportunity to change or introduce the		Borough, these are
		- not effective	requirements of a policy. As defined in 2019 NPPF Glossary, an		the
		- not consistent	SPD is capable of being a material consideration in planning		Northamptonshire
		with national policy	decisions but is not part of the Local Plan. The Regulations		County Council
			indicate that an SPD does not have statutory force. An SPD is		adopted parking
			defined as something that is not a Local Plan as it has not been		standards. The
			subject to the same process of preparation, consultation and		Northampton
			examination. The Council should not convey Local Plan status		Parking Standards
			onto its Parking Standards SPD.		SPD provides further
					principles and guidance for
					•
					parking. No modification
					required.
					required.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 35	and soundness:	The provision of electric vehicle charging points will need to be	Modification:	Policy 35 requires
229/1/28		Plan is not legally	secured at Outline or Full Application stage through way of	New developments	provision of electric
		compliant:	Condition. The ability to provide rapid charging points is	must meet adopted	vehicle charging
Name:		- not compliant	dependent on the capacity of the electric substation that	parking standards	points and does not
Barratt David		with duty to	serves the Development and the ability of the electric	and accord with the	mention rapid
Wilson Homes		cooperate	infrastructure network.	principles set out in	charging.
			Issues have occurred in the wider Northamptonshire area,	the Parking	Applicants will need
		Plan is unsound:	where Authorities have imposed a car charging scheme	Standards SPD.	to meet
		- not positively	condition on Reserved Matters Applications, expecting an	Applicants are	requirements set
		prepared	electric vehicle car charging scheme to be a form of rapid car	required to	out in the relevant
		- not justified	charging. This might not be possible if there is no capacity for	demonstrate that	parking SPD and
		- not effective	rapid car charging at the electric substation that serves the site.	electric vehicle	liaise with the
		- not consistent	This happens more often where electricity supply to the site is	charging is a rapid	Highways Authority
		with national policy	reliant on an existing substation.	form of car	as a part of their
			The policy does not expand on what is required in terms of Car	charging, unless the	application process.
			Parking Management Strategy.	infrastructure	No modification
			The policy by not acknowledging these the technical issues or	capabilities indicate	required.
			expanding on what a car parking management strategy would	otherwise.	
			entail, is therefore not effective.		
				Transport schemes	
				and major new	
				Developments	
				should also provide	
				a Car Parking	
				Management	
				Strategy. The	
				strategy will need	
				to address the	
				following issues"	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 35	and soundness:	It is considered that Policy 35 and its reference to the Parking	None.	Noted.
250/1/20		Plan is legally	Standards SPD is the appropriate approach to dealing with		
		compliant.	standards in new development and allows for future revisions		
Name:			to the corresponding SPD. However, the implications for		
		Plan is sound.	housing delivery as a result of changing standards should be		

St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road			seriously considered and understood before any updated SPD is adopted. The most recent iteration of the NCC Parking Standards sought an increased level of parking from residential developments, over and above that which was planned for at the time when the JCS was adopted. The result of an increased land take for parking spaces is less land for residential development and it is urged that through any future consideration of parking standards that this relationship be fully explored and understood.		
Representation reference: 251/1/25 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: Policy 35	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The Developers disagree with the proposed approach to Policy 35 as set out below. Policy 35 proposes the following requirement: 'New development must meet adopted parking standards and accord with the principles set out in the Parking Standards SPD including the provision of facilities for electric vehicle charging points.' The Developers are supportive of the encouragement for the use of electric and hybrid vehicles but consider this should be secured through a national standardised approach implemented through the Building Regulations. This will ensure a consistent approach to future proofing the housing stock. In this regard, the Department of Transport undertook a consultation on Electric Vehicle Charging in Residential and Non-Residential Buildings in July 2019. This consultation set out the Government's preferred option to introduce a new functional requirement under Schedule 1 to the Building Regulations 2010, which was expected to come into force in 2020. The inclusion of EVCP requirements within the Building Regulations 2010 will introduce a standardised consistent approach to EVCPs in new buildings across the country. The requirements proposed apply to car parking spaces in or adjacent to buildings and considered a proposal for one charge point per dwelling rather than per parking space.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: There is a need to ensure that local standards are required to meet the local aspirations and objectives for parking provision as well as climate change. It is considered that one per dwelling is not sufficient to meet the needs of householders. In addition, the Government's guidance on these issues have not been finalised.

			The Government estimated installation of such charging points add on an additional cost of approximately £976 per car parking pace for an average home23.		
Representation reference: 251/1/26 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: Policy 35	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The Government has also recognised the possible impact on housing supply, where the requirements are not technically feasible: 'However, we recognise that the cost of installing chargepoints can be high in areas where significant electrical capacity reinforcements are needed. To mitigate any potential negative impact on housing supply as a result of these regulations, this consultation seeks views on an appropriate exemption from the chargepoint installation requirement based on the grid connection cost. The consultation proposes the threshold for the exemption is set at £3600, which is three times the high scenario cost of the average electrical capacity connection required for one chargepoint.' In the instances when this cost is exceptionally high, and likely to make developments unviable, it is the Government's view that the EVCP requirements should not apply and only the minimum Energy Performance of Buildings Directive requirements should be applied: 'The EPBD also sets out requirements for residential buildings undergoing major renovation with more than 10 parking spaces. As a starting point, the government proposes to transpose the EPBD requirements for major renovations - i.e. the installation of cable routes in all parking spaces in scope as we think this is a proportionate requirement. However, the consultation seeks views on the possibility of going further than the EU requirements.'	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The policy has been assessed and is considered viable.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 35	and soundness:	In any event, the wording of draft Policy 35 would fail the tests	None specified.	The adopted
251/1/27		Plan is legally	of soundness as per NPPF Paragraph 16 which states that		Supplementary
		compliant.	policies should be 'clearly written and unambiguous, so it is		Planning Document
Name:			evident how a decision maker should react to development		on Parking provides
Duncan		Plan is unsound:	proposals'. The policy does not define how many EVCPs are		the necessary
Investments Ltd -		- not justified	required per plot, or whether these are required on a per		details on the
Site E of Towcester		- not effective	dwelling or per space basis.		implementation of
Rd		- not consistent	Resultingly, the draft policy is unlawful in that it devolves		this policy.
		with national policy	fundamental development management policies, which should		
			be set out through the NLP2, to the Parking Standards SPD. The		
			PPG24 is clear that such an approach is contrary to the role of		
			SPDs:		
			'Supplementary planning documents (SPDs) should build upon		
			and provide more detailed advice or guidance on policies in an		
			adopted local plan. As they do not form part of the		
			development plan, they cannot introduce new planning		
			policies into the development plan. They are however a		
			material consideration in decision-making. They should not add		
			unnecessarily to the financial burdens on development.'		
			[Emphasis added]		
			As currently drafted, Policy 35 risks introducing new planning		
			policies into the development plan, such as the imposition of		
			delivering EVCPs and would thus be unlawful.		
			In this respect, the Developers wish to refer the Council to the		
			implications of William Davis v Charnwood Borough Council25,		
			where Gilbart J quashed Charnwood Borough Council's		
			enforced housing mix policy on the basis that it should have		
			been adopted as part of a Development Plan Document		
			('DPD'), requiring independent examination, instead of an SPD,		
			which only requires consultation.		
			The housing mix policy deferred to an adopted SPD which itself		
			prescribed a specific housing mix expected from new		
			developments, with any departure from the specified		
			percentages requiring justification through evidence.		

Representation reference: 197/1/8 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Chapter 12	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	 Gilbart J agreed with the group of claimants in that the policy constituted a statement regarding 'the development and use of land which the local planning authority wish to encourage during any specified period', and was a 'development management policy intended to guide the determination of applications for planning permission.' Accordingly, by virtue of regulations 2, 5 and 6 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012, the policy needed to be adopted in a DPD rather than an SPD. The housing mix policy was also found to be unlawful due to a failure by the Council to undertake a viability assessment of the policy before the SPD was adopted. In the case of draft Policy 35, the Developers note that the Council's Plan Viability Study (Aspinall Verdi, June 2020) incorporates a build cost assumption £1,000 per charge points per dwelling26. However, given the ambiguity of the policy requirement, the basis and sufficient of this allowance cannot be accurately assessed. Comments: 6. The Draft Plan clearly acknowledges the fundamental role that infrastructure delivery will play in meeting the housing and employment trajectories set out in the WNJCS. The Infrastructure required to serve the current and future needs of the Borough is set out in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan; this should be regularly reviewed to ensure it remains up to date and takes into account emerging priorities and opportunities, and to identify any potential challenges to delivery and put in place solutions to address these. 	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 230/1/7	para. 12.9	and soundness:	No mention of healthcare facilities at Northampton North SUE.	None.	The Policy and
Nama		Plan is legally	Bearing in mind the already over-stretched GP surgery in		requirements for
Name:		compliant.	Moulton and the lack of progress by NHS on provision of		Northampton North
Moulton Parish			expanded facilities for existing Moulton residents, what		SUE are set out in
Council		Plan is unsound:			the West

		- not positively prepared - not effective	provision is intended for Northampton North SUE? This should be cross-referenced to Appendix F.		Northamptonshrire Joint Core Strategy.
Representation reference: 200/1/47 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 36	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Policy 36 : Electronic Communication Networks Under Policy 36 all new development should ensure appropriate infrastructure is provided during construction that is sufficient to enable all development to be connected to full fibre broadband without any post development works.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 200/1/49 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 36	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: It is also unclear if the requirements of Policies 36 and 37 have been included in the Council's viability testing.	Suggested changes: These policy requirements are unnecessary and repetitive of Building Regulations, which should be deleted.	Officer comments: Policies 36 and 37 have been considered in the LPP2's Viability Assessment (Appendix 1). No modifications required to Policies 36 and 37.
Representation reference: 251/1/28 Name:	Refers to: Policy 36	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound:	Comments: Policy 36 requires that 'all new development should ensure appropriate infrastructure is provided during construction that is sufficient to enable all development to be connected to full fibre broadband without any post development works.'	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd		 not justified not effective not consistent with national policy 	The Developers disagree with this proposed approach as set out in the response to Policy 37 belo. Policy 37 stipulates that 'Developers are also required to provide delivery of "full fibre" connectivity to new build development.'		
Representation reference: 197/1/9 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: para. 12.12	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 7. The County Council acknowledges the amendments made to section d) Education to reflect the role of the County Council as Local Education Authority with statutory duties in respect of providing sufficiency of school places.	Suggested changes: As a point of clarity, it is suggested that the wording from paragraph 12.12 be further amended as follows: "The plan calculates the additional school places required to accommodate the future growing population as a result of changes to birth rate and inward migration levels. The impact of additional housing growth allocated through the Local Plan process is expected however to place further pressures on local school capacity It is recognised that there are also free	Officer comments: The recommended changes are considered acceptable. Modify para 12.12 as follows: "The plan calculates the additional school places required to accommodate the future growing population as a result of changes to birth rate and inward migration levels. The impact of additional housing growth allocated through the Local Plan process is expected however to place further pressures on local school capacity It is recognised that there are also free schools located

	schools located	within
	within	Northamptonshire.
	Northamptonshire.	A free school is a
	A free school is a	type of academy, a
	type of academy, a	non-profit making,
	non-profit making,	independent, state-
	independent, state-	funded school which
	funded school	is free to attend but
	which is free to	which is not wholly
	attend but which is	controlled by a local
	not wholly	authority. Free
	controlled by a	schools are
	local authority.	governed by non-
	Free schools are	profit charitable
		•
	governed by non-	trusts that sign
	profit charitable	funded agreements
	trusts that sign	with the Secretary
	funded agreements	of State for
	with the Secretary	Education. The
	of State for	majority of new
	Education. The	schools delivered in
	majority of new	Northampton will
	schools delivered in	be free schools.
	Northampton will	
	be free schools.	"The funding
		provision for new
	"The funding	school places
	provision for new	education is
	school places	provided through a
	education is	number of
	provided through a	mechanisms
	number of	including from the
	mechanisms	Government, the
	including from the	Education Skills and
	Government, the	Funding Agency,
	Education Skills and	and through

				Funding Agency, and through	securing funding from developers via
				securing funding	Section 106
				from developers via	Agreements and the
				Section 106	local Community
				Agreements and	Infrastructure Levy
				the local	where school places
				Community	are required as
				Infrastructure Levy	result of housing
				where school	growth. Developers
				places are required	should seek the
				as result of housing	advice of the Local
				growth. Developers	Education Authority
				should seek the	and the Local
				advice of the Local	Planning Authority
				Education	to determine what
				Authority and the	level of education
				Local Planning	provision will need
				Authority to	to be provided in
				determine what	order to mitigate
				level of education	their development,
				provision will need	where it is to be
				to be provided in	located and the
				order to mitigate	associated cost."
				their development,	
				where it is to be	
				located and the	
				associated cost."	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	para.	and soundness:	The County Council welcomes the inclusion of wording relating	None.	Noted.
197/1/10	12.14	Plan is not legally	to the importance of Library infrastructure within the Draft		
		compliant:	Plan (12.14) and the need for new housing developments to		
Name:		- reason not	mitigate their impact on its provision through Section 106		
Northamptonshire		specified	developer contributions. This approach is supported by the		
County Council			County Council.		
		Plan is unsound:			

		- reason not specified			
Representation reference: 97/1/19 Name: Clayson Country Homes	Refers to: Policy 37	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In respect of Policy 37, it is considered that the second sentence of the first paragraph could be removed to avoid repetition with the acute content of Policy 36.	Suggested changes: In respect of Policy 37, it is considered that the second sentence of the first paragraph could be removed to avoid repetition with the acute content of Policy 36.	Officer comments: It is agreed that this is a duplication. Modify the plan to remove the second sentence of first paragraph as it duplicates Policy 36.
Representation reference: 195/1/18 Name: Mr B Cheer	Refers to: Policy 37	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In respect of Policy 37, it is considered that the second sentence of the first paragraph could be removed to avoid repetition with the acute content of Policy 36.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: It is agreed that there is duplication. Modify the Plan to remove the second sentence of the first paragraph to Policy 37.
Representation reference: 197/1/11 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 37	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	 Comments: 9. It is noted that the Draft Plan specifies that major development (schemes of 10 residential units and above, and commercial developments of 1,00sqm and above) will be assessed on the basis of its impact on local infrastructure (Policy 37), and developer obligations may be secured towards mitigating this where additional capacity is deemed to be required. 10. In addition, it should be recognised that small sites (below 10 units / 1,000sqm) may still have a cumulative impact on existing provision and capacity, as does the continued application of permitted development rights to convert commercial premises to residential uses, when considered in 	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Policy 37 should be read in conjunction with Policies INF1 and INF2 of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy.

			conjunction with other development in a locality, and whilst s106 developer contributions would not normally be secured from these schemes there should be a recognition that their impact may still need to be mitigated and provision made through Section 106 agreements.		
Representation reference: 197/1/12 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 37	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	 Comments: It is the recommendation of the County Council that all major residential and commercial developments should also be assessed on the basis of the need for additional Fire & Rescue infrastructure (both on-site and off-site), which for example may require provision of additional fire hydrants, or contributions towards increasing capacity of fire and rescue facilities, services, and infrastructure to ensure that new development can be adequately served in the event of a fire. New developments and associated infrastructure within Northampton borough equates to an increase in visitors as well as traffic movements. This will inevitably lead to an increase in the spread of fire risk, which places additional demands on Fire and Rescue Service resources to ensure safe places are maintained, consistent with national Government expectations and guidance. Northamptonshire Fire and Rescue Service sets out its criteria for responding to incidents within its Standards of Operational Response (SOR). The standards outline how the Service will respond to different incident types which fall within its statutory responsibilities under the Fire and Rescue Services Act 2004. 	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 37	and soundness:	Development coming forward during the Draft Plan period	None.	Noted.
197/1/13	,	Plan is not legally compliant:	should be assessed to ensure that sufficient infrastructure is in place to accommodate the increased demand for services. This		
Name:			may result in a requirement for developer contributions to be		

Northamptonshire County Council		 reason not specified Plan is unsound: reason not specified 	secured, or for appropriate planning conditions to be applied to permissions granted, to ensure that adequate infrastructure is in place to enable fire, should it occur, to be effectively tackled.		
Representation reference: 197/1/14 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 37	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: The County Council supports the inclusion within Policy 37 of the need for land / suitable sites to be provided by development where necessary, in addition to financial contributions through developer obligations. The requirement for development to provide 'full fibre' connectivity to new build premises is also welcomed.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 197/1/16 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 37	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 18. The Draft Plan is underpinned by the West Northamptonshire Infrastructure Delivery Plan, which identifies the strategic infrastructure requirements necessary to support planned growth. It will be necessary to review this regularly with partners to ensure that the most up to date information is available to inform development, and to take into account any changes to proposed implementation schedules, which may result in bringing forward or pushing back delivery of key schemes to meet demand.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 197/1/25 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 37	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not in accordance with SCI	Comments: 22. Continued engagement is welcomed with Northampton Borough Council, particularly as the Draft Plan is progressed and as the County Council's adopted Planning Obligations Framework and Guidance (2015) document is updated. This will ensure that current priorities and policies of the County Council and NBC are aligned in relation to the planning and	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

		 reason not specified Plan is unsound: reason not specified 	delivery of new education infrastructure and the ability to secure appropriate developer contributions through Section 106 to effectively mitigate the impact of development across different types of infrastructure.		
Representation reference: 200/1/48 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 37	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Policy 37 : Infrastructure Delivery & Contributions Under Policy 37 developers are required to provide delivery of "full fibre" connectivity to new build development. The Council should not impose new electronic communications requirements beyond the provision of infrastructure as set out in statutory Building Regulations. In the Budget (11th March 2020), the Government confirmed future legislation to ensure that new build homes are built with gigabit-capable broadband. The Government will amend Part R "Physical Infrastructure for High Speed Electronic Communications Networks" of the Building Regulations 2010 to place obligations on housing developers to work with network operators to install gigabit broadband, where this can be done within a commercial cost cap. By taking these steps, the Government intends to overcome any existing market failure. The Department for Culture, Media and Sport (DCMS) has outlined its intentions on the practical workings of this policy. The policy will apply to all to new builds. Any type of technology may be used, which is able to provide speeds of over 1000 Mbps. All new build developments will be equipped with the physical infrastructure to support gigabit-capable connections from more than one network operator. The new measures will place responsibilities on both developers and	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Paragraph 112 of the NPPF requires policies to support the expansion of electronic communications networks, including full fibre broadband connections. No modification required.
			 network operators :- Developers will have to ensure new homes have gigabit broadband. This includes ensuring that the physical infrastructure necessary for gigabit- capable 		

Representation reference: 200/1/50 Name: HBF	Refers to: Policy 37	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound:	guidance (Approved Documents) will also be published as soon as possible. Comments: It is also unclear if the requirements of Policies 36 and 37 have been included in the Council's viability testing.	Suggested changes: These policy requirements are unnecessary and repetitive of Building	Officer comments: Policies 36 and 37 have been considered in the LPP2's Viability Assessment
			 developments and homes are connected by an operator to a gigabit-capable connection ; This requirement exists unless the cost to the developer of providing connectivity exceeds £2,000, or the operator declines to provide a connection ; Developers must seek a second quote from network operators, where the first quote suggests that gigabit-capable broadband cannot be installed within the cost cap ; If gigabit broadband exceeds the cost cap, the developer must provide connectivity to other technologies, which can provide at least superfast connection within the same cost cap, unless the operator declines to provide a connection ; and A commitment to contribute to the costs of connection by network operators. Virgin Media has committed to a combined Openreach and Developer Contribution of £3,400, with a maximum developer contribution of £2,000. As soon as Parliamentary time allows, the Government intends to lay the legislation to amend the Building Regulations. The supporting statutory 		

		 not positively prepared not justified not effective not consistent with national policy 		Regulations, which should be deleted.	modifications required to Policies 36 and 37.
Representation reference: 229/1/29 Name: Barratt David Wilson Homes	Refers to: Policy 37	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: This relates to the comments made with regard to policy 35 with regard to electric infrastructure provision and the ability to consider the timely manner in which to impose requirements or obligations on any Consent.	Suggested changes: Modification: Clarification is required in terms of the imposition of requirements or obligations.	Officer comments: S106 obligations agreements are dealt with at the planing application stage. Policy 35 requires provision of electric vehicle charging points and does not mention rapid charging. Applicants will need to meet requirements set out in the relevant parking SPD and liaise with the Highways Authority as a part of their application process. No modification required.
Representation reference: 250/1/21 Name:	Refers to: Policy 37	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: In respect of Policy 37, it is considered that the second sentence of the first paragraph could be removed to avoid repetition with the acute content of Policy 36.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: It is agreed that this is a repetition. Modify the plan to remove the second

St Clair Land and					sentence to Policy
Developments LLP					37.
Old Bedford Road					
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 37	and soundness:	Policy 37 stipulates that 'Developers are also required to	None specified.	Paragraph 112 of
251/1/29		Plan is legally	provide delivery of "full fibre" connectivity to new build		the NPPF requires
		compliant.	development.		policies to support
Name:			The Developers consider the Council should not impose new		the expansion of
Duncan		Plan is unsound:	electronic communications requirements beyond the provision		electronic
Investments Ltd -		- not justified	of infrastructure as set out in the statutory Building		communications
Site E of Towcester		- not effective	Regulations.		networks, including
Rd		- not consistent	In the Budget 2020 the Government confirmed future		full fibre broadband
		with national policy	legislation to ensure that new build homes are built with		connections. No
			gigabit-capable broadband28.		change.
			The Department for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport		Policy 37 has been
			subsequently outlined its intentions on the practical workings		considered in the
			of this legislation through 'Press Release: New-build homes to		LPP2's Viability
			come gigabit-speed ready'29:		Assessment
			'The government will amend building regulations to guarantee		(Appendix 1). No
			that all new homes have the right infrastructure to support		change to Policy 37.
			gigabit broadband and housing developers must work with		
			network operators to install internet speeds of over 1,000		
			megabits per second (Mbps) in new- build homes, up to a cost cap of £2,000 per dwelling.'		
			The Press Release confirmed the requirement will apply to all		
			to new builds development, and that the new measures will		
			place responsibilities on both developers and network		
			operators:		
			Developers will have to ensure new homes have		
			gigabit broadband. This includes ensuring that the		
			physical infrastructure necessary for gigabit-capable		
			connections is provided on site for all new build		
			developments and homes are connected by an		
			operator to a gigabit-capable connection;		

	 This requirement on the developer to provide a gigabit-capable connection exists unless the cost to the developer of providing connectivity exceeds £2,000, or the operator declines to provide a connection; Developers must seek a second quote from network operators, where the first quote suggests that gigabit-capable broadband cannot be installed within the cost cap; If gigabit broadband exceeds the cost cap, the developer must provide connectivity to other technologies, which can provide at least superfast connection within the same cost cap, unless the operator declines to provide a connection; and A commitment to contribute to the costs of connection by network operators. Virgin Media has committed to contributing at least £500, rising in the case of some larger sites to £1,000. Openreach has committed to a combined Openreach and Developer Contribution of £3,400, with a maximum developer contribution of £2,000. It also confirms that the legislation is to be laid as soon as parliamentary time allows and will be laid as secondary legislation so that it can be introduced quicker. As a result, the proposed requirements of draft Policies 36 and 37 are unnecessary and repetitive of Building Regulations and should therefore be deleted. Notwithstanding, the requirements of Policies 36 and 37 have not been included in the Council's Plan Viability Study (Aspinall Verdi, June 2020) and therefore it has not been demonstrated whether the policy requirements are viable. 		
--	--	--	--

Representation reference: 148/1/19 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP	Refers to: Chapter 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Turning to the site-specific allocations and policies which are addressed within Chapter 13, the respondent would first like to commend the bold approach which the Council have sought to adopt in clearly defining the significant number of development sites available within the Borough for all development typologies. It is considered that this approach provides landowners, including those with a legal interest, absolute clarity on the potential future options for the development of their land and property interests.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Welcomed.
Representation reference: 250/1/22 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Refers to: Chapter 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Turning to the site-specific allocations and policies which are addressed within Chapter 13, the respondent would first like to commend the bold approach which the Council have sought to adopt in clearly defining the significant number of development sites available within the Borough. It is considered that this approach provides landowners, including those with a legal interest, absolute clarity on the potential future options for the development of their land and property interests.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 23/1/4 Name: University of Northampton	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The University fully supports allocation of Park and Avenue Campuses for residential redevelopment (allocations 1013 & 1014 respectively) under emerging Policies 13 and 38. The University has now relocated to its new Waterside Campus. The University agrees that residential is the most appropriate and viable future use for the sites. Indeed, Park Campus has outline permission for the development of up to 800 homes and the initial phase is under construction. An application for residential development of Avenue Campus is with the Council for consideration.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 30/1/9	Policy 38	and soundness:	I note that Site 0657 (Fraser Road) is in the list of proposed	None.	In July 2019, the
		Plan is not legally	allocations. In the 2017 Sites Consultation Paper, this site was		Government
Name:		compliant:	indicated as 'not being taken forward for further investigation'.		introduced a new
Northamptonshire		- not in accordance	It then appeared as a residential allocation on the policies map		set of guidelines in
County Council		with SCI	for the previous local plan draft submission version		terms of what
			consultation. I have no record of either a public or direct		constitutes a
		Plan is unsound:	consultation from the council regarding changes to the site		"deliverable"
		- not justified	assessments. For many sites this would not be an issue but in		housing site for the
		- not consistent	this case the assessment for site LAA0657 states that the site 'is		purposes of plan
		with national policy	not in proximity to any designated biodiversity or geodiversity		making. This meant
			site'. In fact the site is adjacent to Talavera East Potential		that the Council was
			Wildlife Site and within 1km of other local PWS, and Billing		required to review
			Arbours Local Wildlife Site. These could face increased visitor		its development
			pressure – and its associated ecological impacts – as a result of		plan allocations
			residential development. While this might not have rendered		prior to submitting
			site LAA0657 inappropriate for development it does suggest		it to the Planning
			that other sites might have been incorrectly represented in the		Inspectorate. The
			changes to the site assessments.		updates were also
					used to inform the
					preparation of the
					Five Year Housing
					land Supply for
					2018/19.
					Policy 29 of the
					LPP2 recognises
					other biodiversity
					assets and has been
					strengthened to
					include reference to
					Potential Wildlife
					Sites and that
					applicants are
					required to protect
					or enhance these.

Representation reference: 35/1/7 Name: Historic England	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Unsound.	Suggested changes: Subject to changes to the site specific allocations.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 57/1/3 Name: Hardingstone Parish Council	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: Whilst this states "Any proposal that comes forward should include suitable measures to mitigate the impact of additional traffic generated by the development" the council does not see how this can be done. There is already plans for 1000 houses as part of Hardingstone SUE and potential 500 from Hampton Green development. Newport Pagnell Road and others in the area are not suitable for this increased volume of traffic. We understand that the joint core strategy stated that with the Hardingstone SUE this area would be just about sustainable, so how can this extra traffic be justifiable. It is our belief that a lot of cars will be leaving on the Newport Pagnell Road to go to the A45 North, or South to M1 Junction 15 creating grid lock on the Queen Eleanor roundabout and J15 roundabout.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Traffic modelling has been undertaken by Northamptonshire County Council on behalf of Northampton Borough to assess the potential impacts of the development allocations proposed in the local plan and mitigation is a requirement of the policy.
Representation reference: 57/1/4 Name: Hardingstone Parish Council	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound:	Comments: There are also concerns that overdevelopment in this area could cause flooding on Brackmills estate.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Statutory consultees have been consulted and the policy in the local plan has been amended to reflect flooding concerns.

		- reason not specified			
Representation reference: 57/1/5 Name: Hardingstone Parish Council	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: Overall the council feels there needs to be some joined up thinking in developments. There is a lot of development already approved along the Newport Pagnell Road and there are more on the proposed list which could cause serious infrastructure issues. The council also wonders if the numbers of housing needed needs reviewing in a post-covid world when the ways people commute may change.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The local plan seeks to deliver the housing requirements set out in the Joint Core Strategy and the allocation of sites has been based on evidence.
Representation reference: 65/1/11	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: Movement – The trackbeds of the old railway lines between Northampton and Market Harborough and Northampton	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The route of the former
Name: English Regional Transport Association		Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Castle – Brackmills must be protected for restoration for railway use; there should also be provision for eventual re- opening of that line to Bedford, improving more connectivity. There must be new stations/halts at Watermills (new University campus) and at Brackmills (industrial estate); furthermore the old Bridge Street station should also be re- opened and the ERTA also wishes to see the Northampton – Wellingborough line re-opened. Northampton North –West and Northern Relief Roads - These will both shift traffic rather than removing it and the new road space will soon fill up with traffic. Furthermore it will also obliterate the track-bed of the old Northampton-Market Harborough railway line which the ERTA also wishes to see re- opened. St.James Inner Relief Road - This is a short-term project (apparently currently put on hold due to funds)and again, this will shift traffic rather than removing it and at best, given there is a considerable amount of commercial and domestic development in Northampton Borough, the new road space		Northampton to Market Harborough railway line is safeguarded in Policy 34 and on the Policies Map. It is proposed to modify the plan to include the railway corridor to Brackmills for future transport use.

			will soon fill up with traffic. It will also obliterate the old track- bed of the old Northampton - Bedford railway. There must be provision for a potential level crossing where the road crosses the old track-bed (also see APPENDIX D - Transport and Infrastructure Schedule).		
Representation reference: 65/1/17 Name: English Regional Transport Association	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Housing: Since the above housing development(Site no.0333) is close to the main railway line, there should not be any housing development on that site. Moreover this could obliterate old railway track-beds. In any case the housing will encourage more road traffic, and the town's roads are frequently congested.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: This site has been assessed in the Site Assessment Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) which includes detailed investigations on matters associated with transport connections and sustainability. All development sites put forward in the Local Plan Part 2 have been modelled to assess their potential transport implications on the impact on the network.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 68/1/1 Name: Highways England	Policy 38	and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified	1104 Watering Lane, Collingtree - 265 dwellings There could be some impacts on the operation of the SRN as a result of additional vehicular demand associated with these sites. We would expect that these sites be subject to Transport Assessments in order for their impacts to be appropriately assessed.	None.	Noted.

		Plan is unsound: - reason not specified			
Representation reference: 68/1/2 Name: Highways England	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 1025 Land to the west of Towcester Road - 180 dwellings There could be some impacts on the operation of the SRN as a result of additional vehicular demand associated with these sites. We would expect that these sites be subject to Transport Assessments in order for their impacts to be appropriately assessed.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 68/1/3 Name: Highways England	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 0168 Rowtree Road - 131 dwellings There could be some impacts on the operation of the SRN as a result of additional vehicular demand associated with these sites. We would expect that these sites be subject to Transport Assessments in order for their impacts to be appropriately assessed.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 68/1/4 Name: Highways England	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 0168 Rowtree Road - 131 dwellings There could be some impacts on the operation of the SRN as a result of additional vehicular demand associated with these sites. We would expect that these sites be subject to Transport Assessments in order for their impacts to be appropriately assessed.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Representation reference: 68/1/5 Name: Highways England	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 0333 Northampton Railway Station rail freight - 200 dwellings There could be some impacts on the operation of the SRN as a result of additional vehicular demand associated with these sites. We would expect that these sites be subject to Transport Assessments in order for their impacts to be appropriately assessed.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 68/1/6 Name: Highways England	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 1098 The Green, Great Houghton - 800 dwellings There could be some impacts on the operation of the SRN as a result of additional vehicular demand associated with these sites. We would expect that these sites be subject to Transport Assessments in order for their impacts to be appropriately assessed.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 68/1/7 Name: Highways England	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 1113 Greyfriars - 400 dwellings There could be some impacts on the operation of the SRN as a result of additional vehicular demand associated with these sites. We would expect that these sites be subject to Transport Assessments in order for their impacts to be appropriately assessed.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Representation reference: 68/1/8 Name: Highways England	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 1140 Land north of Milton Ham - 224 dwellings There could be some impacts on the operation of the SRN as a result of additional vehicular demand associated with these sites. We would expect that these sites be subject to Transport Assessments in order for their impacts to be appropriately assessed.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 68/1/9 Name: Highways England	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 1142 Land west of Northampton South - 130 There could be some impacts on the operation of the SRN as a result of additional vehicular demand associated with these sites. We would expect that these sites be subject to Transport Assessments in order for their impacts to be appropriately assessed.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 68/1/10 Name: Highways England	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: It is noted that the employment policies set out in the plan support the Northampton Economic Growth Strategy 2020- 2025 adopted by the Council in May 2020. A few employment sites have been allocated however the sizes have not been specified.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The site area of each site can be seen in the SAMLAA document. The number of jobs created will be dependent on the types of employment that will subsequently occupy the site.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 97/1/21	Policy 38	and soundness:	In direct response to Policy 38, the respondent welcomes the	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	reference to site 1025: land to the west of Towcester Road,		
Name:		compliant.	and the conclusions within out earlier submissions in respect of		
Clayson Country			this site remain. The site is, suitable, available and achievable		
Homes		Plan is sound.	and would secure a viable residential development.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 123/1/8	Policy 38	and soundness:	Policy 38 - Development Allocations (residential, employment,	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	education and mixed use)		
Name:		compliant.	Henry Martin Ltd is encouraged to see that the 1.4-hectare site		
Henry Martin Ltd			to the north of Martin's Yard Business Park is sll identified as an		
		Plan is unsound:	employment allocation in Policy 38 (Site 1005). Henry Martin		
		- not effective	Ltd strongly support this proposed employment allocation.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 148/1/3	Policy 38	and soundness:	However, the inclusion of the site (Ref: 1101) as an	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	employment allocation within emerging Policy 18 of the DPD		
Name:		compliant.	has afforded the landowner a level of confidence to progress		
St Clair Land and			technical work in respect of the future development of the site.		
Developments LLP		Plan is sound.			
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 148/1/6	Policy 38	and soundness:	It is agreed that an important challenge for Northampton,	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	which the emerging LPP2 should seek to meet is that of		
Name:		compliant.	housing delivery, However, to ensure that the significant level		
St Clair Land and			of residential growth proposed through the plan is sustainable,		
Developments LLP		Plan is sound.	this development needs to be delivered in tandem with		
			strategic level employment growth to ensure that rising		
		1	employment needs are met. Additionally, through increasing		
			the level of land for economic development purposes within		
			the town, the LPA will be going some distance in bolstering the		

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 148/1/7	Policy 38	and soundness:	The document's acknowledgement of the challenges faced	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	within the legislative boundary of Northampton is welcomed		
Name:		compliant.	and only serves to highlight the significant opportunity which		
St Clair Land and			greenfield sites, such as the client's, offer to meeting the		
Developments LLP		Plan is sound.	existing and future development needs of the Borough.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 38	and soundness:	In direct response to Policy 38, the respondent once again	None.	Noted.
148/1/20		Plan is legally	welcomes the reference to site 1101 Land at Waterside Way,		
		compliant.	and the conclusions within our earlier submissions in respect of		
Name:			this site remain. The site is, suitable, available and achievable		
St Clair Land and		Plan is sound.	and would secure a viable residential development.		
Developments LLP					
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 172/1/4	Policy 38	and soundness:	Homes England are taking forward landholdings throughout	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	Northampton and welcome the following sites' allocation for		
Name:		compliant.	housing and / or housing led development in Policy 13		
Homes England			Residential and Other Residential Led Allocation and Policy 38		
		Plan is unsound:	Development Allocations.		
		- reason not	Ransome Road Gateway Gate Lodge, The Green, Great		
		specified	Houghton, Upton Reserve Site, Ransome Road.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 38	and soundness:	We are pleased to see that the boundary of this allocation for	None.	Noted.
185/1/11		Plan is legally	23 dwellings has been modified so that it no longer includes a		
		compliant.	section of Kingsthorpe Meadows Local Nature Reserve and		
Name:			Local Wildlife Site. Kingsthorpe Meadows already receives a		
Wildlife Trust for		Plan is sound.	high number of visitors and therefore, any additional pressure		
Bedfordshire,			from new developments is concerning. For this allocation to be		
Cambridgeshire &			in line with Policies 27 (Green Infrastructure) and 29		
Northamptonshire			(Supporting and Enhancing Biodiversity) it will need to carefully		
			consider how it will provide a net gain in biodiversity within the		
			application site and also contribute towards the enhancement		

			of the wider green infrastructure in the area, including Kingsthorpe Meadows.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
Representation reference: 185/1/13 Name: Wildlife Trust for Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire & Northamptonshire	Policy 38	Plan is legally compliant.	This policy has also been improved since the previous version of the Local Plan Part 2; however, it is still of concern as its potential link to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protected Area (SPA) has not been established. The Habitats Regulations Assessment and Policy 41 requests that over- wintering bird surveys should be conducted to investigate the importance of the allocation to the SPA and, using the results of these surveys, to suggest suitable mitigation measures; if it is possible to do so. The area suggested for ecological enhancement within the proposal (Figure 20) seems to have been chosen for landscape rather than biodiversity reasons and is likely to be used for recreation and therefore to be highly disturbed. Policy 41 also lists a range of other issues to be considered within this allocation. We would strongly recommend that the over-wintering bird surveys are carried out as soon as possible so that the importance of the allocation (as functionally linked land) to the SPA and the mitigation/compensation which may be required are clearly established and used to reassess the suitability of the allocation.	None.	Surveys are expected to be undertaken by the applicant and will be advised to undertake surveys at the outset. No change.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 38	and soundness:	In direct response to Policy 38, the respondent welcomes the	None.	Noted.
195/1/20		Plan is legally	reference to site 1107: Former Abington Mill Farm, Land of		
		compliant.	Rushmere Road, and the conclusions within out earlier		
Name:			submissions in respect of this site remain. The site is, suitable,		
Mr B Cheer		Plan is sound.	available and achievable and would secure a viable residential development.		
			However, we are aware of certain literature which has been		
			circulating within the locale which seems to be seeking to		
			encourage a swell of objection to the allocation of this site for		
		1	residential purposes. The literature which has been circulated		

Name:		Plan is legally compliant.	the five SUEs located in the Borough by proposing additional housing land allocations. The LPP2 allocates 71 housing /		investigations concluded that 52%
Representation reference: 200/1/9	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: The Northampton LPP2 deals only with housing shortfalls from	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The SAMLAA
Representation reference: 197/1/15 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 16. It is noted that the Draft Plan considers whether additional sites may need to be allocated to ensure that the overall strategic housing requirement for the borough (18,870) can be delivered up to 2029. 17. Collectively, it is inevitable that any additional allocations will place further pressures on existing infrastructure and as such it is expected that measures will need to be taken to address these, through securing developer contributions to support delivery of additional capacity where required as a result of development.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
			relates to two matters, the first being highways issues and the second being a conflict with planning policy. Given the content of the emerging Part 2 Local Plan, which allocates the site for residential development, the submissions which we expect the Council to receive are inadmissible. In terms of the highways issues which will be highlighted by respondents, it is confirmed by the respondent that any future application for the site will be supplemented by a full range of technical documents including a transport and highways assessment which will demonstrate the site's suitability and achievability. In conclusion, whilst it is considered that whilst the document would benefit from revisions as set out above, the document does present a plan led approach to meeting those issues which are faced within the Borough. Given the acute housing needs issues faced in the area in recent years, it is considered that the emerging development plan document provides a positive vision and outlines a bold approach and framework to meeting both housing needs and other economic, social and environmental priorities.		

HBF			housing led sites for circa 3,804 dwellings as set out in Policies		of sites allocated for
		Plan is unsound:	13 & 38. Housing delivery is maximised, where a wide mix of		housing are under 1
		- not positively	sites provides choice for consumers, allows places to grow in		hectare.
		prepared	sustainable ways and creates opportunities to diversify the		nectare.
		- not justified	construction sector. The LPP2 allocations include a wide range		
		- not effective	of sites by both size and market locations, which should		
		- not consistent	provide access to suitable land for small local, medium regional		
		with national policy	and large national housebuilding companies as well as		
		with hational policy	providing opportunities for a wide range of different types of		
			dwellings to meet the housing needs of all households.		
			Under the 2019 NPPF, the Council should identify at least 10%		
			of its housing requirement on sites no larger than one hectare		
			or else demonstrate strong reasons for not achieving this		
			target (para 68). The Council should confirm compliance with		
			this aspect of national policy.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 232/1/9	Policy 38	and soundness:	Nevertheless, although we welcome the proposed allocation of	Would like sites	Agreed.
		Plan is legally	these three sites, we consider their identification as three	0168, 1009 and	
Name:		compliant.	separate sites in policies 13 and 38 and on the Policies Map and	1142 considered as	
Vistry Latimer			with a separate housing trajectory for each site (Appendix A) is	1 large site.	
Collingtree LLP		Plan is unsound:	not "sound" (para.		
		- not justified	35 of the NPPF), in being neither "justified" (in not being "an		
		- not effective	appropriate strategy") nor "effective" (in not being "deliverable		
			over the Plan period").		
			Although the reason why the land immediately to the west of		
			the NSSUE has been identified as three sites is well understood		
			(because site 1142 came under developer control after sites		
			0168 and 1009 – indeed, not until after the Round 1 Proposed		
			Submission consultation, at which point the development of		
			site 1142 became deliverable), the contiguity of the three sites		
			and their adjacency with the NSSUE mean it is not appropriate		
			to conceive of them as three separate allocations. Rather, they		
			should be planned not only as a single allocation, but also as		

			one to be delivered in conjunction with the immediately adjoining NSSUE.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 232/1/12 Name: Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	Policy 38	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Vistry and Lagan have commissioned Define to determine the housing capacity of the land between the NSSUE and the railway line, taking account of the constraints affecting that capacity (noise and air pollution from the M1 motorway; noise and vibration from the Northampton Loop Line railway; the floodplain of the Wootton Brook; hedgerows within the site; utilities easements crossing the site; and land needed for public open space, children's play provision and surface water drainage attenuation). Assuming a suitable average net density of 40 dwellings per hectare, Define has determined that the land between the NSSUE and the railway line can accommodate some 336 dwellings. The Council currently proposes 361 dwellings across the three sites (0168, 1009 and 1142), which would require an inappropriately high average net density of 35 dwellings per hectare, resulting in an urban form that would be discordant with the average net density of 35 dwellings per hectare within the adjacent NSSUE. We consider that the 'excess' 25 dwellings that it is inappropriate to accommodate on sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 can and should be accommodate within the NSSUE itself, where it would be appropriate to increase the net density of housing in close proximity to its local centre and primary school above the currently permitted 35 dwellings per hectare. In this way, that area of the NSSUE close to its facilities can serve appropriately	Consider the LPP2 inidicative capacity is too high for sites LAA0168, 1009 and 1142 and that the excess should be accommodated within Northampton South SUE.	The current capacity of sites LAA0168, 1009 and 1142 in Policy 13 is indicative and not confirmed. However, as the sites is recommended to be combined, it is acceptable to change the trajectory. Modify the plan to take into account the revised trajectory for the combined sites.
			as its core.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 38	and soundness:	The replacement of sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 by a single	Policies 13 and 38,	The respondent
232/1/18		Plan is legally	allocation for 336 dwellings therefore renders this element of	the Policies Map	commented that
		compliant.	the Plan "justified" (appropriate), while the accommodation of	and Appendix A to	these sites should
Name:			the 'excess' 25 dwellings within the NSSUE itself (near its local		be combined and a

Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP		Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	centre and primary school) renders the Plan both "justified" (appropriate) and "effective" (deliverable), with the replacement integrated housing trajectory for the NSSUE and its westerly extension presented at Appendix 2 to this representation rendering the Plan "effective" (deliverable). Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	the Plan should be altered accordingly.	revised trajectory provided. This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify Policies 13 and 38 and the Policies Map to reflect the recommended combined sites.
Representation reference: 233/1/9 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: Nevertheless, although we welcome the proposed allocation of these three sites, we consider their identification as three separate sites in policies 13 and 38 and on the Policies Map and with a separate housing trajectory for each site (Appendix A) is not "sound" (para. 35 of the NPPF), in being neither "justified" (in not being "an appropriate strategy") nor "effective" (in not being "deliverable over the Plan period"). Although the reason why the land immediately to the west of the NSSUE has been identified as three sites is well understood (because site 1142 came under developer control after sites 0168 and 1009 – indeed, not until after the Round 1 Proposed Submission consultation, at which point the development of site 1142 became deliverable), the contiguity of the three sites and their adjacency with the NSSUE mean it is not appropriate to conceive of them as three separate allocations. Rather, they should be planned not only as a single allocation, but also as one to be delivered in conjunction with the immediately adjoining NSSUE.	Suggested changes: Would like sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 considered as 1 large site.	Officer comments: This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the combined sites 0168, 1009 and 1142.
Representation reference: 233/1/12 Name:	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: Vistry and Lagan have commissioned Define to determine the housing capacity of the land between the NSSUE and the railway line, taking account of the constraints affecting that capacity (noise and air pollution from the M1 motorway; noise	Suggested changes: Consider the LPP2 inidicative capacity is too high for sites LAA0168, 1009 and	Officer comments: The current capacity of sites LAA0168, 1009 and 1142 in

Lagan Homes		Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	and vibration from the Northampton Loop Line railway; the floodplain of the Wootton Brook; hedgerows within the site; utilities easements crossing the site; and land needed for public open space, children's play provision and surface water drainage attenuation). Assuming a suitable average net density of 40 dwellings per hectare, Define has determined that the land between the NSSUE and the railway line can accommodate some 336 dwellings. The Council currently proposes 361 dwellings across the three sites (0168, 1009 and 1142), which would require an inappropriately high average net density of around 43 dwellings per hectare, resulting in an urban form that would be discordant with the average net density of 35 dwellings per hectare within the adjacent NSSUE. We consider that the 'excess' 25 dwellings that it is inappropriate to accommodate on sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 can and should be accommodate within the NSSUE itself, where it would be appropriate to increase the net density of housing in close proximity to its local centre and primary school above the currently permitted 35 dwellings per hectare. In this way, that area of the NSSUE close to its facilities can serve appropriately	1142 and that the excess should be accommodated within Northampton South SUE.	Policy 13 is indicative.
Representation reference: 233/1/18 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	as its core. Comments: The replacement of sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 by a single allocation for 336 dwellings therefore renders this element of the Plan "justified" (appropriate), while the accommodation of the 'excess' 25 dwellings within the NSSUE itself (near its local centre and primary school) renders the Plan both "justified" (appropriate) and "effective" (deliverable), with the replacement integrated housing trajectory for the NSSUE and its westerly extension presented at Appendix 2 to this representation rendering the Plan "effective" (deliverable). Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Suggested changes: Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Officer comments: This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the combined sites 0168, 1009 and 1142.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 236/1/3	Policy 38	and soundness:	All site specific policies which are within 3km of the Nene	All site specific	All development
		Plan is legally	Valley should have a cross reference to Polices 27 and 30	policies which are	proposals will be
Name:		compliant.	Green Infrastrlucture and Upper Nene Gravel Pits . The Green	within 3km of the	considered taking
CPRE			at Gt Houghton has a cross reference to policy 30 but CPRE	Nene Valley should	into account all the
		Plan is unsound:	considers there are inconsistencies with other site specific	have a cross	relevant policies
		- not consistent	policies , for instance Ransome Road which we consider is part	reference to Polices	contained in the
		with national policy	of the Nene Valley green infrastucture corridor .	27 and 30 Green	local plan.
			We trust that these can be dealt with as part of the soundness	Infrastrlucture and	
			exercise .	Upper Nene Gravel	
				Pits.	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 239/2/2	Policy 38	and soundness:	In order to ensure that the Policy approach taken in Chapter 8	See detailed	Noted.
			is sound it is considered that the NLP2 should allocate	comments.	
Name:		Plan is unsound:	sufficient employment land to address the very significant		
Duncan		- not positively	quantitative and qualitative shortfall of industrial and		
Investments Ltd -		prepared	warehousing land.		
Houghton Gate		- not justified			
		- not effective	The Houghton Gate site should be identified as an employment		
		- not consistent	allocation to help meet this shortfall.		
		with national policy			
			The Houghton Gate site has the potential to help support a		
			range of economic aspirations at the local and sub-regional		
			level, particularly in terms of meeting Northampton Borough's		
			future growth needs.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 239/2/3	Policy 38	and soundness:	Duncan Investments Ltd is currently seeking the allocation of	Allocation of	A Sites Allocation
	,	Plan is legally	the Houghton Gate site for employment development through	Houghton Gate for	Methodology and
Name:		compliant.	the emerging NLP2. In August 2018, Duncan Investments Ltd	employment use.	Land Availability
Duncan			submitted the site to the Local Plan Review 'call for sites' as a		Assessment
Investments Ltd -		Plan is unsound:	site for employment use. In June 2019, representations were		(SAMLAA) has been
Houghton Gate		- not positively	submitted on the NLP2 Submission Draft Consultation (Round		produced as
5		prepared	1). However, the Houghton Gate site has not been allocated for		supporting evidence
		- not justified	employment use in the Round 2 Submission plan.		for the LPP2. The
		- not effective			site (LAA0593 –

		- not consistent	Duncan Investments Ltd considers that the NLP2 fails to		North of Bedford
		with national policy	adequately and positively plan for new employment		Road) has been
		with national policy	investment in line with the recommendations of the Council's		assessed as a part of
			own evidence base, and it is vitally important that land is		the site selection
			allocated for employment development. It is considered that,		process used in the
			the Houghton Gate site would be make a sustainable and		SAMLAA for the
			important contribution to employment land supply and should		LPP2. The site has
			therefore be allocated for employment use.		been found to be
			Representations have also been prepared in response to the		unsuitable for
			West Northamptonshire Strategic Plan [WNSP] Issues		allocation due the
			Consultation (October 2019) seeking the allocation and		potential impact on
			delivery of new employment land around Northampton, to		the village of Great
			help meet demand.		Houghton and its
			Duncan Investments Ltd, is a local developer with a 35-year		heritage assets, and
			track record of developing around Northampton. Duncan		due to its proximity
			Investments Ltd control all of the land which has no title or		to the Upper Nene
			agricultural tenancy restrictions and would seek to bring the		Valley Gravel Pits
			site forward immediately such that it would be delivered within		SPA and Ramsar
			the first 5 years of the Northampton Local Plan period.		Site. No change.
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 239/2/9	Policy 38	and soundness:	Duncan Investments Ltd considers that the only way to achieve	Require Houghton	NBC have met with
	and	Plan is legally	this aim is through the allocation of additional employment	Gate to be	the landowner and
Name:	Employme	compliant.	sites, including land at Houghton Gate. Evidence has been	allocated.	consultant, and
Duncan	nt		provided to justify the proposed allocation including an		requested sight of
Investments Ltd -	allocation	Plan is unsound:	Employment Land Needs Assessment which undertook the		the evidence base
Houghton Gate		- not positively	following actions:		which was never
		prepared	1. reviewed key planning policy documents and economic		received (including
		- not justified	growth studies produced for Northampton as well as other		Natural England's
		- not effective	published sub-regional studies and information that relate to		assessment of the
		- not consistent	economic strategies, property markets, and relevant business		site). No
		with national policy	and economic statistics;		modification
			2. interrogated latest available economic data and forecasts		required.
			produced by Experian and reviewed other relevant commercial		
			property market data;		

			 reviewed commercial property market information for the Northampton area, particularly in relation to prevailing market dynamics and the need for strategic sites based on a Market Analysis undertaken by TDB Real Estate (reproduced in Appendix 1 of the ELNA); and, assessed the proposed scheme at Houghton Gate in terms of the potential scale of the economic impacts of the site. 		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 38	and soundness:	Duncan Investments Ltd considers that the policy approach in	In order to ensure	Allocations for
239/2/10	/	Plan is legally	Chapter 8 of the NLP2 would not meet the tests of soundness	that the Policy	employment are
		compliant.	because:	approach taken in	included in Policy
Name:		•	1. It is not Positively prepared: There is a clear need for	Chapter 8 is sound	38. Policy 17
Duncan		Plan is unsound:	additional industrial and warehousing land in Northampton	it is considered	safeguards existing
Investments Ltd -		- not positively	from both a quantitative and qualitative perspective. The policy	that:	employment land
Houghton Gate		prepared	approach taken fails to adequately promote economic	1. The NLP2	and Policy 18
		- not justified	development and the furtherance of economic growth. It fails	should allocate	supports
		- not effective	to set out a clear economic vision and strategy which positively	sufficient	employment
		- not consistent	and proactively encourages sustainable economic growth,	employment land	provision outside of
		with national policy	having regard to Local Industrial Strategies and other local	to address the very	safeguarded
			policies for economic development and regeneration.	significant	employment sites
			2. It is not justified: The policy approach does not align with	quantitative and	that meet certain
			the Local Plan evidence base which concludes that there is an	qualitative shortfall	criteria.
			insufficient supply of large-scale units to meet demand over	of industrial and	Employment land is
			the course of the plan period. In addition, the JPU's current	warehousing land.	also expected to
			employment land evidence base's conclusion, that there is a	2. The	come forward on
			need for 28,500 new jobs and 965,009 sqm of B- Class land, is	Houghton Gate site	Sustainable Urban
			flawed, makes no provision for losses, and is out of date given	should be identified	Extensions around
			that this level of jobs growth has already been comfortably	as an employment	Northampton. No
			exceeded with no mechanism in place for identifying needs	allocation to help	modification
			post 2029.	meet this shortfall.	required.
			3. It is not effective: The NLP2 fails to identify strategic sites,		
			for local and inward investment to match the strategy and to	The	
			meet anticipated needs over the plan period. Planning policies	Houghton Gate site	
			should also be flexible to accommodate needs not anticipated	has the potential to	
			in the Plan; and address the specific requirements of different	help support a	

			 industrial sectors. This is particularly relevant in the context of Northampton Borough's very substantial unmet industrial needs which risks undermining its future economic growth. 4. It is not consistent with national policy: It does not contribute to the achievement of sustainable development, including the economic objective in the Framework to help build a strong, responsive and competitive economy, by ensuring that sufficient land of the right types is available in the right places and at the right time to support growth, innovation and improved productivity. 	range of economic aspirations at the local and sub- regional level, particularly in terms of meeting Northampton Borough's future growth needs.	
Representation reference: 242/1/3 Name: Robert de Vito Boutin	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not consistent with national policy	Comments: I believe the Northampton Local Plan to be unsound in two areas: it is not positively prepared in that there is land that could be added to the plan for development not currently included.	Suggested changes: See other comments.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 242/1/4 Name: Robert de Vito Boutin	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not consistent with national policy	Comments: It is not consistent with national policy because according to Chapter 2 "Northampton Now" Section A "A Profile of Northampton" Subsection € "Housing" para 2.12 the Council has "not been able to meet its 5 year housing land supply as required by the Government". The shortfall to 2019 was 2,340 houses. Additionally, Chapter 2 Section B "Key Challenges and Opportunities for Northampton" subsection (a) "Challenges" ii 'Housing Delivery' para 2.50 states; "Evidence shows that the Borough does not have a 5 year housing land supply".	Suggested changes: The proposed change that I consider necessary is to add a site of 9.62 acres in Great Houghton to Policy 38 "Development Allocations". The site is former Glebe Land to the north of the railway line that bisects the village, west of the	Officer comments: The allocated sites within the LPP2 have been assessed through the Sites Allocation Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) process against a variety of criteria. Site LAA0327 (Martins Farm) has been

 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	conservation area,	assessed through
	south of the border	this process and has
	with the former	been found to be
	Great Houghton	not suitable for
	Preparatory School	allocation due to
	and Brackmills	proximity to Great
	Industrial Estate.	Houghton and
	The land connects	issues associated
	to the village	with heritage and
	directly via Rectory	coalescence.
	Close, an access	No modification
	road which already	required.
	serves five houses.	
	Having spoken with	
	Noreen we have	
	agreed that my	
	submission will be	
	sent via	
	SurveyMonkey and	
	a site map will be	
	emailed separately	
	to the Planning	
	Policy Inbox under	
	the subject "Former	
	Glebe land at Great	
	Houghton".	
	It can be seen from	
	the map of the	
	village "Great	
	Houghton in 1900"	
	published in the	
	"Great Houghton	
	Conservation Area	
	Appraisal &	
	Management Plan"	
	Wallagement Flam	

Consultation Draft
page 10 Para 4.4
that there was a
building on the land
at this time, located
close to the
northern boundary.
There appears to
be no trace of this
building today, but
the land has been
built on in the past.
Development could
be residential, to
help meet the
housing shortage in
the borough, or for
an hotel to help
meet the room
shortage outlined
in Chapter 2 of the
Plan, Section A,
subsection (g)
"Tourism" para
2.26 and Table 4
'Northampton
Projected
Requirements for
new Hotel
Development 2019-
2029' which gives
the number of
"Total New Hotel
Rooms" required at

	between 298 and	
	553.	
	Development	
	would aim to be in	
	line with all	
	relevant policies. In	
	addition, there are	
	specific policies	
	that relate to it and	
	which it would aim	
	to specifically	
	satisfy:	
	A: The number of	
	houses or size of	
	hotel would be	
	appropriate	
	specifically to	
	support the	
	economic, social	
	and environmental	
	conditions of Policy	
	1 "Sustainable	
	Development".	
	Residential	
	development might	
	consist of around	
	30 high value	
	homes, providing	
	each plot with an	
	average of around	
	a third of an acre of	
	green space overall.	

	B: Development
	would specifically
	aim to support
	Policy 2
	"Placemaking" and
	the related Policy 3
	"Design" in every
	respect, enhancing
	the unique village
	"feel" of Great
	Houghton with high
	quality buildings
	using suitable
	materials, even
	though it lies
	outside the Village
	Conservation Area.
	C: Development
	would specifically
	aim to support the
	requirements of
	Policy 4 "Amenity
	and Layout". It has
	the distinct
	advantage of
	supporting Policy
	27 "Green
	Infrastructure" and
	Policy 28 "Providing
	Open Spaces" in
	that it connects
	Great Houghton
	village directly for
	the first time to the
	Brackmills Country
	Brackmins Country

Park (Parks and Gardens) and is Well within the maximum 720m walk from the large area of "Natural and Semi Natural Green Space" to the south. D: Development would specifically aim to support vould specifically aim to support Policy 6 "Health aim to support Policy 6 "Health aim to support vould specifically aim to support Policy 6 "Health aim to support Policy 6 "Health aim to support vould specifically aim to support Policy 6 "Health aim to support Policy 6 "Health and Wellbeing" by the nature of its location within a storing and vibrant willage community. E: The land fails within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar	
well within the maximum 720m walk from the large area of "Natural and Semi Natural Green Space" to the south. D: Development would specifically aim to support Policy 6 "Health and Wellbeing" by the nature of its location within a strong and vibrant village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green "Policy 41).	
Image: Second	
walk from the large area of "Natural and Semi Natural Green Space" to the south. and Semi Natural Green Space" to the south. D: Development would specifically aim to support Policy 6 "Health and Wellbeing" by the nature of its location within a strong and vibrant willage community. E: The land falls within the 3km vithin the 3km	well within the
area of "Natural and Semi Natural Green Space" to the south. D: Development would specifically aim to support Policy 6 "Health and Wellbeing" by the nature of its location within a strong and vibrant village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
and Semi Natural Green Space" to the south. D: Development would specifically aim to support Policy 6 "Health and Wellbeing" by the nature of its location within a strong and vibrant village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, wihich are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	walk from the large
Green Space" to the south. D: Development would specifically aim to support Policy 6 "Health and Wellbeing" by the nature of its location within a strong and vibrant village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The	area of "Natural
Image: second	and Semi Natural
D: Development would specifically aim to support Policy 6 "Health and Wellbeing" by the nature of its location within a strong and vibrant village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	Green Space" to
would specifically aim to support Policy 6 "Health and Wellbeing" by the nature of its location within a strong and vibrant village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	the south.
would specifically aim to support Policy 6 "Health and Wellbeing" by the nature of its location within a strong and vibrant village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
would specifically aim to support Policy 6 "Health and Wellbeing" by the nature of its location within a strong and vibrant village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	D: Development
aim to support Policy 6"Health and Wellbeing" by the nature of its location within a strong and vibrant village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	would specifically
Policy 6 "Health and Wellbeing" by the nature of its location within a strong and vibrant village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
and Wellbeing" by the nature of its location within a strong and vibrant village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
the nature of its location within a strong and vibrant village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, Which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
Incation within a strong and vibrant village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
village community. E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	strong and vibrant
E: The land falls within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
within the 3km zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
zone adjacent to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	E: The land falls
Image: state of the state of	within the 3km
Image: state of the state of	zone adjacent to
Valley Gravel Pits Special protection Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
Special protectionArea, RamsarPolicies 29 & 30,which are beingsatisfied by thedevelopment to thesouth of the villageknown as "TheGreen" (Policy 41).	
Area, Ramsar Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
Policies 29 & 30, which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
which are being satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
satisfied by the development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
development to the south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
south of the village known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
known as "The Green" (Policy 41).	
Green" (Policy 41).	
requirements to	

Representation reference:	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: In direct response to Policy 38, the respondent once again	protect the local habitat and bird life will be met where appropriate. The site fits well with Northampton Borough Council's Vision as set out in Chapter 3 "Vision and Borough Objectives" section (a) "Vision" para 3.2: "Northampton will provide a balanced range of high quality housing to meet differing housing needs and aspirations and offer an excellent quality of life for all its communities". Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
reference: 250/1/23 Name: St Clair Land and Developments LLP Old Bedford Road	Policy 38	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	In direct response to Policy 38, the respondent once again welcomes the reference to site 1138 Land to South of Bedford Road, and the conclusions within our earlier submissions in respect of this site remain. The site is, suitable, available and achievable and would secure a viable residential development.	None.	Noted.

Representation reference: 251/1/30 Name: Duncan Investments Ltd - Site E of Towcester Rd	Refers to: Policy 38	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The Developers support the inclusion of Site 1102 'Site east of Towcester Road' allocated foresidential development, as set out on the Policies Map.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 65/1/14 Name: English Regional Transport Association	Refers to: para. 13.4	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Housing: Since the above housing development(Site no.0333) is close to the main railway line, there should not be any housing development on that site. Moreover this could obliterate old railway track-beds. In any case the housing will encourage more road traffic, and the town's roads are frequently congested.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: This site has been assessed in the Site Assessment Methodology and Land Availability Assessment (SAMLAA) which includes detailed investigations on matters associated with transport connections and sustainability. All development sites put forward in the Local Plan Part 2 have been modelled to assess their potential transport implications on the impact on the network.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 153/1/1	para. 13.6	and soundness:	The draft policy 39 states that "Network Rail has indicated that	Amend paragraph	The changes
	and Policy	Plan is legally	subject to the current and future freight/ commercial	to read: "Network	recommended are
Name:	39	compliant.	operation being moved elsewhere, this opens up the potential	Rail has indicated	considered
Network Rail			for the residual railway land to be developed".	that subject to the	acceptable. Modify
		Plan is sound.	Following discussion with Network Rail, it was concluded that	provision of a	the plan to state:
			this comment relates to para 13.6	suitable	Amend paragraph
				replacement site to	13.6 to read:
				accommodate rail	"Network Rail has
				freight activities,	indicated that
				this opens up the	subject to the
				potential for the	provision of a
				residual railway	suitable
				land to be	replacement site to
				developed".	accommodate rail
					freight activities,
					this opens up the
					potential for the
					residual railway land
					to be developed".
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 35/1/9	Policy 39	and soundness:	The text is much improved and draws on the production of the	The first policy	it is agreed that a
		Plan is legally	HIA and its revisions to highlight the sensitivity and the levels	criteria bullet point	proposed
Name:		compliant.	of truncation in the inner bailey area and castle mound. The	should be amended	modification will
Historic England			policy however remains unsound without amendment.	to read "A high	clarify the policy.
		Plan is unsound:		quality	Modify the first
		- not effective		development that	criteria bullet point
		- not consistent		preserves and	to Policy 39 to read:
		with national policy		enhances the	"high quality
				significance and	development that
				appreciation of the	preserves and
				former castle site,	enhances the
				its designated	significance and
				components and	appreciation of the
				their setting.	former castle site,
				Design and capacity	its designated

		Γ			
				will be informed by	components and
				detailed	their setting. Design
				archaeological	and capacity will be
				investigations and	informed by
				assessments in	detailed
				advance of	archaeological
				development". This	investigations and
				would ensure that	assessments in
				the archaeology	advance of
				continues to guide	development"
				the development	
				that comes forward	
				and ensures that	
				Historic England	
				can comment fully	
				at planning	
				application stage.	
				approation ottaget	
				Historic England	
				would be happy to	
				agree this and all	
				other changes	
				suggested below by	
				SOCG.	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 53/1/12	Policy 39	and soundness:	We welcome the reference made to maximising the use of	Add new paragraph	It is agreed that an
	-	Plan is legally	Sustainable Drainage Systems on site subject to a detailed	to supporting text	additional
Name:		compliant.	assessment being prepared.	to read:	paragraph to
Anglian Water			As set out in the Proposed Submission Local Plan there is	There is an existing	support Policy 39
Services Limited		Plan is unsound:	existing Anglian Water infrastructure within the boundary of	sewer in Anglian	would clarify the
		- not effective	the site. We would ask that this be considered as part of the	Water's ownership	position. It is
			site design and layout to ensure that we can continue to serve	within the	recommended that
			our customers. In the event that there is a need to divert our	boundary of the	the plan be
			existing assets a formal application to Anglian Water would be	site and the site	modified to include
			required.	layout should be	a new paragraph to
			- 4	designed to take	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			1		

				these into account.	supporting text of
				This existing	Policy 39 to read:
				infrastructure is	There is an existing
				protected by	sewer in Anglian
				easements and	Water's ownership
				should not be built	within the boundary
				over or located in	of the site and the
				private gardens	site layout should be
				where access for	designed to take
				maintenance and	these into account.
				repair could be	This existing
				restricted. The	infrastructure is
				existing sewers	protected by
				should be located	easements and
				in highways or	should not be built
				public open space.	over or located in
				If this is not	private gardens
				possible a formal	where access for
				application to	maintenance and
				divert Anglian	repair could be
				Water's existing	restricted. The
				assets may be	existing sewers
				required.'	should be located in
					highways or public
					open space. If this is
					not possible a
					formal application
					to divert Anglian
					Water's existing
					assets may be
					required.'
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 53/1/13	Policy 39	and soundness:	Add new criterion to Policy 39:	Add new criterion	It is agreed that a
		Plan is legally	'the safeguarding of suitable access for the maintenance of foul	to Policy 39:	proposed
Name:		compliant.	drainage infrastructure.'	'the safeguarding	modification to the
				of suitable access	plan will strengthen

Anglian Water		Plan is unsound:		for the	Policy 39. Modify
Services Limited		- not effective		maintenance of	the plan to include a
				foul drainage	new criterion to
				infrastructure.'	Policy 39:
					'the safeguarding of
					suitable access for
					the maintenance of
					foul drainage
					infrastructure.'
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 65/1/16	Policy 39	and soundness:	Housing: Since the above housing development(Site no.0333) is	None.	This site has been
, , -	/	Plan is not legally	close to the main railway line, there should not be any housing		assessed in the Site
Name:		compliant:	development on that site. Moreover this could obliterate old		Assessment
English Regional		- reason not	railway track-beds. In any case the housing will encourage		Methodology and
Transport		specified	more road traffic, and the town's roads are frequently		Land Availability
Association			congested.		Assessment
		Plan is unsound:			(SAMLAA) which
		- not positively			includes detailed
		prepared			investigations on
		- not justified			matters associated
		- not effective			with transport
		- not consistent			connections and
		with national policy			sustainability. All
					development sites
					put forward in the
					Local Plan Part 2
					have been modelled
					to assess their
					potential transport
					implications on the
					impact on the
					network.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 39	and soundness:	19. All Site Specific Policies within this chapter (and in	Each of the Site	All site specific
197/1/17		Plan is not legally	particular Policy 41) will require substantial mitigation	Specific Policies	policies will need to
		compliant:		should therefore be	comply with other

Name: Northamptonshire County Council		- reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	measures and supporting infrastructure to be provided, both for on-site and off-site measures.	amended to include specific reference to the importance of any scheme complying with Policy 37 – Infrastructure to ensure sustainability and address any negative impacts of development of this scale.	relevant generic development policies in the plan so it is not considered necessary to list them, including Policy 37.
Representation reference: 234/1/9 Name: Diversified Property Fund For Charities	Refers to: Policy 39	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The redevelopment of Northampton Railway Station and surrounding land is obviously a key aspiration for the NLPP2, with Policy 39 (and the supporting figure) providing details as to how these proposals should be delivered. The junction of Wilmington Terrace and St Andrew's Road is identified for junction improvements as part of the proposals. Whilst the Trade Park is not accessed directly from this junction, Wilmington Terrace forms the southern boundary and St Andrew's Road the western boundary of the Trade Park with the accesses points into the Trade Park being from junctions with these roads (Quorn Way and Francis Street).	Suggested changes: It is essential that any junction improvements continue to ensure high quality and unfettered vehicular access into the Trade Park. If subsequent iterations of the plan are to contain additional information about these junction improvements DPFC would welcome the opportunity to be consulted and provide comments.	Officer comments: Noted.

Representation reference: 241/1/10 Name: British Horse Society	Refers to: Policy 39	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Pedestrians and cyclists are included in the policy. Opportunities to expand the PRoW network and link to existing PRoW's are to be welcomed but not to disadvantage equestrians. Surfacing of routes should be considered by developers, for example the permeable and durable flexi- pave/rubber crumb is environmentally and economically more sustainable as well as suiting all users of new multi-use routes.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Minor modification proposed to Policy 32 to refer to integration with public rights of way.
Representation reference: 65/1/8 Name: English Regional Transport Association	Refers to: para. 13.10	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Movement – The trackbeds of the old railway lines between Northampton and Market Harborough and Northampton Castle – Brackmills must be protected for restoration for railway use; there should also be provision for eventual re- opening of that line to Bedford, improving more connectivity. There must be new stations/halts at Watermills (new University campus) and at Brackmills (industrial estate); furthermore the old Bridge Street station should also be re- opened and the ERTA also wishes to see the Northampton – Wellingborough line re-opened. Northampton North –West and Northern Relief Roads - These will both shift traffic rather than removing it and the new road space will soon fill up with traffic. Furthermore it will also obliterate the track-bed of the old Northampton-Market Harborough railway line which the ERTA also wishes to see re- opened. St.James Inner Relief Road - This is a short-term project (apparently currently put on hold due to funds)and again, this will shift traffic rather than removing it and at best, given there is a considerable amount of commercial and domestic development in Northampton Borough, the new road space will soon fill up with traffic. It will also obliterate the old track- bed of the old Northampton - Bedford railway. There must be provision for a potential level crossing where the road crosses the old track-bed (also see APPENDIX D - Transport and Infrastructure Schedule).	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The route of the former Northampton to Market Harborough railway line is safeguarded in Policy 34 and on the Policies Map. It is proposed to modify the plan to include the railway corridor to Brackmills for future transport use.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 65/1/9	para.	and soundness:	Movement – The trackbeds of the old railway lines between	None specified.	The route of the
	13.11	Plan is not legally	Northampton and Market Harborough and Northampton		former
Name:		compliant:	Castle – Brackmills must be protected for restoration for		Northampton to
English Regional		- reason not	railway use; there should also be provision for eventual re-		Market Harborough
Transport		specified	opening of that line to Bedford, improving more connectivity.		railway line is
Association			There must be new stations/halts at Watermills (new		safeguarded in
		Plan is unsound:	University campus) and at Brackmills (industrial estate);		Policy 34 and on the
		- not positively	furthermore the old Bridge Street station should also be re-		Policies Map. It is
		prepared	opened and the ERTA also wishes to see the Northampton –		proposed to modify
		- not justified	Wellingborough line re-opened.		the plan to include
		- not effective	Northampton North – West and Northern Relief Roads - These		the railway corridor
		- not consistent	will both shift traffic rather than removing it and the new road		to Brackmills for
		with national policy	space will soon fill up with traffic. Furthermore it will also		future transport
			obliterate the track-bed of the old Northampton-Market		use.
			Harborough railway line which the ERTA also wishes to see re-		
			opened.		
			St.James Inner Relief Road - This is a short-term project		
			(apparently currently put on hold due to funds)and again, this		
			will shift traffic rather than removing it and at best, given there		
			is a considerable amount of commercial and domestic		
			development in Northampton Borough, the new road space		
			will soon fill up with traffic. It will also obliterate the old track-		
			bed of the old Northampton - Bedford railway. There must be		
			provision for a potential level crossing where the road crosses		
			the old track-bed (also see APPENDIX D - Transport and		
			Infrastructure Schedule).		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 53/1/14	Policy 40	and soundness:	Policy 40 Martin's Yard Extension - SUPPORT	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	We welcome the reference made to maximising the use of		
Name:		compliant.	Sustainable Drainage Systems on site subject to a detailed		
Anglian Water			assessment being prepared.		
Services Limited		Plan is sound.	Similarly we welcome the reference made to considering the		
			location of the existing water main and foul sewer as part of		

			the site layout to ensure access can be maintained following construction.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 65/1/10 Name: English Regional Transport Association	Policy 40	and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	 Movement – The trackbeds of the old railway lines between Northampton and Market Harborough and Northampton Castle – Brackmills must be protected for restoration for railway use; there should also be provision for eventual re- opening of that line to Bedford, improving more connectivity. There must be new stations/halts at Watermills (new University campus) and at Brackmills (industrial estate); furthermore the old Bridge Street station should also be re- opened and the ERTA also wishes to see the Northampton – Wellingborough line re-opened. Northampton North –West and Northern Relief Roads - These will both shift traffic rather than removing it and the new road space will soon fill up with traffic. Furthermore it will also obliterate the track-bed of the old Northampton-Market Harborough railway line which the ERTA also wishes to see re- opened. St.James Inner Relief Road - This is a short-term project (apparently currently put on hold due to funds)and again, this will shift traffic rather than removing it and at best, given there is a considerable amount of commercial and domestic development in Northampton Borough, the new road space will soon fill up with traffic. It will also obliterate the old track- bed of the old Northampton - Bedford railway. There must be provision for a potential level crossing where the road crosses the old track-bed (also see APPENDIX D - Transport and Infrastructure Schedule). 	None specified.	The route of the former Northampton to Market Harborough railway line is safeguarded in Policy 34 and on the Policies Map. It is proposed to modify the plan to include the railway corridor to Brackmills for future transport use.
D					
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 123/1/2	Policy 40	and soundness:	Changes have been made to the Policies Map which have the potential to create ambiguity following the adoptions of the	See other comments.	Noted.
Name:		Plan is unsound:	Northampton Local Plan Part 2. This is because Figure 19 in the		
Henry Martin Ltd		- not effective	Northampton Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft Round 2		

shows that the area of land to the north of Martin's Yard Business Park is an employment allocation. This is confirmed in Policy 38 and Policy 40. However, the revised Policies Map does not show this area as an 'Employment Allocation Site' (which should be shaded in light purple). Instead, it shows the expansion site as 'Natural and Semi Natural Green Space' (shaded in light green) and a 'Local Wildlife Site' (brown dots). The policies that are associated with the 'Natural and Semi Natural Green Space' designation and the 'Local Wildlife Site' conflict with Policy 38 and Policy 40 which collectively seek to deliver new employment development on the 1.4-hectare site to the north of the Martin's Yard Business Park. The discrepancies outlined above give rise to policy conflicts, which threaten the deliverability of the Martin's Yard Extension (LAA1005) and thus make the Policies Map unsound. Should these discrepancies be rectified as set out below, it is considered that the Policies Map would be sound:	
 (LAA1005) and thus make the Policies Map unsound. Should these discrepancies be rectified as set out below, it is considered that the Policies Map would be sound: * Identify the 1.4-hectare employment allocation to the north of Martin's Yard Business Park that is shown on Figure 19 in the Northampton Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft Round 2 with light purple shading on the Policies Map. * Amend the 'Natural and Semi Natural Green Space' (light green shading), so that it does not overlap the site allocated for employment development (as per the Policies Map that accompanied the Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft (April 2019)). * Amend the Policies Map so that the area covered by the 'Local Wildlife Site' designation does not prevent the 1.4-hectare employment allocation to the north of Martin's Yard 	
Business Park coming forward for employment development in the future. Please refer to the accompanying written representations for further information.	

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 123/1/9	Policy 40	and soundness:	Policy 40 - Martin's Yard Extension (LAA1005)	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	Henry Main Ltd is pleased to see that, following discussions		
Name:		compliant.	with the Council over recent years, the decision has been taken		
Henry Martin Ltd			to allocate Site 1005 for employment development.		
		Plan is unsound:	The allocation of Site 1005 for employment uses ill provide the		
		- not effective	owners of the site with the opportunity to reconfigure,		
			upgrade and extend the Business Park. This will enable Martin's		
			Yard Business Park to accommodate the expansion plans of the		
			businesses currently operating from the site and also has the		
			potential to attract new business investment to the area.		
			Furthermore the allocation of Site 1005 for employment		
			development accords with PolicyS8(1) of the West		
			Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy which seeks to		
			concentrate the majority of new job growth within the		
			principal urban area of Northampton. Similarly, it will also		
			make a positive contribution towards creating 28,500 new jobs		
			in the West Northamptonshire area over the plan period in line		
			with Policy S7 of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core		
			Strategy.		
			The above factor will make a positive contribution towards		
			facilitating business growth and creating new job opportunities		
			in accordance with paragraph 80 of the NPPF.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 40	and soundness:	Moreover, as set out in Policy 40, the allocation of Site 1005 for	None.	Noted.
123/1/10	-	Plan is legally	employment us will create a unique opportunity for the site' s		
		compliant.	owners to work proactively with the Council and other		
Name:			organisations to conserve, manage and enhance the		
Henry Martin Ltd		Plan is unsound:	Kingsthorpe Mire Local Wildlife Site.		
		- not effective	The exact nature of the ecologicaenhancements will be subject		
			to detailed discussions with the Council as part of the planning		
			process. It is envisaged that these ecological improvements will		
			support the Council's future plans for the Kingsthorpe Mire		
			Local Wildlife Site as set out in the Northampton Green		
			Infrastructure Plan (May 2016).		

		As a result, the allocation f Site 1005 for employment development will also make a positive contribution towards managing, conserving and enhancing the quality of Northampton's strategic green infrastructure network, biodiversity corridors and wildlife habitats in line with the general thrust of national and local planning policies.		
Refers to: Policy 40	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Overall, it is considered that Policy 40 has been positively prepared, is a justified and effective approach to take towards the extension of Martin's Yard Business Park and is consistent with the NPPF.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Refers to: Policy 40 and	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally	Comments: As was the case with the Policies Map that accompanied the Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft (April 2019), the Key for the	Suggested changes: To rectify these discrepancies, it is	Officer comments: It is agreed that the Policies Map need clarifying. Modify
map	Plan is unsound: - not effective	 Plan Part 2 Submission Draft Round 2 indicates that the sites on the Polices Map that are shaded in light purple are 'Employment Allocation Sites'. The employment allocation to the north f Martin's Yard Business Park was shaded in light purple on the Policies Map that accompanied the Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft (April 2019) 2. However, it is noted that whilst Policy 40 (Martin's Yard Extension (LAA1005)) and Figure 19 of the Northampton Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft Round 2 collectively confirm that the 1.4-hectare site to the north of Martin's Yard Business Park is subject to an employment allocation, this area is not shaded in light purple on the Policies Map that accompanies the current Northampton Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft Round 2. Despite this, many of the other sites that were shaded ligt 	requested that the employment allocation to the north of Martin's Yard Business Park is shaded in light purple on the revised Policies Map.	the Policies Map (site LAA1005) to highlight the area allocated for employment in purple.
	Policy 40 Refers to: Policy 40 and policies	Policy 40 and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective Policy 40 and soundness: Policy 40 and soundness: and Plan is legally policies Plan is legally map Plan is unsound:	development will also make a positive contribution towards managing, conserving and enhancing the quality of Northampton's strategic green infrastructure network, biodiversity corridors and wildlife habitats in line with the general thrust of national and local planning policies.Refers to: Policy 40Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.Comments: Overall, it is considered that Policy 40 has been positively prepared, is a justified and effective approach to take towards the extension of Martin's Yard Business Park and is consistent with the NPPF.Refers to: Policy 40 and and policies mapLegal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.Comments: As was the case with the Policies Map that accompanied the Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft (April 2019), the Key for the Policies Map that accompanies the current Northampton Local Plan is unsound: - not effectiveComments: As was the case with the Policies Map that accompanies the current Northampton Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft Round 2 indicates that the sites on the Policies Map that are shaded in light purple are 'Employment allocation to the north f Martin's Yard Business Park was shaded in light purple on the Policies Map that accompanied the Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft (April 2019) 2. However, it is noted that whils Policy 40 (Martin's Yard Extension (LAA1005)) and Figure 19 of the Northampton Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft Round 2 collectively confirm that the 1.4-hectare site to the north of Martin's Yard Business Park is subject to an employment allocation, this area is not shaded in light purple on the Policies Map that accompanies the current Northampton Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft Round 2.	development will also make a positive contribution towards managing, conserving and enhancing the quality of Northampton's strategic green infrastructure network, biodiversity corridors and wildlife habitats in line with the general thrust of national and local planning policies.Suggested changes: None.Refers to: Policy 40Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.Comments: Overall, it is considered that Policy 40 has been positively prepared, is a justified and effective approach to take towards the extension of Martin's Yard Business Park and is consistent with the NPPF.Suggested changes: None.Refers to: Policy 40 and policies mapLegal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.Comments:

			Map that accompanies the current Northampton Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft Round 2.		
Representation reference:	Refers to: Policy 40	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: The Key for the revised Policies Ma implies that proposals	Suggested changes: As such, it is	Officer comments: It is agreed that the
123/1/14	and	Plan is legally	affecting land identified as 'Natural and Semi Natural Green	respectfully	Policies Map need
•	policies	compliant.	Space' will be assesseid against Policy 28 (Providing Open	requested that the	clarifying. Modify
Name: Henry Martin Ltd	map	Plan is unsound:	Spaces). Policy 28 states: "New major developments must ensure that open spaces	area of land to the north of Martin's	the Policies Map (site LAA1005) to
		- not effective	defined on the Policies Map are sustained or enhanced." It will not be possible to construct the new employment development identified in Policy 40 and sustain the existing	Yard Business Park that is identified as an employment	highlight the area allocated for employment in
			amount of 'Natural and Semi Natural Green Space' on the site as required by Policy 28. Therefore, in its current format, the Policies Map gives rise to a conflict between Policy 40 and	allocation in Figure 19 of the Northampton Local	purple.
			Policy 28.	Plan Part 2	
				Submission Draft	
				Round 2 is removed	
				from the 'Natural	
				and Semi Natural	
				Green Space'	
				designation on the	
				revised Policies Maip (as it was on	
				the Policies Map	
				that accompanied	
				the Local Plan Part	
				2 Submission Draft	
				(April 2019)).	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 40	and soundness:	Furthermore, the Key for the revised Policies Map implies that	Changes to Policies	It is agreed that the
123/1/15	and	Plan is legally	development proposals relating to a 'Local Wildlife Site' will	Map.	Policies Map need
	policies	compliant.	need to comply with Policy 26 and Policy 29. Policy 26 relates		clarifying. Modify
Name:	map		to sites for burial space, so it would appear that refenence to		the Policies Map
Henry Martin Ltd		Plan is unsound:	this policy has been included in error in the Key for the Policies		(site LAA1005) to
		- not effective	Map. Policy 29 seeks to support and enhance biodiversity. As		highlight the area

			explained above, the site's owners intend to work with the Council and other organisations to conserve, manage and enhance the Kingsthorpe Mire Local Wildlife Site in the area of land to the north and east of the new employment site. The third bullet point in Policy 40 provides the necessary provisions to secure these ecological and green infrastructure enhancements and net biodiversity gains. Additionally, it is noted that the 'Local Wildlife Site' designation was not shown on the Policies Map that accompanied the Local Plan Part 2 Submission Draft (April 2019). In light of these factors, it is respectfully requested that the Policies Map is amended so that the area covered by the 'Local Wildlife Site' designation does not prevent the 1.4-hectare expansion site to the north of Martin's Yard Business Park from coming forward for employment development in the future.		allocated for employment in purple.
Representation reference: 197/1/18 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 40	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 19. All Site Specific Policies within this chapter (and in particular Policy 41) will require substantial mitigation measures and supporting infrastructure to be provided, both for on-site and off-site measures.	Suggested changes: Each of the Site Specific Policies should therefore be amended to include specific reference to the importance of any scheme complying with Policy 37 – Infrastructure to ensure sustainability and address any negative impacts of development of this scale.	Officer comments: All site specific policies will need to comply with other relevant generic development policies in the plan so it is not considered necessary to list them, including Policy 37.
Representation reference: 65/1/12	Refers to:	Legal compliance and soundness:	Comments: Movement – The trackbeds of the old railway lines between	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The route of the

Name:		Plan is not legally	Castle – Brackmills must be protected for restoration for		Northampton to
English Regional		compliant:	railway use; there should also be provision for eventual re-		Market Harborough
Transport		- reason not	opening of that line to Bedford, improving more connectivity.		railway line is
Association		specified	There must be new stations/halts at Watermills (new		safeguarded in
Association		specified	University campus) and at Brackmills (industrial estate);		Policy 34 and on the
		Plan is unsound:	furthermore the old Bridge Street station should also be re-		Policies Map. It is
			<u> </u>		•
		- not positively	opened and the ERTA also wishes to see the Northampton –		proposed to modify
		prepared	Wellingborough line re-opened.		the plan to include
		- not justified	Northampton North – West and Northern Relief Roads - These		the railway corridor
		- not effective	will both shift traffic rather than removing it and the new road		to Brackmills for
		- not consistent	space will soon fill up with traffic. Furthermore it will also		future transport
		with national policy	obliterate the track-bed of the old Northampton-Market		use.
			Harborough railway line which the ERTA also wishes to see re- opened.		
			St.James Inner Relief Road - This is a short-term project		
			(apparently currently put on hold due to funds) and again, this		
			will shift traffic rather than removing it and at best, given there		
			is a considerable amount of commercial and domestic		
			development in Northampton Borough, the new road space		
			will soon fill up with traffic. It will also obliterate the old track-		
			bed of the old Northampton - Bedford railway. There must be		
			provision for a potential level crossing where the road crosses		
			the old track-bed (also see APPENDIX D - Transport and		
			Infrastructure Schedule).		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 30/1/10	Policy 41	and soundness:	The second item in the bulleted list, regarding the opportunity	The second item in	Agreed modification
	,	Plan is not legally	to create woodland and other habitats, is not a criterion but an	the bulleted list,	to Policy 41: Move
Name:		compliant:	observation and in my view does not belong in the policy	regarding the	second bullet
Northamptonshire		- not in accordance	wording. It would be more appropriate in the supporting text.	opportunity to	criteria from Policy
County Council		with SCI		create woodland	41 to supporting
				and other habitats,	text.
		Plan is unsound:		is not a criterion	
		- not justified		but an observation	
		- not consistent		and in my view	
		with national policy		does not belong in	
				does not belong III	l

Representation reference: 30/1/11 Refers to: Policy 41 Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: County Council Comments: The seventh bullet refers to a buffer but does not indicate what is to be buffered, only where the buffer is to be located. I think some clarification on this point would help make the policy on to in accordance with SCI Suggested changes: The seventh bullet some clarification on this point would help make the policy easier for case officers to deliver on the ground. Suggested changes: The seventh bullet indicate what is to be buffered, only where the buffer is to be located. I think some clarification on this point would help nake the policy easier for case officers to deliver on the ground. Suggested changes: The seventh bullet indicate what is to be buffered, only where the buffer is to be located. I think some clarification on this point would help make the policy easier for case officers to deliver Suggested changes: The seventh bullet is come the seventh bullet is to be located. I think some clarification on this point would help make the policy easier for case officers to deliver Officer comments: to be coated. I think some clarification on this point would help make the policy easier for case officers to deliver Officer comments: the area of seventh shaded green in the diagram (that separates the allocated site from Great Houghton, and Great Houghton, and Great Houghton, and cacessibility are to be determined following surveys for Special				the policy wording. It would be more appropriate in the supporting text.	
Protection Area	reference: 30/1/11 Name: Northamptonshire	and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not in accordance with SCI Plan is unsound: - not justified - not consistent	The seventh bullet refers to a buffer but does not indicate what is to be buffered, only where the buffer is to be located. I think some clarification on this point would help make the policy	Suggested changes: The seventh bullet refers to a buffer but does not indicate what is to be buffered, only where the buffer is to be located. I think some clarification on this point would help make the policy easier for case officers to deliver	It is agreed that a proposed modification to Policy 41 will provide clarification. Suggested modification in brackets (): A buffer is to be created, in the form of ecological enhancements and net increase in biodiversity within the area of search shaded green in the diagram (that separates the allocated site from Great Houghton, and Great Houghton from Brackmills Industrial Estate). Appropriate types of habitat and accessibility are to be determined following surveys

Representation reference: 35/1/10 Name: Historic England	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Sound. The policy amendments following the HIA are welcomed.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 53/1/15 Name: Anglian Water Services Limited	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Policy 41 The Green, Great Houghton -OBJECT (in part) - EFFECTIVE POLICY 41 Reference to the incorporation for Sustainable Drainage Systems was included in Policy 36 of the Propsoed Submission Local Plan (now Policy 41). However this appears to have been removed from the current version of the plan. To ensure the policy is effective we would ask that reference be made to the requirement to maximise the use of SuDs on site subject to a detailed assessment which appears in the other allocation site policies in the Part 2 Local Plan.	Suggested changes: Add new criterion to Policy 41: 'Subject to detailed assessment (including an assessment of contaminated land), development on this site should maximise the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS).' As set out in the Proposed Submission Local Plan there is existing Anglian Water infrastructure within the boundary of the site. We would ask that this be considered as part of the site design and layout to ensure that we can	Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification to Policy 41 will strengthen it. It is recommended that the plan be modified and a new criterion be added to Policy 41: 'Subject to detailed assessment (including an assessment of contaminated land), development on this site should maximise the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS).'

Representation reference: 53/1/16 Name: Anglian Water Services Limited	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: As set out in the Proposed Submission Local Plan there is existing Anglian Water infrastructure within the boundary of the site. We would ask that this be considered as part of the site design and layout to ensure that we can continue to serve our customers. In the event that there is a need to divert our existing assets a formal application to Anglian Water would be required. Add new paragraph to supporting text to read: There is an existing sewer in Anglian Water's ownership within the boundary of the site and the site layout should be designed to take these into account. This existing infrastructure is protected by easements and should not be built over or located in private gardens where access for maintenance and repair could be restricted. The existing sewers should be located in highways or public open space. If this is not possible a formal application to divert Anglian Water's existing assets may be required.'	continue to serve our customers. In the event that there is a need to divert our existing assets a formal application to Anglian Water would be required. Suggested changes: Add new paragraph to supporting text to read: There is an existing sewer in Anglian Water's ownership within the boundary of the site and the site layout should be designed to take these into account. This existing infrastructure is protected by easements and should not be built over or located in private gardens where access for maintenance and repair could be restricted. The existing sewers should be located in highways or	Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification to would clarify the position with regards to the area in Anglian Water's ownership. Modify the plan by adding a new paragraph to the existing supporting text of Policy 41 to read: There is an existing sewer in Anglian Water's ownership within the boundary of the site and the site layout should be designed to take these into account. This existing infrastructure is protected by easements and should not be built
--	-------------------------	--	--	---	--

				public open space. If this is not possible a formal application to divert Anglian Water's existing assets may be required.'	over or located in private gardens where access for maintenance and repair could be restricted. The existing sewers should be located in highways or public open space. If this is not possible a formal application to divert Anglian Water's existing assets may be required.'
Representation reference: 53/1/17 Name: Anglian Water Services Limited	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Add new criterion to Policy 41: 'the safeguarding of suitable access for the maintenance of foul drainage infrastructure.'	Suggested changes: Add new criterion to Policy 41: 'the safeguarding of suitable access for the maintenance of foul drainage infrastructure.'	Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification to the policy will strengthen it. Modify the plan by adding a new criterion to Policy 41: 'the safeguarding of suitable access for the maintenance of foul drainage infrastructure.'
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 68/1/11	Policy 41	and soundness: Plan is not legally	The plan also explains that to accommodate The Green, Great Houghton residential allocation site for 800 dwellings, the	None.	Noted.
Name:		compliant:	eastern approach of the A45 Barnes Meadow junction would		
Highways England		l	need upgrading including widening the bridge. We would		

		 reason not specified Plan is unsound: reason not specified 	welcome further engagement with the Council as this site progresses to determine the impacts on the A45.		
Representation reference: 105/1/2 Name: Great Houghton Parish Council	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The Parish Council representation sets out below how the allocation of The Green at Great Houghton fails to meet the NPPF's tests of soundness. This representation shows that the allocation of this site is not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national planning policy. Positively prepared To be positively prepared Local Plan Part 2 should provide "a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed nee; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development." (NPPF, paragraph 35).	Suggested changes: See other comments.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 105/1/9 Name: Great Houghton Parish Council	Refers to: Policy 41 and Appendix C	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Northamptonshire County Council in their letter dated 3rd April 2020 concerning Traffic Modelling and Analysis make the following comments on The Green allocation: "As I have commented previously, the location and topography of site 1098 (The Green, Houghton) suggests that it will connect to The Green. This a minor unclassified road which is likely to require significant upgrading to accommodate development on this scale. While access from The Green is possible to both Newport Pagnell Road and Bedford Road, little traffic is heading to the latter which appears to be the result of the cumulative impacts of all the various developments in that area.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Appendix C states that Transport Assessments will be required for development proposals. These may indicate the need for localised improvement works, particularly around acces to the site.

			The V/C ratios on the eastern Bedford Road approach to the Barnes Meadow interchange are in the 100-100% range in the AM peak do- minimum scenario (without the plan allocations) and are unchanged in the do-something scenario (with the plan allocations). The traffic flows difference plots show that flows are barely changed, but do indicate additional flow through the Brackmills industrial estate which would appear to be traffic avoiding the Bedford Road.		Policy 37 requires major development proposals to contribute to infrastructure associated with and resulting from the scheme.
			Combined with knowledge of the existing traffic situation in the area, this would indicate that some significant upgrading of the eastern Bedford Road approach to the Barnes Meadow roundabout will be required, specifically the widening of the river bridge (which being so close to the A45 is a constraint on stacking capacity at the signal stop line). While this will not be cheap, it should be noted that the design of the bridge does enable relatively easy widening, as this was done on the similar structure west of the A45 some years ago. It should be noted that there is also a discernible movement off traffic off Newport Pagnell Road which is rat-running through Brackmills to reach the A45 at Brackmills Interchange, but this impact may be mitigated if it is easier for traffic from The Green to exit via Bedford Road." 21. This response fails to take account of any impact on the existing village of Great Houghton. Additional traffic generated by the development will have a severe impact on Great		Proposals that come forward for the site will also need to be in conformity with Policies 32 (designing sustainable transport and travel), 33 (highway network and safety) and 34 (transport schemes and mitigation). No modification required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Houghton village. Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 105/1/10	Policy 41 and Appendix	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	The County Council identify The Green – "as a minor unclassified road which is likely to require significant upgrading to accommodate development on this scale". In reality this is	None specified.	Appendix C states that Transport Assessments will be
Name: Great Houghton Parish Council	C	Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified	an unlit single track road with passing places and blind bends. At the moment, the edges of the road break down, especially during winter, creating large potholes which need regular repair. There is frequent fly tipping in the passing places. At present this route does not cope with its current traffic usage.		required for development proposals. These may indicate the need for localised

		- not effective			improvement
		- not consistent			works, particularly
		with national policy			around acces to the
		with national policy			site.
					Policy 37 requires
					major development
					proposals to
					contribute to
					infrastructure
					associated with and
					resulting from the
					scheme.
					Proposals that come
					forward for the site
					will also need to be
					in conformity with
					Policies 32
					(designing
					sustainable
					transport and
					travel), 33 (highway
					network and safety)
					and 34 (transport
					schemes and
					mitigation).
					No modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 41	and soundness:	The Green is bordered by agricultural land and, whilst at a cost,	None specified.	Appendix C states
105/1/11	and	Plan is legally	this route could potentially be improved, such an improvement		that Transport
	Appendix	compliant.	fails to take account of the extra traffic passing along the High		Assessments will be
Name:	C		Street in the village, a road which cannot be upgraded. Existing		required for
Great Houghton		Plan is unsound:	problems within the village will only deteriorate further.		development
Parish Council		- not positively			proposals. These
		prepared			may indicate the
		- not justified			need for localised

[Γ				:
		- not effective			improvement
		- not consistent			works, particularly
		with national policy			around acces to the
					site.
					Policy 37 requires
					major development
					proposals to
					contribute to
					infrastructure
					associated with and
					resulting from the
					scheme.
					Proposals that come
					forward for the site
					will also need to be
					in conformity with
					Policies 32
					(designing
					sustainable
					transport and
					travel), 33 (highway
					network and safety)
					and 34 (transport
					schemes and
					mitigation).
					No modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 41	and soundness:	The impact of increased traffic along the village's main	None specified.	Appendix C states
105/1/12		Plan is legally	thoroughfare, Great Houghton High Street, and at the junction		that Transport
		compliant.	of this route with the A428 Bedford Road to the north of the		Assessments will be
Name:			village needs to be re-considered.		required for
Great Houghton		Plan is unsound:			development
Parish Council		- not positively	Great Houghton High Street ("The High Street") runs		proposals. These
		prepared	north/south through the village and is single carriageway in		may indicate the
		- not justified	both directions. The High Street is narrow, with parked cars at		need for localised

- not effective	various points, especially where local homes have no off- street	improvement
- not consistent	car parking. This reduces traffic speeds and creates numerous	works, particularly
with national polic	y bottlenecks. Nevertheless, some drivers and cyclists speed,	around acces to the
	particularly when heading downhill in a northward direction.	site.
		Policy 37 requires
	Pedestrians using the length of the High Street have to	major development
	regularly cross the road as there is pavement on one side only	proposals to
	in places. This includes children using school buses from Little	contribute to
	Houghton Primary School and Wollaston School and anyone	infrastructure
	using the Village Hopper bus (they all stop at the White Hart	associated with and
	pub).	resulting from the
		scheme.
	National Cycle Route 6 passes up the High Street from the	Proposals that come
	disused railway line at the Glebe and then passes along the	forward for the site
	Green. It is well used and provides an opportunity to improve	will also need to be
	health and active lifestyles. However, there is an	in conformity with
	incompatibility between these aims and the additional traffic	Policies 32
	created by development at The Green that will use the cycle	(designing
	route, making it significantly less safe for cyclists and deterring	sustainable
	users of this mode of travel.	transport and
		travel), 33 (highway
	The High Street is not suitable for HGVs. Formal warning signs	network and safety)
	have been placed with regard to HGVs entering the village. This	and 34 (transport
	does not deter such use and leads to such vehicles mounting	schemes and
	footways.	mitigation).
		No modification
	There are roughly 300 homes in Great Houghton. If 800 new	required.
	homes are to be built on The Green housing allocation, and	
	assuming a minimum of 2 cars per new dwelling, 1,600 extra	
	vehicles will potentially use The Green. The County Council	
	assumption is that The Green (with significant upgrading) will	
	be used, but that assumption fails to take into account the	
	impact on the High Street, usage and detrimental impact will	
	increase significantly.	

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 41	and soundness:	At the north of the village the High Street meets the A428. The	None specified.	Appendix C states
105/1/13		Plan is legally	A428 has a 60mph speed limit. There have been accidents,		that Transport
		compliant.	including fatalities, at the junction. Villagers must use this		Assessments will be
Name:			junction and turn east to access the local school/Post		required for
Great Houghton		Plan is unsound:	Office/church which are all in Little Houghton. This manoeuvre		development
Parish Council		- not positively	can take significant time and is difficult and dangerous to		proposals. These
		prepared	make.		may indicate the
		- not justified			need for localised
		- not effective			improvement
		- not consistent			works, particularly
		with national policy			around acces to the
					site.
					Policy 37 requires
					major development
					proposals to
					contribute to
					infrastructure
					associated with and
					resulting from the
					scheme.
					Proposals that come
					forward for the site
					will also need to be
					in conformity with
					Policies 32
					(designing
					sustainable
					transport and
					travel), 33 (highway
					network and safety)
					and 34 (transport
					schemes and
					mitigation).
					No modification
					required.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 41	and soundness:	31. Additionally, although there are two lanes at the junction,	None specified.	Appendix C states
105/1/14		Plan is legally	the two-lane stretch is only a few car lengths long. So, once a		that Transport
		compliant.	handful of cars are waiting to turn east, those wishing to turn		Assessments will be
Name:			west and head into Northampton cannot get past them to		required for
Great Houghton		Plan is unsound:	access the west bound lane and a queue forms up through the		development
Parish Council		- not positively	village. Given the wait time to turn, the queue moves slowly.		proposals. These
		prepared			may indicate the
		- not justified			need for localised
		- not effective			improvement
		- not consistent			works, particularly
		with national policy			around acces to the
					site.
					Policy 37 requires
					major development
					proposals to
					contribute to
					infrastructure
					associated with and
					resulting from the
					scheme.
					Proposals that come
					forward for the site
					will also need to be
					in conformity with
					Policies 32
					(designing
					sustainable
					transport and
					travel), 33 (highway
					network and safety)
					and 34 (transport
					schemes and
					mitigation).
					No modification
					required.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 41	and soundness:	This effect is exacerbated when there is any problem on the	None specified.	Appendix C states
105/1/15		Plan is legally	A45 or the M1 (we also note that in their response dated 3rd		that Transport
		compliant.	November 2017 to the Sites Consultation, Highways England		Assessments will be
Name:			raised their concerns about new site allocations impact on the		required for
Great Houghton		Plan is unsound:	Strategic Route Network). The Green (see below) is the		development
Parish Council		- not positively	quickest route from Wootton to the A428 and cars use the		proposals. These
		prepared	High Street and Green as part of a cross country route between		may indicate the
		- not justified	the A45/M1 and the A428. The wait time in particular to make		need for localised
		- not effective	the eastbound turn is then extended. Essentially, because of		improvement
		- not consistent	the slow turn, even just a few additional cars result in a		works, particularly
		with national policy	significant wait. A queue of cars may also be seen waiting to		around acces to the
			turn south on the A428 to go south, up the High Street.		site.
					Policy 37 requires
			There is no way to widen the High Street, as there are houses		major development
			on either side of its length. Much of this route is also within the		proposals to
			Conservation Area.		contribute to
					infrastructure
					associated with and
					resulting from the
					scheme.
					Proposals that come
					forward for the site
					will also need to be
					in conformity with
					Policies 32
					(designing
					sustainable
					transport and
					travel), 33 (highway
					network and safety)
					and 34 (transport
					schemes and
					mitigation).
					No modification
					required.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 41	and soundness:	The village and The Green site are poorly served by public	None specified.	Policy 32 of the
105/1/16		Plan is legally	transport. The Sustainability Appraisal refers to buses on the		LPP2 requires
		compliant.	A428 Bedford Road. It is difficult to understand how these		developments to
Name:			would be used by residents of The Green.		demonstrate
Great Houghton		Plan is unsound:	This is some 2km (straight line) distant from The Green site		sustainable travel
Parish Council		- not positively	allocation. This is considered beyond reasonable for bus users		principles including
		prepared	to consider using. The bus stops on the A428 are already		accessibility and
		- not justified	difficult for more nearby residents of Great Houghton village to		usability of public
		- not effective	use, particularly those with mobility problems, being accessed		transport and
		- not consistent	via the High Street, the problems of which have already been		maximising
		with national policy	highlighted. Access for those going eastbound, or returning		opportunities for
			from Northampton, would also entail crossing the Bedford		walking and cycling.
			Road to gain access to the village/The Green site, there have		No modification
			been two recent fatalities in this area of bus users crossing this		required.
			road.		
			Bus is not considered an option for The Green site.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 41	and soundness:	Great Houghton is a village which has grown organically	None specified.	Policy 41 of the
105/1/17		Plan is legally	through small developments. The centre of the village is a		LPP2 sets out that
		compliant.	Conservation Area; this includes the portion of the High Street		any proposed
Name:			that would be affected by additional traffic and queueing.		scheme will need to
Great Houghton		Plan is unsound:	The development of 800 new dwellings is out of scale with the		take into account
Parish Council		- not positively	village and will begin the gradual erosion of the separation of		the sensitivities and
		prepared	the village from Northampton. The village will lose its separate		significance of the
		- not justified	identity.		setting of Great
		- not effective	At the moment, leaving the village to the south and travelling		Houghton and the
		- not consistent	towards The Green allocation land, beyond Leys Lane (the		Conservation Area.
		with national policy	entrance to the village hall and playing field), one enters open		No modification
			countryside. This open land is an integral part of the setting of		required.
			the village and its detachment from other built development.		
			This is noted in the Conservation Area Appraisal "The visual		
			separation between Great Houghton and the built-up area		
			makes a major contribution to the setting and to the character and appearance of the Conservation Area." (page 16,		

			https://www.northampton.gov.uk/info/200207/building_conse rvation_and_tree s/1629/great_houghton_conservation_area).		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
Representation reference: 105/1/18 Name: Great Houghton Parish Council	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Allocation of this site will also lead to loss of Grade 2 agricultural land and functionally linked habitat for golden plover and lapwing linked to the Special Protection Area. On these matters the Council's own SAMLA concludes: "Significant negative effect likely on proximity of designated sites/ on avoid loss of greenfield land/ on avoid loss of high quality agricultural land." Rather than deal with these issues now Policy 41 merely says they will be subject to further study and suitbale mitigation.	Suggested changes: Without knowing the impact development of The Green may have the site should not be allocated.	The SAMLAA identifies loss of agricultural land but would contribute positively to housing provision. Surveys are required to understand further, whether the site is used for over- wintering birds associated with the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits SPA. If numbers are significant, offsite mitigation will be
					required for loss of habitat. No modification required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 41	and soundness:	Additional residents will mean greater pressure on services	None specified.	Policy 37 of the
105/1/19		Plan is legally	including schools, doctors and dental surgeries etc. In		LPP2 requires major
		compliant.	particular, the local primary schools are Wootton, Caroline		development
Name:			Chisholm and Preston Hedges, all of which are oversubscribed		proposals to
Great Houghton		Plan is unsound:	to the extent that applications are in some cases limited to		contribute to the
Parish Council		- not positively	those living within half a mile. Great Houghton's local primary		delivery of and
		prepared	school is in Little Houghton. This is a small village school (it		where necessary
		- not justified	currently has just under 100 pupils and is almost full) and		provide land /
		- not effective	would not have the necessary capacity for a development of		suitable sites for
			this size. The development needs to be self-sustaining in this		new infrastructure

		- not consistent with national policy	respect as existing resources are insufficient. This is a further drain on already scarce resources. Effective The third test of soundness concerns is the plan effective? This response has already demonstrated that the Local Plan Part 2 strategy is not deliverable over the plan period (see paragraphs 3 to 16 of this response).		associated with and resulting from the scheme. No modification required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 41	and soundness:	The Green housing land allocation would not enable	None specified.	The proposed
105/1/20		Plan is legally	sustainable development in accordance with the policies of the		allocation at The
		compliant.	NPPF.		Green, Great
Name:			Whilst The Green allocation would contribute to the national		Houghton is located
Great Houghton		Plan is unsound:	aim of increasing housing growth, this is unnecessary at this		next to the large
Parish Council		- not positively	time, on an inappropriate site and comes with significant		employment site of
		prepared	negative costs – many of which remain to be quantified.		Brackmills. Existing
		- not justified	Paragraph 72 of the NPPF provides specific policy guidance for		public transport
		- not effective	those seeking to identity large sites:		routes are located
		- not consistent			to the north and
		with national policy	"72. The supply of large numbers of new homes can often be		south of the site on
			best achieved through planning for larger scale development,		the Bedford Road
			such as new settlements or significant extensions to existing		and the Newport
			villages and towns, provided they are well located and		Pagnell Road.
			designed, and supported by the necessary infrastructure and		Any proposal
			facilities. Working with the support of their communities, and		coming forward will
			with other authorities if appropriate, strategic policy- making		need to adhere to
			authorities should identify suitable locations for such		Policy 37 of the
			development where this can help to meet identified needs in a		LPP2 to ensure the
			sustainable way. In doing so, they should:		relevant
					infrastructure is
			a) consider the opportunities presented by existing or planned		delivered in a timely
			investment in infrastructure, the area's economic potential and		manner to support
			the scope for net environmental gains;		housing. No modification
			b) ensure that their size and location will support a sustainable		
			community, with sufficient access to services and employment		required.

	1
opportunities within the development itself (without expecting	
an unrealistic level of self-containment), or in larger towns to	
which there is good access;	
c) set clear expectations for the quality of the development and	
how this can be maintained (such as by following Garden	
Cityprinciples), and ensure that a variety of homes to meet the	
needs of different groups in the community will be provided;	
d) make a realistic assessment of likely rates of delivery, given	
the lead-in times for large scale sites, and identify	
opportunities for supporting rapid implementation (such as	
through joint ventures or locally-led development	
corporations)35; and	
e) consider whether it is appropriate to establish Green Belt	
around or adjoining new developments of significant size."	
The Green is not well located or well supported by necessary	
infrastructure or facilities (paragraph 72a). The Green is not	
included within existing or planned investment for	
infrastructure – it will bring an additional and competing claim	
on such resources.	
The Green will not be a sustainable community (paragraph	
72b). It will be car dependent with poor access to services,	
especially for those without access to a private car and limited	
access to employment opportunities. Neither of these will be	
available on site. Policy 41 fails to identify how any needed	
infrastructure improvements will be funded or provided; this is	
not consistent with paragraph 34 of the NPPF:	
"34. Plans should set out the contributions expected from	
development. This should include setting out the levels and	
types of affordable housing provision required, along with	
other infrastructure (such as that needed for education, health,	
transport, flood and water management, green and digital	
infrastructure). Such policies should not undermine the	
deliverability of the plan."	

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	By failing to quantify these needs the allocation of The Green undermines the deliverability of the WNJCS and Local Plan Part 2, we note our earlier points, and the Council's own position, that delivery on large sites is persistently under delivering. Policy 41 does set out a set a parameters for the quality of the development (paragraph 72c). Policy 41 does not make a realistic assessment of likely rates of delivery (paragraph 72d) nor does it set out any mechanism for how the site can be delivered. Paragraph 72d is not considered relevant. Paragraph 103 of the NPPF states: "Significant development should be focused on locations which are or can be made sustainable, through limiting the need to travel and offering a genuine choice of transport modes. This can help to reduce congestion and emissions, and improve air quality and public health."	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
105/1/21 Name: Great Houghton Parish Council		Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	has been shown elsewhere in this representation, development of this site is not sustainable: it does not limit the need to travel, there is no genuine choice of transport modes; as a result this will lead to increased congestion and have negative impacts on air quality and public health.		allocation at The Green, Great Houghton is located next to the large employment site of Brackmills. Existing public transport routes are located to the north and south of the site on the Bedford Road and the Newport Pagnell Road. Any proposal
					coming forward will need to adhere to

					Policy 37 of the
					LPP2 to ensure the
					relevant
					infrastructure is
					delivered in a timely
					manner to support
					housing as well as
					other policies
					throughout the LPP2
					which seek to
					improve air quality
					(such as Policy 32
					(Designing
					Sustainable
					Transport and
					Travel) and Policy 35
					(provision of
					facilities for electric
					vehicle charging
					points)).
					No modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 41	and soundness:	The allocation of land at The Green as has been shown in this	If the Borough	The Council
105/1/22		Plan is legally	representation is unnecessary and not sustainable. The Parish	Council should	completed an
		compliant.	Council seeks the removal of this allocation from Local Plan	disagree with this	extensive Land
Name:			Part 2. If the Borough Council should disagree with this position	position and	Availability
Great Houghton		Plan is unsound:	and proceed with the allocation, the Parish Council will seek	proceed with the	Assessment exercise
Parish Council		- not positively	the following changes to Local Plan Part 2:	allocation, the	to ascertain
		prepared	a) Allocation of the area shaded green in Figure 20 of Local Plan	Parish Council will	whether the sites
		- not justified	Part 2as a green wedge. This will ensure continued separation	seek the following	are suitable,
		- not effective	of the proposed new development from Great Houghton	changes to Local	developable and
		- not consistent	village.	Plan Part 2:	deliverable prior to
		with national policy	b) Quantification of the traffic impact on The High Street, Great	a) Allocation of the	allocating them for
			Houghton and identification of specific mitigation measures to	area shaded green	development, as
			avoid or mitigate these impacts.	in Figure 20 of Local	required by
	1	1		5	. ,

c) Surveys should be undertaken before the land is allocated to	Plan Part 2 as a	Government
identify whether the site is used by over-wintering Golden	green wedge. This	guidelines. There
Plover / Lapwing	will ensure	•
	continued	are policies in the
i.e. to be carried out in the winter. If significant numbers of		Local Plan which are
Golden Plover or Lapwing are identified at the site, offsite	separation of the	designed to ensure
mitigation required for the loss of habitat should be identified	proposed new	that the scheme,
as part of the allocation.	development from	and all development
	Great Houghton	schemes in
	village.	Northampton,
	b) Quantification of	deliver sustainable
	the traffic impact	transport and travel,
	on The High Street,	and appropriate
	Great Houghton	mitigation.
	and identification	The Council does
	of specific	not currently have
	mitigation	evidence to justify a
	measures to avoid	green wedge policy.
	or mitigate these	The ecological
	impacts.	enhancement will
	c) Surveys should	act as a buffer
	be undertaken	between the
	before the land is	existing village and
	allocated to	the new
	identify whether	development.
	the site is used by	Details of what
	over-wintering	constitutes
	Golden Plover /	ecological
	Lapwing	enhancements will
	i.e. to be carried	be considered at
	out in the winter. If	dveelopment
	significant numbers	management stage.
	of Golden Plover or	No modification
	Lapwing are	required.
	identified at the	
	site, offsite	
	mitigation required	

				for the loss of habitat should be identified as part of the allocation.	0///
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 161/1/3	Policy 41	and soundness:	(A) Not positively prepared:	None specified.	The LPP2 plans for a
N		Plan is not legally	The proposed Local Plan allocates more land than is required to		supply of more
Name: Sarah Williams		compliant:	achieve the projected need – it is not justified per the Council's own data.		dwellings than is
Sarah williams		- not in accordance			required by the
		with SCI - not consistent	Other smaller, more sustainable and deliverable sites are		West
		with regulatory	identified - the Green is a large development but no additional services (e.g. schools, doctors etc) are proposed, so stretched		Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy.
		requirements	local services are likely to be overwhelmed.		This is due to
		requirements	(B) Not justified or effective:		building in
		Plan is unsound:	(b) Not justified of effective.		contingency for
		- not positively			previous under-
		prepared			delivery on the large
		- not justified			SUE sites in and
		- not effective			around
		- not consistent			Northampton.
		with national policy			Smaller sites are
					allocated, that will
					be able to come
					forward quicker, in
					case of continued
					SUE under-delivery.
					Policy 37 of the
					LPP2 requires major
					development
					proposals to
					contribute to the
					delivery of and
					where necessary
					provide land /
					suitable sites for
					new infrastructure

					associated with and
					resulting from the
					scheme. No
					modification
					required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 161/1/4	Policy 41	and soundness:	As mentioned above the site is not needed to meet projections	The site should	Appendix C states
		Plan is not legally	and there are alternatives, while the proposed development at	therefore not be	that Transport
Name:		compliant:	the Green would have a serious detrimental impact on the	allocated.	Assessments will be
Sarah Williams		- not in accordance	village of Great Houghton as follows:		required for
		with SCI	Traffic		development
		- not consistent	Traffic produced by the proposed development would have a		proposals. These
		with regulatory	major impact on the village: At the A428 turn		may indicate the
		requirements	The A428 has a 60mph speed limit. There have been accidents,		need for localised
			including fatalities, at the junction. The right turn (e.g. to go the		improvement
		Plan is unsound:	local school/Post Office/church which are all in Little		works, particularly
		- not positively	Houghton) is difficult and dangerous to make – you often have		around acces to the
		prepared	a significant wait. Although there are two lanes at the junction,		site.
		- not justified	the two-lane stretch is only a few car lengths long. Once a few		Policy 37 requires
		- not effective	cars are waiting to turn right, those wishing to turn left and		major development
		- not consistent	head into Northampton cannot get past them to access the		proposals to
		with national policy	left-hand lane and a queue starts to form up through the		contribute to
			village. Given that each car turning right has to wait to turn, the		infrastructure
			queue moves slowly		associated with and
			Use of the route from is underestimated and has not been		resulting from the
			being properly quantified. It is the quickest route between		scheme.
			Wootton and the A428 and in continuous use. When there is a		Proposals that come
			blockage on the M1 or A45, the number of cars visibly		forward for the site
			increases and a queue already forms up into the village.		will also need to be
			The village has approximately 300 homes. The proposed		in conformity with
			development comprises 800 houses. Assuming 2 cars per		Policies 32
			household, the development would produce an additional		(designing
			1,600 cars. This is likely to produce significant daily queues at		sustainable
			the A428 turn, affecting quality of life for villagers and those		transport and
			living on the development.		travel), 33 (highway
					network and safety

			There is no way to widen the High Street, as there are houses on either side all the way down. It is also within the Conservation Area. I cannot therefore see how this could be effectively mitigated, but in any event, the proposed plan contains no actual assessment of the potential effect. The site should therefore not be allocated.		and 34 (transport schemes and mitigation). No modification required.
Representation reference: 161/1/5 Name: Sarah Williams	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not in accordance with SCI - not consistent with regulatory requirements Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: On the Green The Green is single track with no street lighting. People speed and are unused to using passing places. Large potholes form each winter which need repair. The road does not stand up to its current user and would need to be significantly improved. However, as it is surrounded by fields, it is capable of improvement. The High Street and the turn onto the A428 are not.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Appendix C states that Transport Assessments will be required for development proposals. These may indicate the need for localised improvement works, particularly around acces to the site. Policy 37 requires major development proposals to contribute to infrastructure associated with and resulting from the scheme. Proposals that come forward for the site will also need to be in conformity with Policies 32 (designing sustainable transport and

					travel), 33 (highway network and safety) and 34 (transport schemes and mitigation). No modification required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 161/1/6	Policy 41	and soundness:	Down Great Houghton High Street	None specified.	Appendix C states
		Plan is not legally	The High Street is single carriageway and has parked cars. At		that Transport
Name:		compliant:	these points, the road effectively becomes a single-track with		Assessments will be
Sarah Williams		- not in accordance	passing places. The High Street is a hill all the way down and		required for
		with SCI	drivers and cyclists speed. HGVs are currently a problem, given		development
		- not consistent	the width of the road. There is likely to be additional HGV		proposals. These
		with regulatory	traffic, especially associated with construction.		may indicate the
		requirements	If you need to walk from the top to the bottom of the village,		need for localised
		Diana ia una anna du	you have to cross the road at least once as there is pavement		improvement
		Plan is unsound:	on one side only in places at the top, middle and bottom of the		works, particularly
		 not positively prepared 	village. This includes children using school buses from Little Houghton Primary School and Wollaston School and anyone		around acces to the site.
		- not justified	using the Village Hopper bus (they all stop at the White Hart		Policy 37 requires
		- not effective	pub).		major development
		- not consistent	More traffic means more risk to the safety of those crossing		proposals to
		with national policy	the road and other drivers. More exhaust emissions and		contribute to
		with hational policy	environmental pollution (particularly if traffic is queuing) would		infrastructure
			lead to a loss of amenity and detrimental effect on the health		associated with and
			and wellbeing of those living on or using the High Street.		resulting from the
			Additional rush hour traffic on the A428 and on the Newport		scheme.
			Pagnell road		Proposals that come
			Rush hour queues on the A428 into Northampton take an		forward for the site
			additional 20-30 minutes. If there is problem on the M1/A45,		will also need to be
			there is a solid line of cars going past the A428 turn and back		in conformity with
			towards Bedford and impeding exit from Great Houghton. The		Policies 32
			Queen Eleanor interchange is also busy. Additional traffic will		(designing
			make this worse.		sustainable
					transport and

Representation reference: 161/1/7 Name: Sarah Williams	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not in accordance with SCI - not consistent with regulatory requirements Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: National Cycle Route National Cycle Route 6 passes up the High Street from the disused railway line at the Glebe and then passes along the Green. It is well used, particularly during lockdown. There is currently greater emphasis on health and wellbeing and the benefits of exercise/an active lifestyle. More traffic would make it significantly less safe for cyclists.	Suggested changes: None specified.	travel), 33 (highway network and safety) and 34 (transport schemes and mitigation). No modification required. Officer comments: Proposals that come forward for the site will need to be in conformity with Policies 32 (designing sustainable transport and travel), 33 (highway network and safety) and 34 (transport schemes and mitigation). No modification required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 161/1/8	Policy 41	and soundness:	Loss of character and setting	None specified.	Policy 41 of the
		Plan is not legally	Great Houghton is a village which has grown piecemeal via		LPP2 sets out that
Name:		compliant:	smaller developments. The village includes a Conservation Area		any proposed
Sarah Williams		- not in accordance	covering the High Street including that part which may be		scheme will need to
		with SCI	affected by queues. The effect on our village has not been		take into account
		- not consistent	adequately quantified but instead underestimated and glossed		the sensitivities and
		with regulatory	over.		significance of the
		requirements	As well as the street scene (including within the Conservation		setting of Great
		Dian in une e condu	Area) being affected, given the size of the development relative		Houghton and the
		Plan is unsound:	to the village and its proximity, the development will dwarf and		Conservation Area.

		 not positively prepared not justified not effective not consistent with national policy 	potentially take over the village and so cause the village to lose its identity, not only from the development of houses but due to the volume of traffic and the overall disturbance caused by that, leading to a loss of character and setting. It moves the line of development marking the end of Northampton across the line of the village and is a move towards encirclement/swallowing of the village. At the moment, you leave the village via the Green and once you pass Leys Lane (the entrance to the village hall and playing field), you are immediately in open countryside. This is part of the feel and setting of the village and this detachment is noted in our Conservation Area designation. If this development went ahead, that would be lost.		No modification required.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 161/1/9	Policy 41	and soundness:	Pressure on local services	I therefore think	The proposed
		Plan is not legally	Additional residents will mean greater need in terms of school	the site should be	allocation at The
Name:		compliant:	places and with doctors and dental surgeries etc. My children	removed from this	Green, Great
Sarah Williams		- not in accordance	attend Little Houghton Primary School which is a small village	allocation.	Houghton is located
		with SCI	school with around 85 pupils and most years are almost full. It		next to the large
		- not consistent	could not cope with the additional pupils from a development		employment site of
		with regulatory	of this size and I know from looking at schools for my children		Brackmills. Existing
		requirements	that the schools in Wootton are significantly oversubscribed.		public transport
		Dian is unsound	The development needs to be self-sustaining in this respect as existing resources are insufficient and there is no mention of		routes are located to the north and
		Plan is unsound: - not positively	this. Smaller more sustainable developments would be more		south of the site on
		prepared	easily catered for whereas the size of this development means		the Bedford Road
		- not justified	it would not.		and the Newport
		- not effective	(C) not consistent with national planning policy		Pagnell Road.
		- not consistent	It is a development of a significant size but does not have any		Any proposal
		with national policy	employment opportunities within the development and lacks		coming forward will
			services and supporting infrastructure. Bus services are very		need to adhere to
			limited and the development would create significant traffic		Policy 37 of the
			queues at various points for both Great Houghton and those		LPP2 to ensure the
			living in the development. It is not sustainable by itself and the		relevant
					infrastructure is

			proposed plan does not identify how any improvements needed will be funded. The development will not enhance the environment or wellbeing of those either living in Great Houghton or in the development itself.		delivered in a timely manner to support housing. No modification required.
Representation reference: 162/1/3 Name: Peter Summerside	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: This development should not proceed at all. Great Houghton village cannot cope with the 4,000 new vehicle movements per day that this development would generate.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Traffic modelling has been undertaken by Northamptonshire County Council on behalf of the Borough Council for this site to assess the potential traffic implications of the proposed development on the road network. Policy 32 requires that the transport impacts of new development are mitigated.
Representation reference: 162/1/4 Name: Peter Summerside	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: The proposals would also spoil the pleasant countryside around the village, diminishing its character and destroying local wildlife habitats.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Any proposal that comes forward will need to comply with the relevant policies contained in the development plan and provide relevant mitigation measures. A buffer is being proposed between the

Representation reference: 162/1/5 Name: Peter Summerside	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: If the scheme proceeds at all, far greater consideration should be given to : 1. Vehicle access improvements - the proposed development is on a single track road 2. Traffic calming in Great Houghton – particularly at the Southern end on The Green (which our property adjoins) – vehicles already thunder through here unhindered with no regard for the lives of pedestrians, pets or wildlife. 3. Protection of cyclists and pedestrians (cyclists use Great Houghton and its environs a lot). 4. Improved drainage and infrastructure – a lot of new homes will require significant infrastructure improvements. 5. Environmental and sound buffering between the development, Great Houghton village and Brackmills. 6. Air quality protection. 7. The conservation status of Great Houghton and its special characteristics which need protecting and preserving - it could easily be ruined and overrun by thoughtless mass housing development.	Suggested changes: None.	development site and the existing village. An objective of the Local Plan is the provision of green infrastructure, which includes biodiversity net gain as a condition of development. Officer comments: Noted and will be considered in greater detail at planning application stage if and when a proposal comes forward.
Representation reference: 162/1/6 Name: Peter Summerside	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.	Comments: I hope you will listen to our concerns and act to protect this locality. Too many places have been permanently wrecked in Northants by thoughtless development.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.

Representation reference: 169/1/5 Name: William McFarland	Refers to: Policy 41	Plan is unsound: - not justified Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: There is however no mention of Canada Geese who each year (particulary during August and September) use the northern part of this area (and the southern part of the Diocese land adjacent) for stopping en route on their travels. I trust this, along with your other bird surveys referred to, will reduce the offsite mitigation and protect their habitat.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Applicants proposing development on site LAA1098 will need to liaise with Natural England to determine the relevant surveys that will need to be undertaken, in order to mitigate any disutbance to species. No modification required.
Representation reference: 172/1/8	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally	Comments: Homes England are undertaking technical studies of their landholding at The Green, Great Houghton to support the	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Name: Homes England		compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	submission of an outline planning application for residential development. Homes England support the principle of development coming forward at this site and welcome the site's allocation in the Submission Draft Local Plan Part 2 as a Housing Allocation; Site Policy 41 The Green, Great Houghton; and on the Policies Map.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 172/1/9	Policy 41	and soundness: Plan is legally	Homes England's technical assessment of the site's capacity will include the determination of the extent and location of	Homes England therefore requests	It is agreed that a proposed
Name:		compliant.	ecological enhancements needed to secure a net increase in	the following	modification to the
Homes England		Plan is unsound:	biodiversity and include determination of the location of built development take into account and be sensitive to the significance and the setting of the Great Houghton	changes to Policy 41 and the diagram shown in Figure 20	plan will clarify the policy. Make alterations to bullet

- reason not	conservation area and listed buildings there, as referenced in	to make the Plan	point 1 in Policy 41.
specified	Paragraph 13.14.	sound.	Add details on
		POLICY 41 THE	buffer and need to
	In advance of detailed technical assessment, the location and	GREEN, GREAT	avoid coalescence in
	extent of ecological enhancement and the location of built	HOUGHTON	the supporting text.
	development cannot be determined and specifying their	(LAA1098)	No change to figure
	location and extent restricts flexibility in taking the site	Housing	20.
	forward. As such Homes England objects to the wording of	development of	
	Policy 41 as currently drafted and to the diagram shown in	[approximately]	
	Figure 20.	800 dwellings,	
		[subject to analysis	
	As currently drafted, the policy and diagram are too	of capacity], which	
	prescriptive and do not meet the test of soundness through	comply with the	
	inconsistency with national policy which requires plans to	development	
	positively seek opportunities to meet the development needs	principles shown on	
	of their area, and be sufficiently flexible to adapt to rapid	Figure 20 will be	
	change (NPPF 2019, Paragraph 11).	supported,	
		[following further	
		technical	
		assessment] and	
		the following	
		criteria being met:	
		 Surveys are 	
		undertaken to	
		identify whether	
		the site is used by	
		over-wintering	
		Golden Plover /	
		Lapwing i.e. to be	
		carried out in the	
		winter. If significant	
		umbers of Golden	
		Plover or Lapwing	
		are identified at the	
		site, [appropriate	

mitigation] will be
required for the
loss of habitat i.e.
functionally linked
land
• There is an
opportunity to
provide woodland
and semi-natural
stepping stones
(connected
habitats) adjacent
to and within the
site that will
provide habitat
links
Any development
on this site must
adhere to Policy 30
of this Plan, in
particular with
reference to
recreational
disturbance
• The built
development
should only take
place outside of te
[indicative] area
shaded green in the
diagram [subject to
the confirmation of
this area's
suitability for
ecological

	1 1 1	
	enhancement and	
	to act as a buffer.]	
	The scheme	
	should be of high-	
	quality design, and	
	must take into	
	account and be	
	sensitive to the	
	significance and the	
	setting of the Great	
	Houghton	
	conservation area,	
	evident through a	
	Heritage Impact	
	Assessment	
	• The scheme will	
	need to take into	
	consideration the	
	surrounding	
	townscape	
	character and	
	remain sensitive to	
	the existing small-	
	scale residential	
	development	
	within Great	
	Houghton to the	
	east and	
	Hardingstone to	
	the west. Special	
	regard to	
	Hardingstone	
	Lodge will need to	
	be incorporated in	
	any proposal	

A buffer is to be created, in the form of ecological enhancements and	
of ecological	
anhanaamanta and	
net increase in	
biodiversity within	
the area of search	
shaded green in the	
diagram.	
Appropriate types	
of habitat and	
accessibility are to	
be determined	
following surveys	
for Special	
Protection Area	
birds	
• The development	
provides suitable	
transport links to	
neighbouring	
developments,	
including	
neighbourhood	
centres and	
community	
facilities	
• The close	
proximity of	
Brackmills Country	
Park to the north	
presents an	
opportunity to	
better connect the	
site and the	

rr	1	
		enhance the living
		accommodation of
		those within the
		site boundary and
		the surrounding
		area. The proposal
		should include
		pedestrian and
		cycling provision to
		secure connectivity
		and permeability
		within the site and
		improved
		connections to the
		employment area
		to the north and
		the proposed
		residential areas to
		the west
		Any proposal that
		comes forward
		should include
		suitable measures
		to mitigate the
		impact of
		additional traffic
		generated by the
		development
		Any proposal
		should also include
		air quality and
		noise impact
		assessment from
		the Brackmills
		Industrial Estate

Representation reference: 185/1/14 Name: Wildlife Trust for Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire & Northamptonshire	Refers to: Policy 41 Refers to:	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: This policy has also been improved since the previous version of the Local Plan Part 2; however, it is still of concern as its potential link to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protected Area (SPA) has not been established. The Habitats Regulations Assessment and Policy 41 requests that over- wintering bird surveys should be conducted to investigate the importance of the allocation to the SPA and, using the results of these surveys, to suggest suitable mitigation measures; if it is possible to do so. The area suggested for ecological enhancement within the proposal (Figure 20) seems to have been chosen for landscape rather than biodiversity reasons and is likely to be used for recreation and therefore to be highly disturbed. Policy 41 also lists a range of other issues to be considered within this allocation. We would strongly recommend that the over-wintering bird surveys are carried out as soon as possible so that the importance of the allocation (as functionally linked land) to the SPA and the mitigation/compensation which may be required are clearly established and used to reassess the suitability of the allocation.	 Any application on the site will need to be accompanied by an archaeological investigation that considers any archaeological potential on the site Suggested changes: None 	Officer comments: Surveys are expected to be undertaken by the applicant and will be advised to undertake surveys at the outset. No change.
reference:	Policy 41	and soundness:	19. All Site Specific Policies within this chapter (and in	Each of the Site	All site specific
197/1/19		Plan is not legally	particular Policy 41) will require substantial mitigation	Specific Policies	policies will need to
		compliant:		should therefore be	comply with other

Name: Northamptonshire County Council		- reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	measures and supporting infrastructure to be provided, both for on-site and off-site measures.	amended to include specific reference to the importance of any scheme complying with Policy 37 – Infrastructure to ensure sustainability and address any negative impacts of development of this scale.	relevant generic development policies in the plan so it is not considered necessary to list them, including Policy 37.
Representation reference: 225/1/3 Name: Anthony Smith	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not in accordance with SCI - not consistent with regulatory requirements - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified	Comments: The comments relate to the traffic impacts of site allocation LAA1098.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The Council has undertaken a robust land availability assessment. This assessment concludes that the development can be mitigated against and Policy 41 has been formulated to guide developers accordingly.
Representation reference: 225/1/4 Name: Anthony Smith	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant:	Comments: There has been no assessment of air quality impact within surrounding areas. Much is now known about the detrimental affects of pollution affecting the heart and lungs.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: Policy 6 of the LPP2 requires development to prevent negative

		 not in accordance with SCI not consistent with regulatory requirements not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: not positively prepared not justified 			impacts on residential amenity from poor air quality.
Representation reference: 225/1/5 Name: Anthony Smith	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - not in accordance with SCI - not consistent with regulatory requirements - not compliant with duty to cooperate Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not justified	Comments: There has been no assessment of safety linked to potential additional traffic movement through Gt Houghton.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The Council has undertaken a robust land availability assessment, as well as undertook a traffic modelling exercises. These assessments conclude that the development can be mitigated against and Policy 41 has been formulated to guide developers accordingly.
Representation reference: 246/1/13	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant:	Comments: The Green, Great Houghton requires a detailed project level Habitats Regulations Assessment to address impacts to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits SPA. The Policy wording	Suggested changes: The Green, Great Houghton requires a detailed project	Officer comments: Natural England's response to the LPP2 Draft

Name:	- reason not	currently does not reference the Habitats Regulations which is	level Habitats	Submission Round 1
Natural England	specified	an omission. Policy 30 in its current state does not refer to the	Regulations	consultation dated
		HRA process.	Assessment to	11.06.19 stated: Our
	Plan is unsound:		address impacts to	previous advice on
	- not effective		the Upper Nene	Water Supply &
	- not consistent		Valley Gravel Pits	Water Quality
	with national policy		SPA.	stated that We
				advise that policy
				wording is included
				in the part 2 plan,
				whereby if RAG
				assessments show
				an issue, a project
				level HRA of these
				allocations would be
				required. This is not
				stated within the
				draft plan or HRA.
				The HRA
				accompanying the
				LPP2 Proposed
				Submission Round 2
				states: The RAG (red
				amber green)
				assessments were
				Anglian Water's
				review of sites
				allocated in the
				Local Plan Part 2, to
				determine whether
				further water cycle
				work would be
				required. Paragraph
				5.129 of the April
				2019 HRA confirms

rr	1	
		that Anglian Water
		reviewed the
		allocated sites (as
		proposed in the
		Local Plan sites
		consultation) and
		confirmed that no
		significant water
		treatment issues
		were anticipated. In
		response to
		consultation on the
		Proposed
		Submission Local
		Plan Part 2 (first
		round Regulation 19
		consultation),
		Anglian Water
		raised concerns
		about the
		soundness of the
		Plan57, suggesting
		that a requirement
		for water efficiency
		standards should be
		incorporated into
		policy wording; no
		further concerns
		were raised. Policy 5
		has since been
		updated to include a
		requirement for
		efficiency standards
		of 110l per person
		per day in new
		residential

Representation reference: 246/1/14 Name: Natural England	Refers to: Policy 41	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: This site has been identified as functional linked land and requires winter bird surveys to determine if there will be a loss of functionally linked land (as stated within policy 41). It is stated that if found to be functionally linked land, offsite mitigation will be required. No details have been provided regarding where or how the off-site mitigation would be achieved.	Suggested changes: None specified.	development. The HRA has been updated to reflect that change. No change Officer comments: Any applicant seeking to develop the site will need to provide details of any suitable off-site mitigation through the development management process. No modification
Representation reference: 169/1/3 Name: William McFarland	Refers to: Chapter 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified	Comments: We welcome your proposal for Ecological Enhancement of the area shown in Figure 20 and would emphasise its importance to prevent pressures and disturbance to the birds referred to in Policy 41.	Suggested changes: None.	required. Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 172/1/23 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Chapter 13	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: FIGURE 20 - DEVELOPMENT PRINCIPLES FOR LAND AT THE GREEN, GREAT HOUGHTON 'Ecological enhancement' should be changed to read 'ecological enhancement (indicative)'	Suggested changes: FIGURE 20 - DEVELOPMENT PRINCIPLES FOR LAND AT THE GREEN, GREAT HOUGHTON 'Ecological enhancement' should be changed to read 'ecological	Officer comments: No modification required - There is a need to ensure that there is a buffer between the existing village and the new development area. Add to the preamble text in para 13.12.

Representation reference: 35/2/11 Name: Historic England	Refers to: Policy 42	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Sound. The policy amendments following the HIA are welcomed.	enhancement (indicative)' Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 53/1/18 Name: Anglian Water Services Limited	Refers to: Policy 42	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is sound.	Comments: Policy 42 Greyfriars - SUPPORT We welcome the reference made to maximising the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems on site subject to a detailed assessment being prepared. Similarly we welcome the reference made to considering the location of the existing water mains and sewers as part of the site layout to ensure access can be maintained following construction.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 75/1/13 Name: Town Centre Conservation Area Advisory Committee	Refers to: Policy 42	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: The Holy Sepulchre Conservation Area and Church have been badly affected by road schemes which have isolated them from the town centre. Any development plan for Greyfriars must address this.	Suggested changes: Add a bullet point: "Ensure that the Holy Sepulchre Conservation Area, Church and churchyard are reintegrated back into the town centre and their historic character is enhanced and protected". - In accordance with the NPPF paragraph 200, add a bullet point	Officer comments: Northampton Forward has produced a Town Centre Masterplan covering the town centre and the main gateway route into the town centre from the West. Policies 8 and 9 of the LPP2 set the context for the proposals across the town centre and the wider Central Area, including the Holy

	1			"Fisherse the	Convilations CA and
				"Enhance the	Sepulchre CA and
				setting of the Holy	church. No
				Sepulchre	modification
				Conservation Area,	required.
				and the Holy	
				Sepulchre Church.	
				We also believe	
				that the wider	
				context must be	
				taken into account	
				when designing an	
				appropriately	
				integrated	
				development. We	
				therefore	
				recommend that a	
				Masterplan be	
				developed for the	
				wider area	
				between the	
				Grosvenor Centre,	
				the Mounts,	
				Regents Square,	
				Broad St / Horse	
				Market and	
				Greyfriars.	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Policy 42	and soundness:	19. All Site Specific Policies within this chapter (and in	Each of the Site	All site specific
197/1/20	,	Plan is not legally	particular Policy 41) will require substantial mitigation	Specific Policies	policies will need to
		compliant:	measures and supporting infrastructure to be provided, both	should therefore be	comply with other
Name:		- reason not	for on-site and off-site measures.	amended to include	relevant generic
Northamptonshire		specified		specific reference	development
County Council		specifica		to the importance	policies in the plan
		Plan is unsound:		of any scheme	so it is not
		- reason not		complying with	considered
		specified		Policy 37 –	
		specifieu			necessary to list

Representation reference: 248/1/19 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: para. 13.18	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: The explanatory text at para 13.18 mentions the safeguarded former alignment, but this is not mentioned in the policy itself.	Infrastructure to ensure sustainability and address any negative impacts of development of this scale. Suggested changes: None specified.	them, including Policy 37. Officer comments: The railway line is outside of the boundary of the allocated site (LAA1139). No modification required.
Representation reference: 35/1/12 Name: Historic England	Refers to: Policy 43	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: Whilst the reduction in size of the allocation is welcomed, objections remain to the policy as proposed.	Suggested changes: The words 'at least' must be deleted from the first sentence and replaced with 'up to' to ensure clarity and that heritage assets are conserved and enhanced.	Officer comments: Restricting the number of dwellings on this brownfield site can have an impact on the potential of the site to deliver Northampton's local housing needs. The quantum of development proposed will still need to take into consideration all matters associated with the preservation and enhancement of

Representation reference: 35/1/13 Name: Historic England	Refers to: Policy 43	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: First policy criteria: be two to four storeys in height, with opportunities for taller buildings facing along the principal movement routes and the northern section of the site". This does not accord exactly with the wording in Policy 41 of the HIA (page 105) which states that proposals should 'Be two to four storeys in height, with taller buildings facing along the principal movement routes and the northern section of the site.' It appears that the intention of the HIA was to restrict the height of buildings on the site to 4 storeys max, with those 4-storey buildings being further away from sensitive heritage assets. The Local Plan Policy implies there are opportunities for buildings taller than 4 storeys on the site. Historic England would object due to the impact that would have on the nearby very sensitive heritage assets such as the Battlefield, Delapre Abbey, the Abbey parkland and Conservation Area.	Suggested changes: As such policy criteria bullet point one should be reworded for clarity to read:- "Generally be two to A MAXIMUM OF four storeys in height, with opportunities for THE taller building facing along the principal movement routes and the northern section of the site."	heritage assets. Following updated evidence presented by Homes England a minor modification to the plan is proposed such that the words "at least 200 dwellings" will be replaced with "up to 500 dwellings". No modification required. Officer comments: It is agreed that Policy 43 needs clarifying. It is recommended that the policy be modified to comply with the statement contained in the Heritage Impact Assessment, to read: "Be two to four storeys in height, with taller buildings facing along the principal movement routes and the northern section of the site"
---	-------------------------	--	---	---	--

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 35/1/14	Policy 43	and soundness:	The removal of the portion of the Registered Battlefield from	Add another policy	It is agreed that this
		Plan is legally	the allocation is welcomed by Historic England. Although the	criteria to state:-	additional criteria
Name:		compliant.	remainder of the site is undesignated and has been subject to	"Prior to	would strengthen
Historic England			industrial uses in the 19th and 20th centuries it retains the	development of the	the policy. It is
		Plan is unsound:	potential to yield archaeological information relevant to the	site, further	recommended that
		- not effective	Registered Battlefield. This is recognised in the HIA, which	archaeological	the policy be
		- not consistent	highlights the potential for battlefield archaeology to be	assessment will be	modified through an
		with national policy	present including encampments, along with remains of the	required to inform	additional criteria to
			medieval church that would have formed a component of the	development and	read:
			wider battlefield.	layout of the site"	"Prior to
					development of the
			We have previously advised that archaeological assessment		site, further
			through geophysical survey, metal detecting and excavation		archaeological
			should be a pre-cursor to allocation, to create a sufficiently		assessment will be
			robust evidence base to ensure any proposed allocation is		required to inform
			deliverable in accordance with national and local policies.		development and
					layout of the site"
			As the allocation will be in advance of archaeological		
			assessment of the site, the policy should require assessments		
			to be carried out at an early stage to provide fuller		
			understanding of the sensitivities of the site and inform the		
			design process. We advocate that the allocation should have		
			sufficient flexibility to enable areas of significant archaeological		
			remains to be protected within areas of the development's		
			green space'.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 53/1/19	Policy 43	and soundness:	We welcome the reference made to maximising the use of	None.	Noted.
		Plan is legally	Sustainable Drainage Systems on site subject to a detailed		
Name:		compliant.	assessment being prepared.		
Anglian Water			Similarly we welcome the reference made to considering the		
Services Limited		Plan is sound.	location of the existing water mains and sewers as part of the		
			site layout to ensure access can be maintained following		
			construction.		

Representation reference: 172/1/10 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Policy 43	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: Homes England are engaged with a developer to take forward our landholding at Ransome Road and support the principle of development coming forward at this site and welcome the site's allocation in the Submission Draft Local Plan Part 2 as a Housing Allocation; Site Policy 43 Ransome Road; and on the Policies Map.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 172/1/11 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Policy 43	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: Homes England's current engagement with its development partner involves a technical assessment of the site's capacity including determination of the extent of remediation needed to address the contamination referred to in Paragraph 13.19 of the Draft Plan and maximise the site's capacity. The work undertaken so far indicates that the site has an indicative site capacity of approximately 500 homes. As currently drafted, the policy and diagram are too prescriptive and do not meet the test of soundness by failing to plan positively by artificially limiting the site's capacity and its ability to contribute to meeting the area's objectively assessed needs. The policy and diagram are inconsistent with national policy which requires plans to positively seek opportunities to meet the development needs of their area, and be sufficiently flexible to adapt to rapid change (NPPF 2019, Paragraph 11).	Suggested changes: Homes England therefore requests the following changes to Policy 43 and the diagram shown in Figure 22 to make the Plan sound. POLICY 43 RANSOME ROAD (LAA1139) Ransome Road will be developed for [approximately 500] dwellings, subject to analysis of capacity in a manner which is consistent with the diagram shown in Figure 22. Proposals need to include the following: • Generally be two to four storeys in	Officer comments: The Council considers that the policy reference to "at least" will allow the developer to consider a higher quantum. There is no need to change the policy. No modification required.

height, with
opportunities for
taller buildings
facing along the
principal
movement routes
and the northern
section of the site
Deliver a green
space with
associated
footpaths and cycle
links to effectively
link the site to
Becket's Park and
Delapre Park.
Suitable access to
Delapre Lake and
Delapre Abbey and
Park from Ransome
Road is encouraged
Respect the
historic integrity
and significance of
on-site and nearby
heritage assets.
Appropriately
address the site's
location within and
adjacent to the
registered
battlefield of the
Battle of
Northampton and
also make an

Image: state stat
interpretation to the local area • Any development should not compromise the integrity of the habitat to the north- east of the site • Incorporate
Image: state of the state
 Any development should not compromise the integrity of the habitat to the north- east of the site Incorporate
Image: should not compromise the integrity of the habitat to the north- east of the site Image: should not compromise the integrity of the habitat to the north- east of the site Image: should not compromise the integrity of the habitat to the north- east of the site
Image: state stat
integrity of the habitat to the north- east of the site • Incorporate
habitat to the north- east of the site Incorporate
north- east of the site Incorporate
site • Incorporate
• Incorporate
appropriate
appropriate
measures to
mitigate against
flood risk both
within the area and
downstream of the
sites, particularly
taking account of
the role of
Hardingstone Dyke
and residual risk
associated with
River Nene fluvial
flood defences
The layout of any
development
should be designed
to take into
account existing
sewers and water
mains within the
site.
Subject to detailed

	(including an	
	assessment of	
	contaminated	
	land), development	
	on this site should	
	maximise the use	
	of Sustainable	
	Drainage Systems	
	(SuDS) to reduce	
	the rate of surface	
	water run-off. Any	
	proposal should	
	also aim to	
	contribute to	
	improving water	
	quality in the area.	
	Any development	
	will be expected to	
	contribute to	
	provision of	
	woodland and wet	
	grass stepping	
	stones (connected	
	habitats).	
	Any proposal	
	forwarded for this	
	site should be	
	accompanied by a	
	site-specific Flood	
	Risk Assessment.	
	Any proposal	
	should also take	
	into account the	
	fact that the site is	
	included within the	
	Upper Nene	
	opperident	

reference: 35/1/15	Policy 44	and soundness:	Sound. The additional policy is welcomed following the HIA.	None.	Noted.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
Representation reference: 248/1/20 Name: Welland Valley Rail	Refers to: Policy 43	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Enhance policy 43 to include: "Any development should not compromise the ability to provision light or heavy rail transport links along or near to the former railway alignments to the north of the site."	Suggested changes: Enhance policy 43 to include: "Any development should not compromise the ability to provision light or heavy rail transport links along or near to the former railway alignments to the north of the site."	Officer comments: This is not considered necessary. The railway is outside of the area in question
Representation reference: 172/1/24 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Policy 43	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: FIGURE 22 - DEVELOPMENT PRINCIPLES FOR RANSOME ROAD 'Green corridor' should be changed to read 'Green space (indicative)'	Catchment Local standards for surface water drainage of 1 in 200 year plus an allowance for climate change to protect against pluvial flooding. Suggested changes: FIGURE 22 - DEVELOPMENT PRINCIPLES FOR RANSOME ROAD 'Green corridor' should be changed to read 'Green space (indicative)'	Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification to the plan will clarify the policy position of the figure. Modify wording on key of fig 22 from 'Green corridor' to 'Green space (indicative)'

Name:		Plan is legally			
Historic England		compliant.			
		Plan is sound.			
Representation reference: 53/1/20 Name: Anglian Water Services Limited	Refers to: Policy 44	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not effective	Comments: Policy 44 Site in Tanner Street, Green Street, St Peter's Way and Freeschool street -OBJECT (in part) - EFFECTIVE To ensure the policy is effective we would ask that reference be made to the requirement to maximise the use of SuDs on site subject to a detailed assessment which appears in the other allocation site policies in the Part 2 Local Plan.	Suggested changes: Add new criterion to Policy 44: 'Subject to detailed assessment (including an assessment of contaminated land), development on this site should maximise the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS).'	Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification to Policy 44 will strengthen it. Modify the plan to add a new criterion to Policy 44: 'Subject to detailed assessment (including an assessment of contaminated land), development on this site should maximise the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS).'
Representation reference: 197/1/22 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Policy 44	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: 19. All Site Specific Policies within this chapter (and in particular Policy 41) will require substantial mitigation measures and supporting infrastructure to be provided, both for on-site and off-site measures.	Suggested changes: Each of the Site Specific Policies should therefore be amended to include specific reference to the importance of any scheme complying with Policy 37 – Infrastructure to	Officer comments: All site specific policies will need to comply with other relevant generic development policies in the plan so it is not considered necessary to list

				ensure	them, including
				sustainability and	Policy 37.
				address any	
				negative impacts of	
				development of	
				this scale.	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 172/1/7	Chapter 14	and soundness:	As currently drafted the policy and supporting table refer to	The policy and	It is agreed that a
		Plan is legally	proposal offsetting loss of biodiversity. 'Offsetting' implies an	table should be	proposed
Name:		compliant.	offsite solution, yet it is often possible to deliver net gain on	reworded as	modification to the
Homes England			the same site.	follows:	plan will clarify the
		Plan is unsound:	As such, the policy and supporting table in the plan do not	POLICY 29	policy. Modify Policy
		- reason not	meet the test of soundness through inconsistency with	SUPPORTING AND	29 to remove 'offset
		specified	national policy which requires plans planning policies and	ENHANCING	the loss and':
			decisions to contribute to and enhance the natural and local	BIODIVERSITY	The Council will
			environment including by minimising impacts on and providing	1. The Council will	require all major
			net gains for biodiversity (NPPF, 2019 Paragraph 170d).	require all major	development
				development	proposals to secure
				proposals [to	a net gain in
				secure a net gain]	biodiversity through
				in biodiversity	the strengthening,
				through the	management and /
				strengthening,	or creation of new
				management and /	habitats. This should
				or creation of new	be measured
				habitats. This	through the use of a
				should be	recognised
				measured through	biodiversity
				the use of a	calculator. Proposals
				recognised	will be expected to
				biodiversity	incorporate
				calculator.	measures to
				Proposals will be	enhance
				expected to	biodiversity within
				incorporate	or around a
				measures to	development site,

	enhance	and to contribute to
	biodiversity within	the consolidation
	or around a	and development of
	development site,	local ecological
	and to contribute	networks, including
	to the	beyond the
	consolidation and	borough's
	development of	boundary.
	local ecological	Development
	networks, including	should avoid the
	beyond the	fragmentation of
	borough's	habitats and links
	boundary.	and address the
	Development	Northamptonshire
	should avoid the	Biodiversity Action
	fragmentation of	Plan local priorities
	habitats and links	for habitats and
	and address the	species.
	Northamptonshire	
	Biodiversity Action	Amend Table 12 to
	Plan local priorities	include wording in
	for habitats and	brackets:
	species.	Indicator:
		Biodiversity net gain
	Table 12:	Target: Major
	Indicator:	developments must
	Biodiversity net	secure a net gain in
	gain	biodiversity
	Target: [Major	Main Policy
	developments must	delivered: 29
	secure a net gain in	
	biodiversity]	
	Main Policy	
	delivered: 29	

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Chapter 14	and soundness:	We are pleased to see that Biodiversity Net Gain is included as	We would,	Policy 29 requires all
185/1/15		Plan is legally	one of the monitoring indicators within the Green	therefore, suggest	major development
		compliant.	Infrastructure objective. The indicator suggests that only major	that the indicator	to secure a net gain
Name:			developments are included in this monitoring. The National	looks at a range of	in biodiversity
Wildlife Trust for		Plan is sound.	Planning Policy Framework paragraphs 170 and 174 already	developments to	therefore the
Bedfordshire,			require all development to show how they can provide a net	see how they are	monitoring indicator
Cambridgeshire &			gain in biodiversity. This will also be included in the	preforming against	reflects that
Northamptonshire			Environment Bill when it proceeds through Parliament.	this objective. It	requirement. No
				would also be	change.
				useful to monitor	
				both the plans	
				made at the	
				application stage	
				and their success	
				within the	
				developments post	
				construction.	
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Objective	and soundness:	We are pleased to see that Biodiversity Net Gain is included as	We would,	Policy 29 requires all
185/1/16	10	Plan is legally	one of the monitoring indicators within the Green	therefore, suggest	major development
		compliant.	Infrastructure objective. The indicator suggests that only major	that the indicator	to secure a net gain
Name:			developments are included in this monitoring. The National	looks at a range of	in biodiversity
Wildlife Trust for		Plan is sound.	Planning Policy Framework paragraphs 170 and 174 already	developments to	therefore the
Bedfordshire,			require all development to show how they can provide a net	see how they are	monitoring indicator
Cambridgeshire &			gain in biodiversity. This will also be included in the	preforming against	reflects that
Northamptonshire			Environment Bill when it proceeds through Parliament.	this objective. It	requirement. No
				would also be	change.
				useful to monitor	
				both the plans	
				made at the	
				application stage	
				and their success	
				within the	
				developments post	
				construction.	

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Glossary	and soundness:	The test of soundness requires plans to be consistent with	Include in the	It is agreed that a
172/1/25		Plan is legally	national policy – the plan should enable the delivery of	Glossary:	proposed
		compliant.	sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the	Building for a	modification to the
Name:			NPPF.	Healthy Life (BHL)	plan will clarify the
Homes England		Plan is unsound:	Paragraph 129 of the NPPF makes reference to Building for	Building for a	policy. Modify the
		- reason not	Life. This design tool has now been updated to Building for a	Healthy Life is a	plan to include the
		specified	Healthy Life.	Design Code to help	following in the
			Https://www.udg.org.uk/publications/othermanuals/building-	people improve the	Glossary:
			healthy-life	design of new and	Building for a
				growing	Healthy Life (BHL)
			The design tool is now in use by Homes England as referenced	neighbourhoods.	Building for a
			in our comments on Policy 3 Design and the updated design	BHL updates	Healthy Life is a
			tool should be referenced in the Glossary.	England's most	Design Code to help
				widely known and	people improve the
				most widely used	design of new and
				design tool for	growing
				creating places that	neighbourhoods.
				are better for	BHL updates
				people and nature.	England's most
				The original 12	widely known and
				point structure and	most widely used
				underlying	design tool for
				principles within	creating places that
				Building for Life 12	are better for
				are at the heart of	people and nature.
				BHL.	The original 12 point
					structure and
				The new name	underlying
				reflects changes in	principles within
				legislation as well	Building for Life 12
				as refinements	are at the heart of
				made to the 12	BHL.
				considerations in	
				response to good	The new name
				practice and user	reflects changes in

	1				
				feedback. It also	legislation as well as
				recognises that this	refinements made
				latest edition has	to the 12
				been written in	considerations in
				partnership with	response to good
				Homes England,	practice and user
				NHS England and	feedback. It also
				NHS Improvement.	recognises that this
				BHL integrates the	latest edition has
				findings of the	been written in
				three-year Healthy	partnership with
				New Towns	Homes England,
				Programme led by	NHS England and
				NHS England and	NHS Improvement.
				NHS Improvement.	BHL integrates the
					findings of the
					three-year Healthy
					New Towns
					Programme led by
					NHS England and
					NHS Improvement.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 105/1/8	Appendix	and soundness:	On a practical level it is argued that The Green, with the	None specified.	The West
	А	Plan is legally	timescales involved, and the need for infrastructure resources		Northamptonshire
Name:		compliant.	will not be in a position to enhance delivery. Indeed, it will		Joint Planning Unit
Great Houghton			increase the target and fail to deliver. Appendix A, page 179 of		produces a Joint
Parish Council		Plan is unsound:	Local Plan Part 2 assumes The Green will deliver 800 news units		Monitoring Report
		- not positively	2018/19 to 2028/29. This is not achievable. We are already in		which considers the
		prepared	the second of those years (by which time, the Council assumes		rate of house
		- not justified	50 units completed) and there is no prospect of a start on site		building in
		- not effective	in the medium term. Allocating land at The Green will not solve		Northampton. The
		- not consistent	-		-
		with national policy			states that
					authorities should
					plan in line with
			In the medium term. Allocating land at The Green will not solve the under-delivery against target in Northampton. Indeed, it will make things worse by adding additional competition to the existing pool of large sites for infrastructure and other resources. The Council should address the under-delivery issue		NPPF (para 75) states that authorities should prepare an action

			by concentrating resources on delivering the existing SUEs and facilitating the development of smaller sites. Not justified As set out above the Parish Council do not consider Local Plan Part 2 is putting forward "an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence" (NPPF, paragraph 35). The continued over-reliance on a further larger site (The Green) and the existence, within Local Plan Part 2 itself, of sufficient smaller sites to increase supply, if not delivery, is a reasonable alternative. In addition, development of The Green is not justified for the following detailed reasons.		national planning guidance, to assess the causes of underdelivery and identify actions to increase delivery in future years. It has been identified that large, allocated sites are taking longer to build out and as such the LPP2 allocates smaller sites to rectify the historic underdelivery of homes. Policy 37 of the LPP2 requires development proposals to contribute towards new infrastructure associated with and resulting from the scheme. No modification
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	required. Officer comments:
reference:	Appendix	and soundness:	Homes England welcomes the inclusion of the following sites in	None.	Noted.
172/1/26	А	Plan is legally	Appendix A Trajectory for sites allocated in the Local Plan Part		
Nama		compliant.	2. Def 0174 Democras Deed Cotomer Def: 1007 Coto Lodge: Def		
Name: Homes England		Plan is unsound:	Ref 0174 Ransome Road Gateway Ref; 1097 Gate Lodge; Ref 1098 The Green, Great Houghton Ref 1099 Upton Reserve Site;		
			Ref 1139 Merge Homes England plots in Ransome Road.		

		- reason not specified			
Representation reference: 172/1/27 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Appendix A	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: As currently drafted, for a number of these sites, Appendix A is too prescriptive, and does not meet the test of soundness through inconsistency with national policy which requires plans to positively seek opportunities to meet the development needs of their area, and be sufficiently flexible to adapt to rapid change (NPPF 2019, Paragraph 11).	Suggested changes: For the site at Ransome Road; Ref 1139 modifications should be in line with the comments we have made on Policies 13 and 43 with regard to the Indicative Dwelling Capacity of this site.	Officer comments: Policy 43 reference to "at least" 200 dwellings mean that a higher capacity can be considered. There is no need to change the indicative dwelling capacity for the site.
Representation reference: 172/1/28 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Appendix A	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: The trajectory for the site at The Green, Great Houghton Ref 1098 should be modified in line with the comments made on Policy 41 with regard to the first year of completions; recognising that further technical work and capacity work is to be completed; this is expected towards the beginning of the second five years of the plan, with an expected delivery rate of 50 dwellings completed per annum for the first two years then up to 100 dwellings completed per annum with two sales outlets.	Suggested changes: The trajectory for the site at The Green, Great Houghton Ref 1098 should be modified in line with the comments made on Policy 41 with regard to the first year of completions; recognising that further technical work and capacity work is to be completed; this is expected towards the beginning of the second five years of the plan,	Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification to the plan to include an updated trajectory. Modify trajectory for site LAA1098 The Green, Great Houghton recognising that further technical work and capacity work is to be completed; this is expected towards the beginning of the second five years of the plan, with an expected delivery

				with an expected delivery rate of 50 dwellings completed per annum for the first two years then up to 100 dwellings completed per annum with two sales outlets.	rate of 50 dwellings completed per annum for the first two years then up to 100 dwellings completed per annum with two sales outlets.
Representation reference: 172/1/29 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Appendix A	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: The trajectories for the sites at Gate Lodge Ref 1097 and Upton Reserve Site Ref 1099 should be modified with regard to the first year of completions; recognising that the sites will need to secure outlie planning consent and be disposed of, the expected first completions on both sites should be moved to 2022/23.	Suggested changes: The trajectories for the sites at Gate Lodge Ref 1097 and Upton Reserve Site Ref 1099 should be modified with regard to the first year of completions; recognising that the sites will need to secure outlie planning consent and be disposed of, the expected first completions on both sites should be moved to 2022/23.	Officer comments: It is agreed that a proposed modification to the plan will update the trajectory. Modify trajectory for site LAA1097 with regard to the first year of completions; recognising that the sites will need to secure outlie planning consent and be disposed of, the expected first completions on both sites should be moved to 2022/23.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Appendix	and soundness:	The trajectories for the sites at Gate Lodge Ref 1097 and Upton	The trajectories for	It is agreed that a
172/1/30	А	Plan is legally	Reserve Site Ref 1099 should be modified with regard to the	the sites at Gate	proposed
		compliant.	first year of completions; recognising that the sites will need to	Lodge Ref 1097 and	modification to the
Name:			secure outlie planning consent and be disposed of, the	Upton Reserve Site	plan will update the
Homes England		Plan is unsound:		Ref 1099 should be	trajectory. Modify

Representation reference: 232/1/13 Name: Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	Refers to: Appendix A	 reason not specified Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not justified 	expected first completions on both sites should be moved to 2022/23. Comments: The current housing trajectory for site 0168 at Appendix A to the Plan, which assumes housing completions taking place from 2019-20, is not deliverable. Not only does this site not benefit from a planning permission for its development (in common with sites 1009 and 1142), but it also relies upon the delivery of the NSSUE for its access and utility servicing (again, as fast site 000 and 1142).	modified with regard to the first year of completions; recognising that the sites will need to secure outlie planning consent and be disposed of, the expected first completions on both sites should be moved to 2022/23. Suggested changes: None specified.	trajectory for site LAA1099 with regard to the first year of completions; recognising that the sites will need to secure outlie planning consent and be disposed of, the expected first completions on both sites should be moved to 2022/23. Officer comments: The respondent commented that this site should be combined with sites 1009 and 1142, and a revised trajectory
		- not effective	as for sites 1009 and 1142).		provided. This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the recommended combined sites and updated trajectory.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Appendix	and soundness:	An integrated housing trajectory for the enlarged NSSUE,	None specified.	The respondent
232/1/14	A	Plan is legally	demonstrating how the Council's proposed addition of 361		commented that
		compliant.	dwellings can be delivered during the second five years of the		this site should be
Name:			Plan period (between 2024/5 and 2028/9) without requiring		combined with sites

Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	Refers to:	Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective Legal compliance	annual completion rates to rise to undeliverable levels over this large development that offers scope for several concurrent sales outlets alongside affordable housing provision.	Suggested changes:	1009 and 1142, and a revised trajectory provided. This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the recommended combined sites and updated trajectory. Officer comments:
reference: 232/1/15 Name: Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	Appendix A	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	An integrated housing trajectory for the enlarged NSSUE, demonstrating how the Council's proposed addition of 361 dwellings can be delivered during the second five years of the Plan period (between 2024/5 and 2028/9) without requiring annual completion rates to rise to undeliverable levels over this large development that offers scope for several concurrent sales outlets alongside affordable housing provision.	None specified.	The respondent commented that this site should be combined with sites 0168 and 1142, and a revised trajectory provided. This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the recommended combined sites and updated trajectory.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 232/1/16 Name: Vistry Latimer	Appendix A	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound:	An integrated housing trajectory for the enlarged NSSUE, demonstrating how the Council's proposed addition of 361 dwellings can be delivered during the second five years of the Plan period (between 2024/5 and 2028/9) without requiring annual completion rates to rise to undeliverable levels over this	None specified.	The respondent commented that this site should be combined with sites 0168 and 1009, and
Collingtree LLP		- not justified			a revised trajectory

		- not effective	large development that offers scope for several concurrent sales outlets alongside affordable housing provision.		provided. This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the recommended combined sites and updated trajectory.
Representation reference: 232/1/20 Name: Vistry Latimer Collingtree LLP	Refers to: Appendix A	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: The replacement of sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 by a single allocation for 336 dwellings therefore renders this element of the Plan "justified" (appropriate), while the accommodation of the 'excess' 25 dwellings within the NSSUE itself (near its local centre and primary school) renders the Plan both "justified" (appropriate) and "effective" (deliverable), with the replacement integrated housing trajectory for the NSSUE and its westerly extension presented at Appendix 2 to this representation rendering the Plan "effective" (deliverable). Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Suggested changes: Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Officer comments: The respondent commented that these sites should be combined and a revised trajectory provided. This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify Policies 13 and 38 and the Policies Map to reflect the recommended combined sites.
Representation reference: 233/1/13 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Appendix A	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: The current housing trajectory for site 0168 at Appendix A to the Plan, which assumes housing completions taking place from 2019-20, is not deliverable. Not only does this site not benefit from a planning permission for its development (in common with sites 1009 and 1142), but it also relies upon the delivery of the NSSUE for its access and utility servicing (again, as for sites 1009 and 1142).	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The respondent commented that this site should be combined with sites 1009 and 1142, and a revised trajectory provided. This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify

Representation reference: 233/1/14 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Appendix A	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: An integrated housing trajectory for the enlarged NSSUE, demonstrating how the Council's proposed addition of 361 dwellings can be delivered during the second five years of the Plan period (between 2024/5 and 2028/9) without requiring annual completion rates to rise to undeliverable levels over this large development that offers scope for several concurrent sales outlets alongside affordable housing provision.	Suggested changes: None specified.	the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the recommended combined sites and updated trajectory. Officer comments: The respondent commented that this site should be combined with sites 1009 and 1142, and a revised trajectory provided. This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the recommended combined sites and updated trajectory.
Representation reference: 233/1/15 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Appendix A	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: An integrated housing trajectory for the enlarged NSSUE, demonstrating how the Council's proposed addition of 361 dwellings can be delivered during the second five years of the Plan period (between 2024/5 and 2028/9) without requiring annual completion rates to rise to undeliverable levels over this large development that offers scope for several concurrent sales outlets alongside affordable housing provision.	Suggested changes: None specified.	Officer comments: The respondent commented that this site should be combined with sites 0168 and 1142, and a revised trajectory provided. This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to

					reflect the
					recommended
					combined sites and
					updated trajectory.
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 233/1/16 Name: Lagan Homes	Appendix A	and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	An integrated housing trajectory for the enlarged NSSUE, demonstrating how the Council's proposed addition of 361 dwellings can be delivered during the second five years of the Plan period (between 2024/5 and 2028/9) without requiring annual completion rates to rise to undeliverable levels over this large development that offers scope for several concurrent sales outlets alongside affordable housing provision.	None specified.	The respondent commented that this site should be combined with sites 0168 and 1009, and a revised trajectory provided. This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the recommended combined sites and
					updated trajectory.
Representation reference: 233/1/20 Name: Lagan Homes	Refers to: Appendix A	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective	Comments: The replacement of sites 0168, 1009 and 1142 by a single allocation for 336 dwellings therefore renders this element of the Plan "justified" (appropriate), while the accommodation of the 'excess' 25 dwellings within the NSSUE itself (near its local centre and primary school) renders the Plan both "justified" (appropriate) and "effective" (deliverable), with the replacement integrated housing trajectory for the NSSUE and its westerly extension presented at Appendix 2 to this representation rendering the Plan "effective" (deliverable). Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Suggested changes: Policies 13 and 38, the Policies Map and Appendix A to the Plan should be altered accordingly.	Officer comments: This recommendation is considered acceptable. Modify the plan and the Policies Map to reflect the combined sites 0168, 1009 and 1142.

Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference:	Appendix	and soundness:	It is clear that the site will make a meaningful contribution to	Noted.	Welcomed.
247/1/11	А	Plan is legally	the Council's five-year housing land supply. Bellway Homes		
		compliant.	broadly supports the Council's proposed housing trajectory; a		
Name:			delivery rate of 25 dwellings per annum is considered to be		
Bellway Homes		Plan is sound.	conservative but a sensible point to work forwards from.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 23/1/6	Appendix	and soundness:	Within Appendix C (Infrastructure Requirements) of the	The above	There is a live
	С	Plan is legally	submission Local Plan, the following statement is made:	reference to	application on this
Name:		compliant.		highways	site.
University of			'Overall the results to not indicate any of the proposed Local	contributions from	
Northampton		Plan is unsound:	Plan Part 2 sites would have a severe impact on the network	Avenue Campus	
		- not justified	which would mean they would be unacceptable in transport	should therefore be	
		- not effective	terms in accordance with the National Planning Policy	removed from the	
		- not consistent	Framework. However, the Plan pursue policies which reduce	Plan.	
		with national policy	the amount of travel by car.	The proposed	
				amendment is	
			Transport Assessments or Transport Statements will be	required in order to	
			required for development proposals, dependent on their size.	ensure that the	
			These may indicate the need for localised improvement works,	plan is justified and	
			particularly around access to the site.	effective in line	
				with the NPPF and	
			The modelling has, however, identified the following highway	guidance in the	
			infrastructure improvements needed to accommodate the	PPG.	
			cumulative scale of growth proposed. Developer contributions		
			will be sought towards their implementation.		
			1. Rowtree Road approach to the A45 Wootton Fields		
			interchange – Junction improvements required to		
			support Northampton South SUE.		
			2. Bedford Road, Newport Pagnell Road, The Green		
			To accommodate development at The Green, Great Houghton		
			(site LAA1098) the following is required:		
			Significant upgrading of The Green		
					l

 Significant upgrading of the eastern Bedford Road
approach to the Barnes Meadow roundabout to
include widening of the river bridge. Highways
England should be consulted on any works impacting
the A45.
3. London Road / Cotton End Junction – Development off
Ransome Road (sites LAA0174 and LAA1139) will be
expected to provide improvements to this junction.
4. Barrack Road / St. Georges Avenue and Kingsthorpe
Road / Balfour Road Junctions – Improvements to the
Barrack Road / St. Georges Road, and Kingsthorpe
Road / Balfour Road junctions required to support the
development of Avenue Campus (site LAA1014).'
We have highlighted in bold the area of our concern. There was
no reference in the previous submission version to the
requirement for such a contribution and there have been no
discussions with the University in respect of this.
discussions with the oniversity in respect of this.
As highlighted above, an application for residential
As highlighted above, an application for residential
redevelopment of Avenue Campus (Ref N/2016/0810) is
currently with the Council for determination. In relation to this
application, the University's consultant, Jacobs, has reviewed
the capacity results for the relevant junctions and concluded
that due to the negligible changes (a small increase of 2
additional vehicles in the AM peak and 5 fewer queueing
vehicles in the PM peak) there is no justification for mitigation
measures. Jacob's revised Transport Assessment January 2019
is enclosed for information (further responses to comments
from Northamptonshire Highways can also be provided if
required although these have already been submitted to NBC).
No justification has been given for seeking this contribution
and as set out above it is not considered that it is necessary.

			 The wording of Appendix C is therefore not justified and is contrary to paragraph 35 of the NPPF. The above reference to highways contributions from Avenue Campus should therefore be removed from the Plan. The proposed amendment is required in order to ensure that the plan is justified and effective in line with the NPPF and guidance in the PPG. 		
Representation reference: 172/1/31 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Appendix C	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: As stated in our comments on Policy 41, Homes England are undertaking technical studies of their landholding at The Green, Great Houghton to support the submission of an outline planning application for residential development. Homes England note the reference in Appendix C Northampton Infrastructure Requirements and that traffic modelling and analysis by the County Council in 2020 has identified highway infrastructure improvements needed to accommodate the cumulative scale of growth proposed and that developer contributions will be sought towards their implementation.	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Noted.
Representation reference: 172/1/32 Name: Homes England	Refers to: Appendix C	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: Homes England also note that to accommodate development at The Green, Great Houghton, highway infrastructure improvements will be needed to Bedford Road and Newport Pagnell Road. In advance of detailed technical work and capacity analysis, and before Transport Assessments and Statements are prepared, Homes England's position is that the requirements as set out in Appendix C are over prescriptive; and do not meet the test of soundness by failing to plan positively by potentially artificially limiting the site's capacity and their ability to contribute to meeting the area's objectively assessed needs. Appendix C policy is inconsistent with national policy which	Suggested changes: In order to achieve a more flexible approach, the wording in Annex C should be revised to read as follows: To accommodate development at The Green, Great Houghton (site LAA1098) the	Officer comments: No modification recommended - these are recommendations from Northamptonshire County Council.

Refers to: Appendix C	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not effective	adapt to rapid change (NPPF 2019, Paragraph 11). Comments: No mention of Northern Orbital Route. This should be cross- referenced to 11.5.	* [Upgrading] of The Green * [Upgrading] of the eastern Bedford Road approach to the Barnes Meadow roundabout, [potentially including widening] of the river bridge. Highways England should be consulted on any works impacting the A45. Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: The Northern Orbital Route is not referenced in Appendix C as there is no approved scheme yet.
Refers to: Appendix G	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound:	Comments: It is noted that there is provision at Appendix G for two primary schools local to East Hunsbury. E5 of which we are aware and forms part of the Northampton South SUE development. Ref E41 refers to a 3-form primary school at Collingtree, of which we have no knowledge. Northampton Borough Council have	Suggested changes: Noted.	Officer comments: Agreed. Modify and update Appendix G to remove E41 scheme.
	Appendix C Refers to: Appendix	Appendixand soundness:Plan is legally compliant.Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not effectiveRefers to:Legal compliance and soundness:Appendix GPlan is legally compliant.	Appendixand soundness: Plan is legally compliant.No mention of Northern Orbital Route. This should be cross- referenced to 11.5.Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not effectivePlan is unsound: - not effectiveNo mention of Northern Orbital Route. This should be cross- referenced to 11.5.Refers to: Appendix GLegal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.Comments: It is noted that there is provision at Appendix G for two primary schools local to East Hunsbury. E5 of which we are aware and forms part of the Northampton South SUE development. Ref E41 refers to a 3-form primary school at Collingtree, of which we have no knowledge. Northampton Borough Council have	* [Upgrading] of the eastern Bedford Road approach to the Barnes Meadow roundabout, [potentially including widening] of the river bridge. Highways England should be consulted on any works impacting the A45.Refers to: Appendix CLegal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.Comments: No mention of Northern Orbital Route. This should be cross- referenced to 11.5.Suggested changes: None.Refers to: Appendix CLegal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.Comments: No mention of Northern Orbital Route. This should be cross- referenced to 11.5.Suggested changes: None.Refers to: Appendix GLegal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant.Comments: Lis noted that there is provision at Appendix G for two primary schools local to East Hunsbury. E5 of which we are aware and forms part of the Northampton South SUE development. Ref E41 refers to a 3-form primary school at Collingtree, of which we have no knowledge. Northampton Borough Council haveSuggested changes: Noted.

			to the ESFA in 2017/18, and submitted on the basis of anticipated wider growth requirements of the area. The County Council has received confirmation that the scheme has been withdrawn from ESFA's programme. Therefore we would expect to see this school removed from the Appendix.		
Representation reference: 197/1/23 Name: Northamptonshire County Council	Refers to: Appendix G	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is not legally compliant: - reason not specified Plan is unsound: - reason not specified	Comments: Appendix G – Primary Education 20. The County Council has reviewed the list of Primary Education projects included at Appendix G. It should be noted that project ref E41 – 'New three-form entry Primary School in Collingtree (Wave 11 Free School: Approved)' is not currently expected to come forward at this time, having been withdrawn from the ESFA's free school delivery programme. It is recommended that this project be deleted from this schedule.	Suggested changes: Appendix G – Primary Education 20. The County Council has reviewed the list of Primary Education projects included at Appendix G. It should be noted that project ref E41 – 'New three-form entry Primary School in Collingtree (Wave 11 Free School: Approved)' is not currently expected to come forward at this time, having been withdrawn from the ESFA's free school delivery programme. It is recommended that this project be deleted from this schedule.	Officer comments: Modify Appendix G to take into account the updated position.

Representation reference: 230/1/10 Name: Moulton Parish Council	Refers to: Appendix G	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not effective	Comments: E10 mentions "another local school". What are the possible options? The nearest is presumably Moulton Primary, which is arguably not viable bearing in mind the already poor vehicle access?	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: Appendix G, Ref E10 references 'half a form entry to another local school'. The local school has not yet been determined (take from IDP 2019).
Representation reference: 230/1/11 Name: Moulton Parish Council	Refers to: Appendix H	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not positively prepared - not effective	Comments: E39 – mention of proposed school is vague, bearing in mind that proposals have already been made for NSB-supported school on land adjacent to old A43 south of Moulton. Are these proposals one and the same? Why is the specific "NSB school" and location not mentioned?	Suggested changes: None.	Officer comments: It is expected that the Northampton School for Boys scheme could fulfill the requirement in this area. However, it does not have planning permission yet. As such other provision may be needed in this area.
Representation reference: 201/1/18 Name: Persimmon Homes	Refers to: The Plan and policies map	Legal compliance and soundness: Plan is legally compliant. Plan is unsound: - not justified - not effective - not consistent with national policy	Comments: We would also note that part of the Dallington Grange SUE is shown on the proposals map as a Local Wildlife Site (LWS) where draft Policy 29 will apply. As the Council will be aware, a suite of detailed botanical surveys and assessment work was undertaken at the Dallington Heath LWS to inform the outline planning application. These works were to ascertain its "true" ecological value and to inform the ecological mitigation and enhancement strategy that will be controlled by planning condition on the outline planning permission. As such, the loss of the element of the LWS that incurs into the site and the mitigation for that loss has already been agreed by the Council in principle as reflected by the resolution to grant planning permission. This is not reflected on the proposals map and we	Suggested changes: Alterations to Policies Map to reflect changes to the LWS as part of the Dallington Grange SUE development.	Officer comments: It is recommended that the Policies Map be modified to reflect changes to the LWS as part of the Dallington Grange SUE development.

			feel that this this will be misleading for decision-makers and stakeholders particularly in light of the wording of draft Policy 29.		
Representation	Refers to:	Legal compliance	Comments:	Suggested changes:	Officer comments:
reference: 224/1/3	The Plan and	and soundness: Plan is legally	The area of land between LAA1112 and LAA1025 has no category allocated to it.	None specified.	The council will amend the Plan
Name: Friends of West	policies map	compliant.	This area is part of Ladybridge Park which is an amenity green space. It has at least 4 football pitches on it and Welland Valley		accordingly.
Hunsbury Parks		Plan is sound.	Football Club are licensed by NBC to use the pitches. It is part of an amenity green space which is in constant use. The Park is a gated Park with no access to both Car Parks from 8am to 5:30 in the winter and 8am to 8:30pm in the summer. This includes the Car Park by the football pitches, the area of land in question.		